

ASSESSMENT OF CONTENT OF RSD FILES

CRITERIA TO BE ASSESSED	YES	NO	Comments	Recommendations
1. Filing system				
1.1 File content <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - profiling form - supporting documents collected - supporting documents are translated into Georgian and included in the file 				
2.1 Profiling form <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Content (all relevant fields of the profiling form is filled out) - Substance 				
3.1 Quality of interview transcript <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - questions asked (relevant and accurate, not leading); - contradictions confronted; - need for additional interview. 				
3.2 Interview conducted with the adult family members of the principal applicant				
4.1 Summary of the applicant's claim				
4.2 Quality of credibility assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - use of COI - assessment of documents 				

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cross checking with the interviews of other family members - determination of material facts - assessment of the credibility of each material fact - determination of which material facts are accepted, rejected, uncertain material facts; - consideration whether to apply the benefit of the doubt to the facts about which doubt remains - clear statements on which facts are accepted and which are rejected (with the reasons stated) 				
--	--	--	--	--

4.3 Inclusion assessment:

<p>4.3.1 Outside Country of nationality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - nationality or country of former habitual residence identified; - if sur place claim, this has been acknowledged and discussed 				
<p>4.3.2 Well-founded fear</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - harm that applicant fears is identified; - who the applicant fears is identified (the state or non-state agent); - availability of adequate state 				

<p>protection is discussed;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - use of COI - forward looking test is stated and a determination is made: is there a reasonable chance (or a serious possibility) that the applicant would face harm if he returns to his/her country of origin 				
<p>4.3.3. persecution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - harm feared is classified in relation to an international human rights - the harm is determined serious enough to be a “persecution” 				
<p>4.3.4 grounds for persecution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - applicable grounds are stated - – race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, and political opinion 				
<p>4.3.5 Assessment of Internal Flight Alternative if needed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - specific IFA was identified at interview and applicant given opportunity to respond; - assessment made of safety of the IFA for the applicant; -assessment made of whether it is reasonable for the applicant to go there and live there - use and assessment of relevant COI 				
<p>4.3.6 Exclusion assessment if needed</p>				

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - applicable article for exclusion; - individual responsibility - defences to individual responsibility (superior orders, duress, self-defence) - proportionality assessment - use of relevant COI 				
<p>4.3.7 Assessment of needs for complementary protection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - use of COI 				
<p>5. Conclusions (positive and negative trends identified)</p>				
<p>Positive developments:</p> <p>Negative Development:</p> <p>-</p>				