



Regional Expert Roundtable on Good Practices for the Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and the Protection of Stateless Persons in South East Asia

28-29 October 2010 Amari Watergate Hotel, Bangkok, Thailand

Co-hosted by the National Human Rights Commission of Thailand and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

DISCUSSION PAPER

This paper provides an overview of good practices relating to the identification, prevention and reduction of statelessness and the protection of stateless persons in South East Asia. It covers the following countries: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Viet Nam. The paper provides a description of how the laws, policies and practices of South East Asian countries have helped to address statelessness and highlights some of the key lessons learned. It also discusses some remaining challenges that the region's stakeholders face as they seek to fully address problems of statelessness in the future.

This paper has been drafted at the initiative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), to inform discussions during the regional expert meeting on statelessness convened jointly by UNHCR and the National Human Rights Commission of Thailand (28-29 October 2010, Bangkok). The research was conducted by Laura van Waas, International Consultant with UNHCR's Regional Protection Hub for the Asia-Pacific. The views expressed are those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNHCR or the National Human Rights Commission of Thailand.

The information provided in this paper has been extracted from existing documents, reports and studies. Please note that the verification of information compiled from these sources remains the responsibility of the respective author(s).

Final report released on 2 March 2011



CONTENTS

1.	Introduction to statelessness in South East Asia	p. 1
1.1	Terminology and definitions	p. 1
1.2	Stateless and at risk populations in the ASEAN region	p. 2
	Building a response to statelessness	p. 6
2.	International legal standards relating to statelessness	p. 4
2.1	Human rights law	p. 5
2.2	Nationality-specific instruments	p. 6
2.3	Relevant regional standards and initiatives	p. 6
3.	Identification of stateless and at risk populations	p. 8
3.1	Background research, including legal analysis	p. 8
	Extracting information from population data sources	p. 9
	Tailor-made surveys	p. 10
	Individual registration and status determination	p. 11
3.5	Challenges in the identification of statelessness	p. 11
4.	Prevention of statelessness	p. 12
4.1	Legislative safeguards for the prevention of statelessness	p. 13
4.2	Reducing the risk of statelessness by promoting birth registration	p. 15
4.3	Verification and confirmation of nationality	p. 17
4.4	Challenges in the prevention of statelessness	p. 18
5.	Reduction of statelessness	р. 19
5.1	(Re)acquisition of nationality following legislative reform	p. 19
5.2	Citizenship campaigns	p. 20
5.3	Facilitated naturalisation	p. 21
5.4	Challenges in the reduction of statelessness	p. 23
6.	Protection of stateless persons	p. 23
6.1	Status determination and access to an appropriate legal status	p. 24
6.2	Promoting access to personal documentation	p. 25
	Promoting the non-discriminatory enjoyment of rights	p. 27
6.4	Challenges in the protection of stateless persons	p. 28
7.	Reflections on the situation of statelessness in South East Asia	р. 29

1. Introduction to statelessness in South East Asia

Worldwide, interest in statelessness has steadily increased over the past few years. This trend reflects the growing awareness that statelessness can have harmful consequences for the lives of individuals and the fabric of communities. Statelessness can also strain inter-state relations, for instance because it may lead to forced displacement. Moreover, statelessness is a truly global issue, with no region left unaffected, including South East Asia.

Thanks to the growing attention the issue is receiving from states, civil society, the international community and affected populations, there has been significant progress, for instance in terms of putting in place safeguards to prevent statelessness or resolving existing situations. Nevertheless, there are an estimated 12 million stateless persons spread across the globe. In addition, policies and practices can be identified in many countries that may create new cases of statelessness or allow for perpetuation of statelessness from one generation to another.

The heightened interest in statelessness is welcomed, since further effort is evidently needed to comprehensively address the issue. To this end, it is helpful to consider what lessons can be taken from the advances made in different countries. This is the focus of the present paper – to discuss good practices for the identification, prevention and reduction of statelessness and the protection of stateless persons in South East Asia.²

1.1 Terminology and definitions

Nationality is the legal bond between a person and a state, also known as citizenship. In some countries and contexts, the terms "nationality" and "citizenship" are used to refer to other characteristics.³ However, when it comes to discussing statelessness, the crux of the matter is whether a person enjoys a nationality in the legal-political sense. In this paper, as in most reports relating to statelessness, the terms nationality and citizenship are used interchangeably and refer to membership of a state.

A **stateless person** is a person who is not considered as a national by any state under the operation of its law.

A stateless person then, is someone who does not enjoy the legal bond of nationality with any state. In effect, a stateless person is a non-national in every country in the world. The above definition of statelessness has been codified in the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons (article 1). This definition is now also recognised to be customary international law, meaning that it should be applied by all states regardless of whether they are parties to the 1954 Convention. In other words, the identification of a person as "stateless" should always be on the basis of this definition. This will facilitate the enjoyment by stateless persons of their rights, as set out under domestic and international law. It will also ensure that situations are comparable and that good practices become visible.

¹ UNHCR, 2009 Statistical Report: Trends in displacement, protection and solutions, 2010.

² The information provided in this paper has been extracted from existing documents, reports and studies (drawing on information as to September 2010). Please note that the verification of information compiled from these sources remains the responsibility of the respective author(s).

³ For example, under the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, the concept of nationality has a sociological

³ For example, under the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, the concept of nationality has a sociological meaning. There it describes the quality of belonging to a "nation" – a group of people defined through their real or perceived ethnic, religious, cultural or linguistic identity.

⁴ Note that literature dealing with statelessness discusses two separate terms: *de jure* and *de facto* statelessness. The former describes a person who meets the international legal definition of a stateless person. The latter refers to persons whose situation is in some way comparable to that of the *de jure* stateless, for instance because they are unable to establish their nationality. This is an area of ongoing debate. UNHCR is seeking to clarify the use of terminology through the issuance of guidance on the interpretation of the legal definition of a stateless person. The first paper produced to this end is UNHCR, *UNHCR and* de facto *statelessness*, Legal and Protection Policy Research Series, April 2010.

When applying the definition of statelessness in practice and deciding if a person is considered as a national by any state *under the operation of its law*, it is important to not only look at the content of relevant nationality law. How the legal provisions are interpreted and applied, by the state in a particular case, should also be considered. This means studying how the administrative authorities and the courts work with the nationality law when they apply it in practice. In some cases, but certainly not always, a stateless person may *also* be undocumented, hold an irregular immigration status or qualify for protection as a refugee. The fact that a stateless person's circumstances can also be characterised through the use of other terms has no bearing on the finding of statelessness.

1.2 Stateless and at risk populations in the ASEAN region

This paper looks at statelessness in South East Asia. The focus is on the ten countries that are presently members of ASEAN: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Viet Nam. In terms of statistics, data suggests that this region is significantly affected by statelessness. UNHCR has flagged the existence of a stateless population in eight of the ten ASEAN states. Although there are gaps in the reporting of exact figures, information from UNHCR and other sources indicates that the stateless population of several countries in the region numbers in the thousands or even tens of thousands. This initial window into statelessness in the ASEAN region suggests a problem of substantial magnitude.

Regardless of the question of numbers, it is possible to gain a sense of the problem of statelessness in the ASEAN region from various factors on the ground. To begin with, the colonial era has left a mark on South East Asia. As the contemporary independent states emerged, there were difficult questions of national identity and belonging to answer. The case of the Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State is illustrative of the danger that statelessness can emerge in this context. Since gaining independence, Myanmar has not recognised this ethnically, linguistically and religiously distinct minority as full members of the state. When a new nationality law was passed in 1982, the Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State were not included among the 135 "national races" granted full citizenship. They also faced great difficulty establishing an entitlement to nationality under any of the other provisions of the law. When the state started to issue Citizens Scrutiny Cards to all Myanmar nationals from 1989 onwards, the Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State were not provided with any cards, leading to the conclusion that the government considered them to be foreigners. Other countries in the region have faced a similar challenge in successfully incorporating ethnic or indigenous minorities in the body of citizens. In some cases, the state's approach has raised concerns about statelessness. In Indonesia, for instance, efforts to ensure that the country's ethnic Chinese minority are recognized as citizens and issued appropriate documentation are ongoing.¹⁰ Concerns have also been flagged with regards to access to nationality for ethnic Chinese in Brunei Darussalam. 11 Meanwhile in Thailand, a proportion of the hill tribe community, comprising various ethnic and linguistic groups, has yet to acquire

⁵ The Association of Southeast Asian Nations.

⁶ The only countries for which UNHCR currently has no indication of any information about stateless persons are Lao PDR and Singapore. UNHCR, 2009 Statistical Report: Trends in displacement, protection and solutions, 2010.

⁷ Note that it is difficult to compile reliable data on statelessness due to, among other issues, a divergence in the interpretation and application of terminology, the challenge inherent in proving that someone *does not* hold any nationality, the absence of procedures for the identification of stateless persons and political sensitivities that may surround the labelling of a particular individual or group as stateless (or not doing so).

⁸ Note that this group is commonly referred to as "Rohingya".

⁹ Minorities at risk project, Assessment for Rohingya (Arakanese) in Burma, 31 December 2003; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness, Refugees International, 2009. Note that while this population claim to Myanmar nationality remains unrecognised, a programme was later launched under which Temporary Registration Certificates (TRCs) were issued, confirming lawful residence in northern Rakhine State and even providing eligibility to vote in national elections. These practices are discussed further in section 6.

¹⁰ M. Lynch; K. Southwick, *Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness*, Refugees International, 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Indonesia, 11 March 2010; Tales of stateless, foreigner status Jakartan Chinese, The Jakarta Post [Indonesia], 12 February 2010.

¹¹ Minority Rights Group International, World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples – Brunei Darussalam, 2008; US Department of State, 2008 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Brunei Darussalam, 25 February 2009; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness, Refugees International, 2009; Freedom House, Freedom in the World 2010 – Brunei, 3 February 2010.

citizenship. Some indigenous groups in Cambodia may reportedly also have had difficulty establishing their nationality. 13

Historic and modern patterns of human migration, across the sometimes porous borders of ASEAN states, have added a layer of complexity to the question of access to citizenship. ¹⁴ In regulating access to citizenship governments need to determine which groups "belong" to the state. While conferring nationality to those with a clear, enduring link, states may decide not to include more recently arrived migrants. They are seen, instead, as a foreign presence. In practice, however, it may be difficult to differentiate between persons with a longstanding connection to the state and individuals who have settled there more recently – especially if there has not been a comprehensive civil registration or documentation system in place. Moreover, even within one or two generations after emigration, individuals may no longer be considered citizens by their state of origin. Consider the example of Vietnamese women who gave up their nationality when they emigrated to marry a foreign man and found themselves stateless when the marriage broke down. ¹⁵ Where migrants are or have become undocumented, the risk of statelessness is heightened because it can become very difficult to establish a tie with any state – as can be seen today in the situation of children of Philippine and Indonesian migrant workers in Sabah, Malaysia. ¹⁶

Another issue generating a risk of statelessness in ASEAN countries is the often inadequate coverage of birth registration systems. UNICEF has estimated that 17% of births, an equivalent of 5.1 million children per year, go unregistered in the East Asia / Pacific region. In some ASEAN states, the registration rate is well below even this average. For instance, UNICEF statistics point to 71.5% coverage in Lao PDR, 64.9% in Myanmar and just 55.1% in Indonesia. The lack of birth registration renders children significantly more vulnerable to statelessness because it leaves them without proof of place of birth, parentage and other key facts needed to establish their position under the nationality law.

Finally, a brief examination of nationality legislation reveals that ASEAN countries generally do not uphold adequate safeguards to prevent statelessness. For example, only half provide for any right to acquire citizenship on the basis of birth on state territory and there are inadequate safeguards in place to prevent statelessness in the context of the renunciation, loss or deprivation of nationality. These and other shortcomings in the region's nationality laws will continue to contribute to problems of statelessness in the region until they are addressed.

_

¹² Human Rights Committee, Concluding Observations: Thailand, CCPR/CO/84/THA, 8 July 2005; UNHCR, UNHCR Global Report 2008 – Thailand, June 2009; Minority Rights Group International, State of the World's Minorities and Indigenous Peoples 2009 – Thailand, 16 July 2009; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness, Refugees International, 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Thailand, 11 March 2010.

¹³ Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, *Concluding Observations: Cambodia*, CERD/C/304/Add.54, 30 March 1998

¹⁴ During the colonial period, migration was often actively promoted by colonial powers – for instance of Vietnamese to Cambodia under French rule. Minority Rights Group International, *World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples – Cambodia: Vietnamese*, 2008. Periods of post-colonial unrest in some ASEAN countries encouraged large-scale displacement within the region. In addition, according to the Working Group for an ASEAN Human Rights Mechanism, today there are more than 13 million migrant workers from ASEAN countries working abroad – of whom, around 5 million can be found in other ASEAN states. ASEAN Secretariat, *ASEAN Seeks to Protect and Promote Migrant Workers Rights*, 21 July 2010.

15 UNHCR, *Divorce leaves some Vietnamese women broken-hearted and stateless*, 14 March 2007; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, *Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness*, Refugees International, 2009; UNHCR, *Vietnam sets the pace for Asia with new law to prevent statelessness*, 1 July 2009; US Department of State, *2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Vietnam*, 11 March 2010. Steps that have since been taken to address this problem in Viet Nam are discussed in section 5.

¹⁶ C. Olson, *Malaysia: Undocumented children in Sabah vulnerable to statelessness*, Refugees International, 13 June 2007; UN Human Rights Council, *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education, Vernor Munoz Villalobos: addendum: mission to Malaysia*, 20 March 2009.

¹⁷ Note that this statistic is given for the East Asia / Pacific region which includes a number of additional countries along with the 10 ASEAN states. UNICEF, *Progress for children: A world fit for children statistical review*, Number 6, December 2007. ¹⁸ UNICEF Country Statistics: the data for Lao PDR dates from 2006, for Myanmar from 2003 and for Indonesia from 2002. Note that Particular difficulties are experienced by children born within isolated, ethnic minority, migrant or refugee communities. See, for instance, Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Concluding Observations: Vietnam*, CRC/C/15/Add.200, 18 March 2003; *Concluding Observations: Malaysia*, CRC/C/MYS/CO/1, 25 June 2007; *Concluding Observations: Philippines*, CRC/C/48/3, 16 November 2009.

On the other hand, it is important to acknowledge that there have – especially over the course of the last decade – been many initiatives within the region that have had a highly positive impact. As explained, these examples of ASEAN states' response to statelessness form the central focus of this paper.

1.3 Building a response to statelessness

According to UNHCR, there are four aspects or "pillars" to a response to statelessness: identification, prevention and reduction of statelessness and protection of stateless persons. ¹⁹ This conceptual framework is an invaluable tool in understanding how statelessness can be addressed.

Identification: What methods can be used to "map" the situation of stateless persons and

individuals at risk of statelessness?

Prevention: What can be done to avoid *new* cases of statelessness?

Reduction: What measures can be taken to resolve *existing* cases of statelessness?

Protection: What is needed to ensure that stateless persons enjoy their fundamental rights,

pending a comprehensive solution to their situation?

Thus, for instance, the identification of statelessness may be accomplished through a specially tailored population survey while the reduction of statelessness may be achieved by helping stateless persons to access naturalisation procedures. It is important to realise that activities in one pillar can also help to achieve objectives under another. One example is the issuance of identity documents to stateless persons. This can have an immediately positive effect in terms of *protection*, while also laying the groundwork for the *reduction* of statelessness in the future by ensuring that these individuals have proof of their existing ties to the state. As such, it can be helpful to consider whether there is scope to serve multiple objectives through the design or implementation of a particular activity. After offering a brief overview of the tools that the international legal framework provides for addressing statelessness, this paper discusses regional good practices for each of the four pillars.

2. International legal standards relating to statelessness

International law gives states the tools that they need to identify, prevent and reduce statelessness as well as to protect stateless persons. In terms of identification, it has already been noted that the definition of a stateless person can be found in a dedicated international instrument, the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons. Moreover, this definition has become customary international law and should therefore form the basis for the identification of stateless persons in all states, regardless of whether or not they are state parties to the 1954 Convention.²⁰

Where the prevention and reduction of statelessness is concerned, the central international standard is the right to a nationality. This is now recognised as a fundamental right to be enjoyed by everyone, everywhere. Virtually all of the major, contemporary human rights instruments include a provision inspired by article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which simply states that "everyone has the right to a nationality". This development means that, under international law, states are obliged to do what they can to avoid statelessness. Several concrete norms have been formulated which give further content to this ambition, including the elaborate safeguards compiled within the 1961

4

¹⁹ See, for instance, UNHCR Executive Committee, Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons, No. 106, 6 October 2006.

²⁰ See the discussion of definitions and terminology above.

Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness.²¹ Therefore, while states are generally still free to regulate access to nationality as they see fit, international law now imposes certain limits on this freedom and provides important tools to ensure that no one is left stateless.²

The same body of human rights law is also highly relevant to the question of protection – of how states should treat people who nevertheless end up stateless. According to international law, nationality is no longer the primary basis for the enjoyment of rights. States must respect and protect the human rights of all persons under their jurisdiction, including non-nationals. There are a few exceptions, where rights are specifically ascribed to "citizens" under international law. 23 Stateless persons may be excluded from such rights as are reserved to citizens but should enjoy all other human rights without discrimination.²⁴ This legal framework is complemented by the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons that deals specifically with a number of issues regarding the rights of stateless persons.²⁵

2.1 Human rights law

ASEAN states have expressed their commitment to address statelessness and its consequences through their ratification of a range of international human rights instruments. All are, for instance, state parties to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). This instrument elaborates the right of every child to a nationality – as well as the right to be registered at birth, a valuable tool in the prevention of statelessness – in its article 7.26 It furthermore provides for the non-discriminatory enjoyment of rights by all children, regardless of their nationality or statelessness.²⁷ Similarly, all ASEAN states have ratified the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). Article 9 explicitly provides for the equal enjoyment of nationality rights by men and women, including in the context of marriage (paragraph 1) and in transmitting nationality to their children (paragraph 2).² In addition, more than half of ASEAN countries are state parties to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD).²⁹ The ICCPR provides for the right of every child to acquire a nationality.³⁰ The CERD prohibits racial discrimination in the enjoyment of a catalogue of rights, including the right to a nationality.³¹

By ratifying these key human rights instruments, ASEAN countries have not only committed to uphold the fundamental rights of persons within their jurisdiction, they have also agreed to the monitoring of their efforts by the relevant UN treaty bodies. As such, institutions such as the CRC and the CEDAW Committees have provided comments on countries' policy and practice in areas relating to statelessness.

5

²¹ For a full description of the importance, content and approach of this instrument see UNHCR, *Preventing and Reducing* Statelessness - The 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 2010.

22 See, for instance, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Case of Yean and Bosico v. Dominican Republic, Series C, Case

^{130, 8} September 2005.

²³ For instance, article 25 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

²⁴ The non-discriminatory enjoyment of rights means that non-nationals may only be subject to different treatment from nationals if this is reasonable and objective, pursues a legitimate aim and is proportionate to that aim. Where the question involves stateless persons, account should also be taken of the particular predicament and vulnerability of the stateless who do not hold any nationality. See, for instance, Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 31: Nature of the General Legal Obligations Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant, 26 May 2004; and Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, General Recommendation 30: Discrimination against non-citizens, 1 October 2004.

²⁵ In particular, the 1954 Convention provides for certain special measures such as the issuance of identity and travel documents to stateless persons and the possibility of facilitated naturalisation. For a full description of the importance, content and approach of this instrument see UNHCR, Protecting the Rights of Stateless Persons - The 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 2010.

²⁶ Note that two ASEAN countries, Malaysia and Thailand, currently maintain a reservation to this article of the CRC.

²⁷ Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 6: Treatment of unaccompanied and separated children

outside their country of origin, 1 September 2005.

Note that two ASEAN countries, Brunei Darussalam and Malaysia, currently maintain a reservation to article 9 (2) of CEDAW regarding the equality of women with men with respect to the nationality of their children. Singapore and Thailand both also initially adopted reservations to article 9 (2) of CEDAW, but have since withdrawn these following amendments to their nationality laws.

²⁹ The ASEAN countries that have ratified these two instruments are Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, the Philippines, Thailand

Article 24 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights deals with the right to a nationality.

 $^{^{\}rm 31}$ Article 5 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.

Their recommendations can show states how to more effectively ensure the enjoyment of the right to a nationality and protect the rights of stateless persons.³² Additional guidance may also come from the Universal Periodic Review mechanism as well as reports compiled by relevant special procedures.³³ Moreover, the same mechanisms can highlight positive developments and help to share good practices.

2.2 Nationality-specific instruments

ASEAN countries have been less active in ratifying international agreements that focus specifically on nationality and statelessness. In fact, the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons and the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness have yet to attracted any accessions within the region. It should, however, be noted that the Department of Justice in the Philippines is currently engaged with UNHCR in policy discussions on statelessness and an analysis of the national legal framework with a view to pursuing the state's accession to both statelessness conventions.³⁴ Meanwhile, the Convention on the Nationality of Married Women has just two ASEAN state parties.³⁵

Regardless of formal accession, the influence of these instruments and the fundamental principles that they espouse can be traced in the legislation of many ASEAN countries. For instance, several of the safeguards contained within the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness have made their way into domestic nationality laws.³⁶ Meanwhile, two countries have included a definition of statelessness in their law, which has been informed by the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons and customary international law.³⁷ Moreover, the ratification of the human rights instruments discussed above lays a firm foundation in the region for both protecting the rights of stateless persons and avoiding statelessness by promoting the right to a nationality.

2.3 Relevant regional standards and initiatives

In terms of regional initiatives, the first to mention is ASEAN itself. Promoting and protecting human rights is one of the purposes of this regional cooperation.³⁸ In fact, the ASEAN Charter provides for the establishment its own human rights body. On this basis, the ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human Rights (AICHR) was inaugurated in 2009. Part of the AICHR's terms of reference is to develop an ASEAN Human Rights Declaration so as to establish a regional human rights framework that is complementary to existing international obligations.³⁹ ASEAN states' commitment to the right to a nationality and the non-discriminatory enjoyment of human rights may be further strengthened by such regional agreements in future.⁴⁰ The separate ASEAN Commission on the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Women and Children may also come to play a role in tackling issues of access to nationality and protection of stateless persons as they affect women and children.⁴¹ Meanwhile, the ASEAN Declaration on the Protection and Promotion of the Rights of Migrant Workers provides,

³⁶ For instance, a safeguard to ensure that foundlings acquire a nationality can now be found in the nationality legislation of more than half of ASEAN countries. Those are: Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Singapore and Viet Nam.

³² There are many examples, some of which are also cited elsewhere in this paper, for instance Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Concluding Observations: Thailand*, CRC/C/THA/CO/2, 17 March 2006; Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrmination Against Women, *Concluding Observations: Indonesia*, CEDAW/C/IDN/CO/5, 10 August 2007;

³³ See, for instance, UN Human Rights Council, *Progress report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar*, A/HRC/13/48, 10 March 2010; UN Human Rights Council, *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education, Vernor Munoz Villalobos: Mission to Malaysia*, A/HRC/11/8/Add.2, 20 March 2009.

³⁴ Correspondence with UNHCR Philippines, October 2010. The Philippines has already signed the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons but has yet to complete the ratification process.

³⁵ These are Malaysia and Singapore.

³⁷ Lao PDR and Viet Nam both include a definition of a stateless person in their nationality acts. This is discussed in more detail under section 3.5. Note that the Philippines Congres is currently considering legislation that would introduce the definition of a stateless person into the domestic framework, in accordance with the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons. Correspondence with UNHCR Philippines, October 2010.

³⁸ Article 1 (7) of the ASEAN Charter.

³⁹ Section 4.2 of the Terms of Reference of ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human Rights.

⁴⁰ Among the further tasks of the commission is obtaining information from ASEAN countries on the promotion and protection of human rights; developing common approaches and positions on human rights matters of interest to ASEAN; preparing studies on thematic issues; enhancing public awareness and promoting capacity building. See the Terms of Reference of ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human Rights, section 4.

⁴¹ See the Terms of Reference of ASEAN Commission on the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Women and Children.

among other things, for close cooperation between receiving and sending states to "resolve the cases of migrant workers who, through no fault of their own, have subsequently become undocumented". 42 Such activities may lead to the identification of stateless persons and will contribute to the prevention and reduction of statelessness. 43 The ASEAN Committee on Migrant Workers established to promote the implementation of the Declaration may also look at the link between statelessness and migration in its future work.44

The second relevant regional body is AALCO - the Asian African Consultative Organisation established as a forum for exchanging views and issuing advice to governments on matters of (international) law. 45 Taking its lead from the International Law Commission, AALCO has dealt with a number of topics that touch upon the right to a nationality and the protection of stateless persons. For instance, in 1961 it elaborated principles on the admission and treatment of aliens, including a list of basic rights that are to be enjoyed by all non-nationals. 46 In 1964, AALCO issued some model articles relating to dual nationality that provided, for instance, that a woman's nationality shall not automatically change upon her marriage to a person of another nationality. ⁴⁷ To date, the most pertinent initiative for addressing statelessness within AALCO has been a half-day special meeting held in 2006 on "Legal Identity and Statelessness". This meeting culminated in the adoption of a resolution recalling the importance of avoiding statelessness and taking steps to improve the situation of stateless persons.⁴⁸

Finally, it is also important to note the role of counter-smuggling and trafficking initiatives. Five ASEAN countries have ratified the "Palermo Protocol" to the UN Convention Against Transnational Organised Crime on the smuggling of migrants while four have also ratified the protocol on human trafficking.⁴⁹ These protocols explicitly call for cooperation between states in verifying and documenting the nationality of victims of smuggling or trafficking.⁵⁰ Such efforts can play a vital role in protecting individuals and preventing statelessness in the context of these forms of migration.⁵¹ Moreover, all ASEAN countries participate in the so-called "Bali Process". 52 Among the purposes of the Bali Process are several objectives relevant to statelessness. For instance, states pledge to cooperate in verifying the identity and nationality of illegal migrants and trafficking victims. More broadly, the Bali Process aims to support the provision of appropriate protection and assistance to the victims of

⁴² Article 2 (General Principles) of the ASEAN Declaration on the Protection and Promotion of the Rights of Migrant Workers,

<sup>2007.

43</sup> Another provision in the Declaration that could be invoked to prevent statelessness and protect stateless persons is the obligation of sending states to ensure the protection of migrant workers when abroad as well as repatriation and reintegration to the countries of origin. Article 13 (Obligations of Sending States) of the ASEAN Declaration on the Protection and Promotion of the Rights of Migrant Workers, 2007. Consider also the obligation of receiving states to facilitate the exercise of consular functions of a migrant worker's state of origin when he or she has been detained for any reason. This may help to prevent statelessness by leading to the verification or documentation of nationality. Article 10 (Obligations of Receiving States).

⁴⁴ It is of interest to note that the third ASEAN Forum on Migrant Labour, convened by the ACMW in July 2010, focused on "Enhancing Awareness and Information Services to Protect the Rights of Migrant Workers". Within this recent forum, states discussed how to improve migrant workers' access to and understanding of information on laws, guidelines and other materials produced by governments of sending and receiving states. Some information that is of critical interest to migrant workers is what laws and procedures they need to be aware of to retain their nationality and ensure access to a nationality for children born in the receiving state. By finding ways to circulate this information effectively, states are helping to avoid statelessness. ASEAN seeks to protect and promote migrant workers' rights, ASEAN Secretariat briefing note, 20 July 2010.

⁴⁵ The ASEAN countries which are also members of AALCO are: Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Singapore and Thailand.

⁴⁶ AALCO Principles Concerning Admission and Treatment of Aliens, adopted at the fourth session, 1961.

⁴⁷ AALCO Model articles embodying principles relating to elimination or reduction of dual or multiple nationality, adopted at

the sixth session, 1964.

48 AALCO Resolution on the Half-Day Special Meeting on "Legal Identity and Statelessness", RES/45/SP.1, 8 April 2006. ⁴⁹ Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Myanmar and the Philippines are state parties to the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air. Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar and the Philippines are also state parties to the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, especially women and children.

Article 18 of the Palermo Protocol on smuggling and article 8 of the Palermo Protocol on trafficking.

⁵¹ See also UNHCR Executive Committee, Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons, No. 106, 6 October 2006.

⁵² The Bali Ministerial Conference on People Smuggling, Trafficking in Persons and Related Transnational Crime.

trafficking, particularly women and children, some of whom may be stateless. Another objective is to ensure an enhanced focus on tackling the root causes of illegal migration, which include statelessness.⁵³

3. Identification of stateless and at risk populations

"Identification" of statelessness essentially means mapping stateless persons as well as at risk populations. Such mapping should be as comprehensive as possible. Compiling statistics that provide an insight into the magnitude of the problem is an important part of this, but effective identification of statelessness goes much further. It includes mapping the demographic profile of the population, establishing the cause of statelessness and highlighting any obstacles standing in the way of addressing the issue. Another component of identification is assessing problems of access to rights and services, as well as any other protection concerns. Finally, mapping all stakeholders concerned and establishing their interests will complete the identification exercise.⁵⁴

3.1 Background research, including legal analysis

A good place to start in identifying statelessness is some form of background research. A desk review of existing reports by academics, government bodies, civil society, human rights institutions, the UN system and the media will offer a first insight into the problem in a particular country.⁵⁵ It will also uncover gaps in information. The present paper is a case in point – the exercise of compiling existing information relating to statelessness in ASEAN countries was, in itself, informative. Some situations are relatively well documented. For example, with regard to the Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State in Myanmar, there has been widespread reporting on magnitude, underlying causes, protection concerns and efforts to tackle the issue.⁵⁶ There has also been a substantial amount of writing on problems of statelessness in Thailand.⁵⁷ Additionally, there are sources that offer some insight into the issue in different countries as part of an overall discussion of human rights practices, such as annual reports by the US Department of State.⁵⁸ Overall, however, a common theme across the region is the lack of comprehensive research into the problem of statelessness, meaning that much work still needs to be done in the area of identification.

One particular type of background study that can be carried out with relevant ease is a legal analysis. If done systematically, this will provide an immediate insight into potential problems of statelessness. By identifying gaps in the law or its implementation, where there are inadequate safeguards to prevent statelessness, it is possible to establish which sectors of a population may be stateless or at heightened

_

⁵³ States participating in the Bali Process have acknowledged the connection between tackling statelessness and combating human smuggling and trafficking. This is evidenced, for instance, by efforts to discuss concerns surrounding the situation of the Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State on the sidelines of a meeting of the Bali Process in 2009. E. Schwartz, Assistant Secretary, US Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration, *Protecting stateless persons: the Role of the US Government*, statements made at a conference on statelessness, Washington DC, 30 October 2009; 'Bali Process' may address Rohingya crisis, Inter Press Service [International News Agency], 28 February 2009; Bali process failed to solve Rohingya boatpeople issue: AI, Mizzima News [India], 17 April 2009; Bali process inadequate to help Rohingya: NGOs, Jakarta Globe [Indonesia], 29 May 2009.

⁵⁴ Note that in 2008, UNHCR published a tool that guides stakeholders in drawing together and analysing information: "Statelessness – An analytical framework for prevention, reduction and protection".

⁵⁵ UNHCR's Executive Committee has asked the agency to facilitate the sharing of existing information on statelessness by including in its biennial reports on activities related to stateless persons "statistics provided by States and research undertaken by academic institutions and experts, civil society and its own staff in the field on the magnitude of statelessness". UNHCR Executive Committee, *Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons*, No. 106, 6 October 2006.

 ⁵⁶ See, for instance, Minorities at Risk Project, Assessment for Rohingya (Arakanese) in Burma, 2003; Minorities at Risk Project, Chronology for Rohingya (Arakanese) in Burma, 2004; S. Garcia and C. Olson, Rohingya: Burma's forgotten minority, Refugees International, 2008; Human Rights Watch, Perilous Plight – Burma's Rohingya take to the seas, 2009.
 ⁵⁷ See, for instance, T. Lee, Statelessness, human rights and gender: irregular migrant workers from Burma in Thailand, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2005; Punthip Kanchanachittra Saisoonthorn, "Development of concepts of nationality and the efforts to reduce statelessness in Thailand" in Refuge Survey Quarterly, Vol. 25, Issue 3, 2006; UNESCO, Citizenship Manual – Capacity building on birth registration and citizenship in Thailand, 2008; C. Komai and F. Azukizawa, "Stateless persons from Thailand in Japan" in Forced Migration Review, Issue 32, 2009.

⁵⁸ Each of the annual US State Department reports on countries' human rights practices contains a section dedicated to statelessness.

risk of statelessness. For example, legal analysis may uncover that women cannot transmit nationality to their children when they are born outside the territory of the state – as is the case under Malaysian law.⁵⁹ This finding enables the identification of a population that is at risk of statelessness: children born abroad to Malaysian mothers. With this information as a starting point, studies can be conducted to discover the size and profile of this at risk population and to identify actual cases of statelessness. Legal analysis can also help to uncover protection concerns by establishing whether access to certain rights is barred to stateless persons. If property ownership or the practicing of certain professions are areas reserved to citizens under the law, this can negatively affect the protection situation of the stateless. Alternatively, legal analysis may reveal an opportunity to resolve an existing situation of statelessness for example, by revealing that stateless persons enjoy access to facilitated naturalisation.

In view of the cross-border dimension that statelessness may have, a regional approach to background research and legal analysis can be even more informative. A comparative analysis of nationality policy that incorporates, for instance, both the sending and receiving states of migrants can shed light on which persons are at risk of being left stateless. A number of such comparative exercises from different parts of the world have illustrated the value of this technique in making nationality policy more transparent and comparable and identifying good practices. These studies help to guide further policy-making while providing inspiration for similar research processes elsewhere.

3.2 Extracting information from population data sources

Certain government planning tools can also be tapped for information about statelessness. A periodic population census, for instance, may capture data about access to nationality which can be used to identify stateless or at risk populations. Other population data sources can also be helpful, such as birth, marriage and household registers or electoral lists. Analysing the information contained within existing data collections is a simple and cost-effective way of starting to map the issue on the ground. Some form of preliminary background research, along the lines described above, will enable an understanding of what the data actually shows in terms of stateless or at risk populations.

In fact, by first establishing potential areas of concern through a background study or legal analysis, future data collections can be tailored to ensure that the details gathered are even more informative to the identification of statelessness. Thus, the information logged as part of civil registration procedures can be augmented with data collection geared specifically to detect problems of statelessness. Introducing relevant questions into a population census will facilitate the quantification of the statelessness situation on a state's territory.

Most ASEAN states conduct a census on a ten-yearly cycle. The so-called "2010 round" of population and household censuses is now underway. Regional meetings have been held between governments' statistical divisions, including specifically in the context of this census round, to exchange good practices. To facilitate the identification of statelessness through population census and other data collections, this issue could be discussed in the development of the next round of population censuses through a devoted regional workshop. A number of UN agencies, including UNHCR, UN Population Fund and UN Statistics Division, can provide technical assistance with such an exercise as well as direct support to individual states.

⁵⁹ Section 1 (b), (c) and (d), Part II of the Second Schedule, Federal Constitution of Malaysia 1957 (as amended).

⁶⁰ One example of a regional study is the compilation and analysis of nationality law in Africa completed by the Open Society Initiative in 2009. See B. Manby, *Citizenship Law in Africa. A comparative study*, Open Society Initiative, 2009. A global questionnaire on statelessness conducted by UNHCR in 2004 similarly helped to trace trends in nationality policy and areas of concern. It also looked at state policy for the protection of stateless persons. Indonesia, Malaysia and Philippines were the only countries from the ASEAN region to submit information in response to this questionnaire. See UNHCR, *Final report concerning the questionnaire on statelessness pursuant to the Agenda for Protection*, March 2004. See also research conducted by the Hungarian Helsinki Committee on the protection regime for stateless persons in Central Europe, G. Gyulai, *Forgotten without reason. Protection of non-refugee stateless persons in Central Europe*, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2007.

⁶¹ For the "2010 round" each state undertakes a data collection exercise between 2005 and 2014

⁶² Annual consultative meetings have been held by the ASEAN Heads of Statistical Offices. In 2006, ASEAN and UN Statistics Division also held an ASEAN meeting on the 2010 round of population and housing census in Siem Reap, Cambodia.
⁶³ UNHCR's Executive Committee has called upon the agency to "continue to work with interested Governments to engage in or to renew efforts to identify stateless populations and populations with undetermined nationality residing in their territory, in

3.3 Tailor-made surveys

A more direct approach to gathering data on statelessness is a survey which specifically seeks to uncover individuals who are stateless or at risk of statelessness. Through tailor-made questionnaires, it is possible to build a profile of the population and gather detailed statistical or even qualitative data. A survey can be supplemented by participatory assessment, such as focus group discussions.⁶⁴ This will help to build a better understanding of the impact of statelessness in terms of access to rights, uncover factors that may obstruct a solution and determine the interests and capacity of different stakeholders.

Survey of enjoyment of nationality and basic services among Thailand's hill tribes

With comprehensive technical support from UNESCO, the Thai Ministry of Social Development and Human Security conducted a large-scale survey to study the link between nationality and access to services in Thailand. The survey focused on hill tribe communities, collecting information on almost 65,000 individuals in 192 border villages. Of this number, 38% were found not to hold Thai nationality. This sample survey alone identified around 25,000 persons who are either stateless or at significantly heightened risk of statelessness. 65 The findings can be extrapolated to get an impression of the magnitude of the problem as it affects Thailand's hill tribe community as a whole.

The survey uncovered some of the effects felt by individuals who do not hold Thai nationality. Persons without Thai nationality are 99% less likely to use public healthcare and 25% less likely to be able to access loans than those who hold citizenship. Children without Thai nationality are 73% less likely than Thai citizen children to enter primary school. They are 98% less likely to progress to higher education. The survey thereby identified the main areas in which action could be taken to improve the protection situation of these individuals, pending a resolution of their case.⁶⁶

Information gathered through a survey can feed directly into strategies for the prevention and reduction of statelessness and the protection of stateless persons. For example, in Viet Nam, a survey facilitated the development of the state's policy on naturalisation of stateless former-Cambodian refugees by providing current and accurate data on the group concerned.⁶⁷ Funding has now been secured from the European Union for a new project in which Viet Nam's Ministry of Labour, Invalids and Social Affairs will work with UNHCR to survey cases of statelessness that have resulted from marriages with foreigners and implement an appropriate response.⁶⁸ Studying the objectives, approach and outcome of such surveys can be helpful in determining how to address remaining gaps in information relating to stateless persons in the region.

cooperation with other United Nations agencies, in particular UNICEF and UNFPA as well as DPA, OHCHR and UNDP within the framework of national programmes, which may include, as appropriate, processes linked to birth registration and updating of population data". UNHCR Executive Committee, Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons, No. 106, 6 October 2006. See also UNHCR and UN Population Fund strengthen ties, UNHCR News Story, 30 April 2008.

64 See, for instance, UNHCR, Social Assessment of the Formerly Deported Population in the Autonomous Republic of Crimea:

A participatory rapid assessment, European Series, Volume 4, Number 1, April 1998.

65 Since the survey did not seek to ascertain whether these individuals held the nationality of a state other than Thailand, the

proportion of the population without Thai nationality cannot be directly equated to the number presently affected by statelessness. However, it is widely understood that the majority of Thailand's hill tribe population does not enjoy an effective link to another state and has resided on Thai soil for numerous generations, making it unlikely that they hold another

nationality.

66 Education needed in struggle to empower hill tribe communities, Bangkok Post [Thailand], 12 August 2008; Minority Rights Group International, State of the world's minorities and indigenous peoples 2009 - Thailand, 16 July 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices - Thailand, 11 March 2010. Note that data collection for a second survey, which incorporated a greater number of households and used an expanded questionnaire, has now been finalised. When the analysis of this information is complete, it is expected to provide a far more detailed picture of the situation and protection concerns of this hill tribe population.

67 ÚS Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Vietnam, 11 March 2010;

 $^{^{68}}$ Correspondence with UNHCR Viet Nam, September 2010.

3.4 Individual registration and status determination

Stateless persons and individuals at risk of statelessness can be identified on a case-by-case basis through registration and status determination procedures. Having established that a segment of the population is undocumented or of unknown nationality status, government authorities may proceed by requesting such persons to come forward for registration and nationality verification. This kind of exercise has been implemented, for example, in Thailand, in a bid to address the situation of the large number of undocumented migrant workers. ⁶⁹ The immediate product of registration efforts is a more accurate picture of the magnitude and profile of the population at risk of statelessness. With the subsequent verification and confirmation of nationality it becomes possible to clarify who among this group does, in fact, hold a nationality and who is stateless. ⁷⁰

Legal assistance and community outreach programmes offer a further opportunity for identifying individuals affected by statelessness. In Malaysia, community centres operated by ERA Consumer in Kedah, Perak, Selangor and Negeri Semilan received around 100 cases per month in which they uncovered facts relevant to the identification of statelessness. On the basis of this information, ERA Consumer was able to estimate that approximately 20,000 Indian women lack birth certificates, identity cards or marriage certificates.⁷¹ They and their family members are at heightened risk of statelessness.

Finally, states can put in place dedicated stateless person status determination procedures that can be accessed on an ongoing basis. There are currently no examples of this practice within the ASEAN region. However, elsewhere, this approach has been very effective, especially where the individual identification of stateless persons has formed the basis for access to the protection regime of the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons.⁷²

3.5 Challenges in the identification of statelessness

As shown, some encouraging examples of identification efforts can be found in the ASEAN region. However, large gaps remain in the information on stateless and at risk populations. This echoes a global trend. For instance, while UNHCR estimates that there are 12 million stateless persons worldwide, it is only able to report data on a far smaller number.⁷³ In many cases, a comprehensive analysis is also lacking of the causes of statelessness, protection problems experienced by stateless persons and existing capacities in building a response. UNHCR has noted that "the absence of a clear assessment in some countries impeded effective planning of responses, underlining the importance of ongoing work on surveys, registration and population censuses".⁷⁴

One significant challenge that arises in the context of improving the identification of statelessness is promoting a common understanding of terminology. The majority of ASEAN countries do not define statelessness in their law, so different definitions, procedures or standards of proof may be applied.⁷⁵

⁶⁹ The approach to and outcome of nationality verification in Thailand is covered in more detail under section 4 as a tool for the prevention of statelessness.

⁷⁰ Similar practices can be seen outside the region. For instance, a registration drive formed the basis for the comprehensive identification of statelessness in Turkmenistan. This information was subsequently channelled into the country's naturalisation procedures to promote the reduction of cases. M.Manly and S. Persaud, "UNHCR and responses to statelessness" in *Forced Migration Review*, Issue 32, 2009.

L. Koya, "Statelessness in Malaysia" in S. Nagarajan (ed.) SUHAKAM after 5 years: State of human rights in Malaysia,
 2006; R. Tikamdas, "The Right to Identity and Citizenship under the Constitution and International Law" at ERA Consumer
 Forum on Statelessness: An Obstacle to Economic Empowerment, Kuala Lumpur, 28 March 2006.
 G. Gyulai, Forgotten without reason. Protection of non-refugee stateless persons in Central Europe, Hungarian Helsinki

¹² G. Gyulai, *Forgotten without reason. Protection of non-refugee stateless persons in Central Europe*, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2007. Note that the identification of stateless persons may also be the bi-product of national asylum systems where there is provision for looking at statelessness alongside the determination of refugee status. Further discussion of status determination practices can be found in section 6 where the impact on the protection of stateless persons is also considered.

⁷³ UNHCR, 2009 Statistical Report: Trends in displacement, protection and solutions, 2010.

⁷⁴ UNHCR, Progress Report on Statelessness 2009, EC/60/SC/CRP.10, 26 May 2009.

⁷⁵ Viet Nam and Lao PDR are currently the only exceptions. Note that Indonesia does also use the term statelessness in their nationality law – for instance to provide that nationality cannot be lost in certain circumstances if this would render the person *stateless* – but no definition is given.

Definitions of statelessness under domestic law

Viet Nam and Lao PDR are the only ASEAN countries to provide a definition of a stateless person in their law. The Law on Vietnamese Nationality provides that a *stateless person* is "a person who has neither Vietnamese nationality nor foreign nationality". ⁷⁶ While not following the formula to the letter, this definition is in general conformity with the internationally recognised definition of a stateless person. It thereby offers Viet Nam an invaluable tool in the identification of statelessness such that situations become both transparent and comparable at the international level.

According to the Law on Lao Nationality, an *apatrid* [stateless person] is "an individual residing in the territory of the Lao People's Democratic Republic who is not a Lao citizen and who is unable to certify his nationality". On the one hand, this definition is more restrictive than that provided under international law: only once a person is *residing* in Lao PDR can his or her statelessness be recognised under this law. On the other hand, the definition is also quite pragmatic, since it refers to persons who are *unable to certify* their nationality. This could lower the burden of proof, in practice, for persons seeking to be recognised as stateless and make it easier for them to access entitlements accordingly.

The absence of coherence of definition has somewhat muddied the picture of statelessness in the region. The term "statelessness" may be used to describe a population even though there is insufficient knowledge or capacity to ascertain whether the individuals are stateless in accordance with international law. In fact, many groups that have been described as stateless at one time or another, can more properly be labelled as *at risk of statelessness*. This is the case, for instance, where children who lack birth registration or migrants who have become undocumented are classified as stateless without further regard for their circumstances.⁷⁸ Their situation does make them more vulnerable to statelessness and some among them may indeed lack a nationality, so it is certainly useful to identify such groups with a view to adopting strategies to prevent statelessness. However, it would be inaccurate to describe these entire populations as stateless. Instead, effort is needed to fully assess the situation of individuals within these broader groups in order to verify people's nationality and ascertain who is, indeed, stateless. For those whose nationality can be confirmed, statelessness has been avoided. For those who remain, other solutions must be pursued to address their situation. This is how identification can successfully feed into the prevention and reduction of statelessness as well as the protection of stateless persons.

4. Prevention of statelessness

"Prevention" refers to any measures taken to avoid creating new cases of statelessness. Indeed, "the easiest and most effective way to deal with statelessness is to prevent it from occurring in the first place". Given the fundamental importance of prevention activities, it is encouraging that many ASEAN countries have begun to identify populations within their borders that are at risk of statelessness. This information can strengthen strategies for prevention in the region.

12

⁷⁶ Article 3 of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008.

⁷⁷ Article 7, Law on Lao Nationality, No. 06/90/PSA, 1990, as amended.

⁷⁸ "While nationality is normally acquired independently and birth registration in and of itself does not normally confer nationality upon the child concerned, birth registration does constitute a key form of proof of the link between an individual and a State and thereby serves to prevent statelessness". UNHCR Standing Committee, *Birth registration: A topic proposed for an Executive Committee Conclusion on International Protection*, EC/61/SC/CRP.5, 9 February 2010

⁷⁹ António Guterres (UN High Commissioner for Refugees) and Louise Arbour (UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, *The hidden world of the stateless*, November 2007.

⁸⁰ See Section 3 on identification efforts.

4.1 Legislative safeguards for the prevention of statelessness

A particularly important step towards prevention is to close gaps in nationality policy that could leave an individual stateless. 81 States must, for instance, find a way to balance their interest of perhaps preventing dual nationality or protecting national security with the avoidance of statelessness. For instance, rather than obliging a person to renounce their former nationality before applying for a new one, dual nationality can be avoided by allowing people to first acquire the new nationality then setting a deadline for the renunciation of the previous nationality. Cambodia and Indonesia provide firm guarantees against statelessness in the context of the renunciation of nationality, while some safeguards are also in place elsewhere in the region. 82 Similar guarantees are needed to prevent statelessness from arising due to loss or deprivation of nationality. 83 Given that migration is a significant phenomenon in the region, a particular area of concern is where nationality may be lost due to long-term absence from state territory. 84 Cases of statelessness have arisen, for instance, among Indonesian émigrés under the previous nationality law that allowed citizenship to be lost after more than a 5-year absence. This was one of the key points of reform when the Indonesian nationality law was amended in 2006 and nationality can now no longer be lost in this way if it would result in statelessness.⁸⁵ Transitional provisions also allowed those who had previously forfeited their citizenship to reacquire their nationality through simplified procedures, combining prevention with *reduction* of statelessness.⁸⁶

Safeguards are also needed to ensure that everyone starts out life with a nationality. Here, "one of the surest methods [to prevent statelessness] is to guarantee that individuals born on a state's territory have the right to that state's nationality *if they would not obtain any other*". ⁸⁷ This safeguard is laid down in the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness and a number of human rights instruments. Today, 100 states have a clear international legal obligation to grant nationality to children born on their soil who would otherwise have none. ⁸⁸ Where children have been abandoned, their origin and parentage unknown, there is an even greater onus on the state to confer nationality so as to prevent statelessness. ⁸⁹

Examples of safeguards to ensure the child's right to a nationality in the ASEAN region

Malaysia: The following persons born on or after Malaysia Day are citizens by operation

of the law [...] every person born within the Federation who is not born a

citizen of any country. 90

⁸¹ UN General Assembly, *Resolution: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees*, A/RES/50/152, 21 December 1995.

 ⁸² See article 18 of the Cambodian Law on Nationality, Decree No. NS/RKM/1096/31, 1996; article 23 of the Law on
 Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006. Several other states offer safeguards that go at least some way to avoiding statelessness in this context, including Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Viet Nam and Myanmar.
 ⁸³ Cambodia's law is the only one in the region that does not prescribe the withdrawal of nationality under any circumstances.

⁸³ Cambodia's law is the only one in the region that does not prescribe the withdrawal of nationality under any circumstance All others allow nationality to be lost or deprived if certain conditions have been met, which may lead to statelessness. Nationality acquired automatically at birth tends to enjoy greater protection against loss or deprivation than nationality acquired through naturalisation, registration or marriage.

⁸⁴ Six countries currently provide in their law for the loss of nationality by all or some categories of citizen when they take up residence abroad: Brunei Darussalam, Lao PDR, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand. Note that such loss of nationality is subject to various other conditions.

⁸⁵ Article 23 (i) of the Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006.

⁸⁶ Article 42 of the Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006. This policy is discussed in more detail in section 5 where efforts for the reduction of statelessness are studied.

⁸⁷ Emphasis added. António Guterres (UN High Commissioner for Refugees) and Louise Arbour (UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, *The hidden world of the stateless*, November 2007.

⁸⁸ UNHCR, UNHCR Action to Address Statelessness – A strategy note, March 2010.

⁸⁹ This is explicitly provided for in the 1930 Hague Convention on Certain Questions Relating to Conflict of Nationality Laws, the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, the European Convention on Nationality and the Covenant on the Rights of the Child in Islam. It is also strongly evidenced in state practice. See, for instance, UNHCR, *Final report concerning the questionnaire on statelessness pursuant to the Agenda for Protection*, March 2004.

⁹⁰ Section 1 (e), Part II of the Second Schedule of the Federal Constitution of Malaysia, 1957, as amended. Note that for the purposes of implementing this provision, a person is to be treated as having at birth any nationality that is acquired within one year afterwards by virtue of registration with a foreign embassy. Section 2 (3), Part II of the Second Schedule of the Federal Constitution of Malaysia, 1957, as amended.

Viet Nam: Abandoned newborns and children found in the Vietnamese territory whose

parents are unknown, have Vietnamese nationality.⁹¹

Lao PDR: In the event that one of the parents is a Lao citizen and the other parent is an

apatrid, the children will be considered Lao citizens by birth without taking

their place of birth into consideration.⁹²

Children born in the territory of the Lao People's Democratic Republic to apatrid parents permanently residing in the Lao People's Democratic Republic and integrated into the Lao society and culture will acquire Lao citizenship if

requested by their parents.93

Children found in the territory of the Lao People's Democratic Republic and

whose parents identity is unknown will be considered Lao citizens.⁹⁴

Indonesia: Citizen of the Republic of Indonesia is [...] children born in Indonesian

territory whose parents are of undetermined citizenship at the time of the child's birth; children newly born and found in Indonesian territory and whose parents are undetermined; [and] children born in Indonesian territory whom at the time of birth both parents were stateless or whose whereabouts are

undetermined.95

A further point to consider when assessing the potential for statelessness to be created is whether everyone enjoys equal protection under the law. If there are elements of discrimination on the grounds of gender, religion or ethnicity, this can increase the risk of statelessness for particular groups. Thanks to the international legal principle of non-discrimination and the influence of CEDAW, many states have now corrected any gender inequality that was previously present in their nationality laws. This trend can also be seen in the ASEAN region. In most circumstances, women can now pass on their nationality to their children on equal terms with men. Singapore and Indonesia, for example, both amended their nationality law on exactly this point in recent years (2004 and 2006 respectively). However, there are still a number of states that have yet to provide for equality between men and women in the right to confer nationality through marriage. In terms of other forms of discrimination, a small number of nationality laws in the region make reference to race or ethnicity. This is one of the circumstances that underlies the statelessness of the Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State in Myanmar. However, states are also moving away from this kind of distinction. For instance, in

0

⁹¹ Article 18 of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008. Note that One of the explicit objectives of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality is the "restriction of the situation of non-nationality". In accordance with this ambition, the law "creates conditions for children born in the Vietnamese territory to have a nationality and stateless persons permanently residing in Viet Nam to acquire Vietnamese nationality". Article 8 of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008.

⁹² Article 11 of the Law on Lao Nationality, No. 06/90/PSA, 1990, as amended.

⁹³ Article 12 of the Law on Lao Nationality, No. 06/90/PSA, 1990, as amended.

⁹⁴ Article 13 of the Law on Lao Nationality, No. 06/90/PSA, 1990, as amended.

⁹⁵ Article 4, paragraphs 9-11 of the Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006.

⁹⁶ One exception is Malaysia where women cannot transmit their nationality to their children if they are born outside state territory. Section 1 (b), (c) and (d), Part II of the Second Schedule of the Federal Constitution of Malaysia, 1957, as amended. In addition, there is some conflicting information with regard to the nationality law of Brunei Darussalam. Some sources cite the adoption of an amendment in 2002 which allows women to pass nationality to their children on the same terms as men. See Freedom House, *Freedom in the World – Brunei (2006)*, 19 December 2005; US Department of State, 2007 Report on International Religious Freedom – Brunei, 14 September 2007. However, this revised version of the law was not available to the researcher and other sources continue to express concern as to the gender inequality in the nationality law. See Human Rights Council, Compilation prepared by the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in accordance with paragraph 15 (b) of the annex to Human Rights Council Resolution 5/1 – Brunei Darussalam, A/HRC/WG.6/6/BRN/2, 7 August 2009

⁹⁷ These are Brunei Darussalam, Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand.

⁹⁸ Garcia and C. Olson, *Rohingya: Burma's forgotten minority*, Refugees International, 2008; Human Rights Watch, *Perilous Plight – Burma's Rohingya take to the seas*, 2009. Note that Brunei Darussalam also refers to acceptance as belonging to one of various specified indigenous groups of the Malay race as a basis for citizenship. Article 4 and the First Schedule of the

Indonesia, a differentiation between "natives" and "non-natives" based on ethnicity was also abolished with the 2006 legal reform. ⁹⁹

Preventing statelessness requires not only introducing safeguards in the letter of the law but also ensuring appropriate interpretation and application of the law. Procedural safeguards can play an important role here. By ensuring that decisions relating to nationality are properly motivated and subject to review, there is less room for arbitrary decision-making and greater opportunity to fully assess the circumstances so as to ensure that statelessness is prevented. As yet, few ASEAN states provide in their law for an opportunity to ask for a review of nationality-related decisions. Myanmar is an exception, where decisions of the Central Body on citizenship can be appealed before the Council of Ministers. In both the Philippines and Singapore, the law also provides for the possibility of a review in some cases. This is an area in which further lessons could be taken from outside the region.

4.2 Reducing the risk of statelessness by promoting birth registration

Promoting access to birth registration is another straightforward yet highly effective measure that can help to prevent statelessness. Birth registration vouches for the child's legal identity and provides official recognition of a child's date and place of birth as well as parentage. These are vital facts in determining the position of the child under applicable nationality laws, thus birth registration can help to avoid nationality disputes and statelessness. ¹⁰⁴ In the ASEAN region, there have been some major developments in this field in recent years. Progress can be traced at two levels: policy and practice.

In terms of creating a conducive legal or policy framework for birth registration, one recent example of reform is the new Civil Registration Act adopted in Thailand in 2008. Under the old law, there was some confusion as to whether children born in the state whose parents were not Thai nationals and had no right to reside in the country were eligible for birth registration. This is a highly pertinent question since Thailand has a large presence of irregular migrants. In the past, children born within these communities were commonly unable to access formal birth registration procedures. Through the new Civil Registration Act of 2008, the right of *all* children born in Thailand, regardless of their nationality

Brunei Nationality Act, No. 4, 1961, as amended to 2002. Lao PDR meanwhile offers facilitated access to naturalisation for "individuals of Lao race". Article 15 of the Law on Lao Nationality, No. 06/90/PSA, 1990, as amended.

⁹⁹ See the Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006. See also US Department of State, *US Department of State Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2006 – Indonesia*, 6 March 2007; Minority Rights Group International, *World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples – Indonesia: Overview*, June 2008.

¹⁰⁰ The right to due process and to an effective is widely recognised under international human rights law, including in article 2 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. See also article 8, paragraph 4 of the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness.

¹⁰¹ Article 70 of the Myanmar Citizenship Law, Pyithu Hluttaw Law No. 4, 1982.

¹⁰² Section 11 of the Act to provide for the acquisition of Philippine citizenship by naturalisation, Commonwealth Act No. 473, 1939, as amended; Article 133 and Section 2 of the Third Schedule of the Constitution of the Republic of Singapore, Act 8/65, 1965, as amended. Note that Brunei Darussalam and Malaysia also provide for a right of inquiry by a special committee in the context of a decision to deprive a person of his or her nationality. See article 11 (4) and (5) of the Brunei Nationality Act, No. 4, 1961, as amended to 2002; Article 27 of the Federal Constitution of Malaysia, 1957, as amended.

¹⁰³ See, for instance, Chapter IV of the 1997 European Convention on Nationality which is dedicated to "procedures relating to nationality" and provides for processing within a reasonable time, motivation of decisions in writing, reasonableness of fees and an opportunity for administrative or judicial review. The International Law Commission's Draft Articles on Nationality of Natural Persons in relation to the Succession of States and the Council of Europe Convention on the avoidance of statelessness in relation to State Succession also detail a number of procedural guarantees.

¹⁰⁴ See, for instance, UNHCR Standing Committee, *Birth registration: a topic proposed for an Executive Committee Conclusion on International Protection*, EC/61/SC/CRP.5, 9 February 2010.

¹⁰⁵ Thailand's Civil Registration Act (No.2), B.E. 2551, 15 February 2008 – amending Civil Registration Act B.E. 2534.

¹⁰⁶ The ambiguity stemmed, in particular, from instructions issued by the Bureau of Registration Administration establishing that persons with no (temporary) leave to reside in Thailand are not subject to the Civil Registration Law and cannot proceed with any civil registration other than notification of death. These instructions seemed to contradict the more inclusive terms of the old civil registration law itself, but the matter remained unclear and in practice access to birth registration was obstructed for such persons. See L. van Waas, "The children of irregular migrants: A stateless generation?" in *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights*, Vol. 25, No. 3, September 2007; UNESCO, *Citizenship Manual – Capacity building on birth registration and citizenship in Thailand*. 2008.

citizenship in Thailand, 2008.

107 See, for instance, Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations: Thailand, CRC/C/THA/CO/2, 27 January 2006; L. van Waas, Is permanent illegality inevitable? The challenge to ensuring birth registration and the right to a nationality for the children of irregular migrants – Thailand and the Dominican Republic, Plan International, 2006.

or the status of their parents, has been reaffirmed. On this basis, there has been renewed effort to facilitate the implementation of this crucial principle, including through the establishment of procedures to allow children born in the refugee camps along the Thai border to be registered at birth. Meanwhile, in Viet Nam, the 2004 Law on the Protection, Care and Education of Children and the 2005 Civil Code also provide that every child has the right to be registered. Among the changes brought in was the standardisation of birth registration for all ethnic groups, while protecting the practice of specific ethnic groups with regard to the name of the child. Ohanges to the law in the Philippines addressed another sociological obstacle to birth registration. The reform sought to minimise exclusion and stigmatization of children born out of wedlock by allowing children born to register using the name of the father, regardless of the parents' marital status.

Indonesia outlined its own commitment to universal birth registration in its 2002 Law on Child Protection and reaffirmed this commitment in the 2006 Law on Population Administration. However, in 2008, Indonesia was still considered to rank among the bottom 20 countries in the world in terms of birth registration coverage. This situation led the Ministry of Home Affairs to adopt the "National Strategy on Birth Registration: All children are registered by 2011". The strategy aims to translate Indonesia's domestic and international legal commitment to birth registration into a successful policy of universal birth registration on the ground. Similar efforts to put the law into practice using a wide variety of techniques can be seen throughout the region.

Lessons from Cambodia's campaign for universal birth registration

In 2000, the Committee on the Rights of the Child expressed concern that many births were going unrecorded in the country. In fact, at that time, only approximately 5% of the Cambodian population was registered. A pilot project was then carried out by the Ministry of Interior to test the ground for mass mobile registration. With continuing support from Plan and UNICEF, the campaign was subsequently rolled out nationwide. 1600 registration teams – some 13,000 people, many of whom volunteers, were trained. Thanks to this huge push, more than 7 million adults and children were registered during just the first 10 months of the national programme. Today, the Asian Development Bank estimates that over 90% of the Cambodian population is registered.

Numerous factors contributed to the success of this campaign. Guidelines were adopted and the law was subsequently reformed such that birth certificates could be issued free of charge throughout the campaign and thereafter no fee would be levied for birth registration within 30 days from birth. Only a nominal fine is incurred for the "late" registration of a birth beyond this time limit. Awareness raising was another main focus, using tools such as television and radio broadcasts, information posters and leaflets, a Civil Registration Awareness Bus that visited dozens of communities and the celebration of Universal Children's Day with a large children's fair centred around the importance of birth registration. The campaign invested in broad partnerships, getting local people involved in spreading the word and building trust – including teachers, monks and community leaders. This allowed fears surrounding the misuse of personal information and documentation, stemming from the era of the Pol Pot regime, to be overcome. Children were also invited to help design and implement parts of the campaign, further increasing the effectiveness of awareness raising activities. Another major focus throughout, was building the capacity of government officials to take charge of the registration process, for instance by ensuring a steady

¹⁰⁸ Correspondence with UNHCR Thailand, October 2010. The new law also carefully details birth registration procedures for foundlings – although they have no automatic entitlement to Thai nationality under the law. Section 14 of Thailand's Civil Registration Act (No.2), B.E. 2551, 15 February 2008 – amending Civil Registration Act B.E. 2534; Section 12/1 (2) of Thailand's Nationality Act, B.E. 2508, as amended.

¹⁰⁹ "Birth Registration in Vietnam" presented at the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Birth Registration, March 2006.

¹¹⁰ "UNICEF, Record, recognise, respect – Report of the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Birth Registration, March 2006.

¹¹¹ UNICEF welcomes National Strategy on registration of all children in Indonesia by 2011, UNICEF Media Release, 18 December 2008.

supply of civil registration materials and by arranging a trip to study registration practices in the Philippines. This will help to ensure sustainability in the long term. 112

Many lessons can be extracted from the innovative birth registration practices in ASEAN countries. The Committee on the Rights of the Child has commended, for example, the work of the "Flying Doctor Team" in Brunei which enabled children in remote areas of the country to be registered. 113 The institution of computerised registration systems with online data storage in Thailand has dramatically reduced the time taken to process birth registration and made it possible for copies of missing documents to be issued instantly on site at any office. 114 This move towards computerisation is also allowing new avenues to be pursued for the promotion of birth registration through Thai hospitals, by directly linking hospital records to the civil registration system. 115 Village midwives can now play a role in procedures in Indonesia to allow early and easy access to birth registration. 116 In Lao PDR, the Ministry of Security has offered training to village police officers to encourage them to support the civil registration process. 117 The Indonesian Head of State and First Lady have taken on the role of champions and advocates for the campaign for universal birth registration in their country. 118 And in the Philippines, a Memorandum of Understanding was settled between the National Statistics Office, Plan Philippines and a national television network allowing infomercials on birth registration to be broadcast free of charge on television and radio stations across the country. 119 By sharing these and other good practices, ASEAN countries can further strengthen their birth registration systems and avoid leaving children at risk of statelessness.

4.3 Verification and confirmation of nationality

Where an individual or group's nationality is uncertain or undocumented, states can take action to confirm or certify their citizenship. This can also be a way to pre-empt any question of statelessness if the application of the nationality law to a particular group has been called into question by a third party. Such confirmation and certification of nationality may also take place on a case-by-case basis. Where an individual's nationality is unclear or disputed, for instance due to lack of documentation, procedures can be put in place to investigate. 120

An example of such practices can be found in Thailand – a receiving country for large numbers of migrants, principally from Myanmar, Lao PDR and Cambodia. The Thai government concluded a Memorandum of Understanding with each of these countries in a bid to address the problem of

¹¹² Human Rights Committee, State Party Report: Cambodia, CCPR/C/81/Add.12, 23 September 1998; Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations: Cambodia, CRC/C/15/Add.128, 28 June 2000; S. Setha, "Birth Registration in Cambodia" presented at the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Universal Birth Registration, Bangkok, March 2006; "UNICEF, Record, recognise, respect - Report of the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Birth Registration, March 2006; S. Heap and C. Cody, "The Universal Birth Registration Campaign" in Forced Migration Review, Issue 32, 2009; Count Every Child: The right to birth registration, Plan Report, 2009; Plan's birth registration campaign in Cambodia, Web update, accessed August 2010.

¹¹³ Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations; Brunei Darussalam, 27 October 2003.

¹¹⁴ K. Kaewdee, "Thailand Country Paper" presented at the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Universal Birth Registration, Bangkok, March 2006.

Ensuring the 'first right' online, Bangkok Post [Thailand], 11 October 2010.

¹¹⁶ Plan's birth registration campaign in Indonesia, Web update, accessed August 2010.

^{117 &}quot;Birth registration in Lao PDR" presented at the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Universal Birth

Registration, Bangkok, March 2006.

118 "UNICEF, Record, recognise, respect – Report of the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Birth Registration,

^{119 &}quot;Philippines Country Paper" presented at the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Universal Birth Registration, Bangkok, March 2006.

This type of verification of nationality is a particularly important tool for preventing statelessness among undocumented migrants and victims of trafficking. UNHCR Executive Committee, Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons, No. 106, 6 October 2006. In the same Conclusion the Committee also "Calls upon States Parties to the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children and the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, both supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, to respect their obligation to assist in verifying the nationality of the persons referred to them who have been smuggled or trafficked with a view to issuing travel and identity documents and facilitating the return of such persons; and, encourages other States to provide similar assistance".

undocumented migrants and better regulate migration flows. Migrants who have their nationality verified will be entitled to stay and work legally in Thailand. Between 1 and 1.5 million irregular migrants could potentially benefit from this policy. By July 2010, close to 400,000 migrants had successfully completed nationality verification and been issued with a document attesting to their nationality. This enables them to obtain a work permit in Thailand, regularising their stay and improving their enjoyment of rights in the country. It also serves to prevent statelessness among the persons concerned by ensuring that they have proof of nationality. However, there is currently no contingency in place to address the status of those persons who were unable to complete the nationality verification – i.e. individuals who submitted their application but were not confirmed to be nationals of the relevant state. This failure to obtain nationality verification may signal a problem of statelessness. Therefore, when conducting nationality verification, it will also be important to consider the appropriate next step to address the situation of persons who remain without confirmation of nationality.

4.4 Challenges in the prevention of statelessness

In accordance with their human rights obligations, states across the ASEAN region are promoting the enjoyment of the right to a nationality by investing significantly in measures to prevent statelessness. However, none are state parties to the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness. This presents a challenge to the extent that the 1961 Convention is the only universal instrument providing concrete, detailed guidance on the avoidance of statelessness. While many of the safeguards it prescribes have nevertheless made their way into the nationality laws of ASEAN countries, accession to the 1961 Convention would help states to identify and address any remaining gaps in their legislation. The 1961 Convention outlines a harmonised framework for dealing with the specific circumstance where individuals would otherwise be stateless, while leaving otherwise in tact state parties' freedom to regulate access to nationality in accordance with their own interests and their other international legal obligations. Regardless of the question of accession, ASEAN countries would benefit from a more detailed review of their nationality legislation to see if the right to a nationality is adequately promoted in accordance with their human rights obligations and identify areas in which the prevention of statelessness can be strengthened through the incorporation of additional safeguards.

Of equal importance, is promoting the implementation of the law in a manner that takes into account the need to prevent statelessness. This may require further awareness-raising and capacity building. For instance, migrants must be kept informed of procedures that they are required to follow, while abroad, in order to retain their nationality or to secure a nationality for their children. Meanwhile, easy access to consular authorities may also need to be assured in the receiving state. The context of irregular migration poses a particular challenge. Irregular migrants may have apprehensions about travelling to or registering with any state entity because of the potential consequences for their situation. Similar fears may hamper individual verification or confirmation of nationality. Thus, for example, when migrants

-

¹²¹ IOM, Thailand approved a new registration round for irregular migrant workers from Myanmar/Burma, Lao PDR and Cambodia, Migrant Information Note, Issue 1, June 2009; IOM, Procedures for nationality verification of Myanmar/Burma nationals in Thailand, Migrant Information Note, Issue 2, August 2009; IOM, Registration and Nationality Verification 2009 at a glance, Migrant Information Note, Issue 3, November 2009; IOM, Extension of nationality verification process – Frequently Asked Questions, Migrant Information Note, Issue 4, February 2010; IOM, Nationality Verification Regulations: February-April 2010 updates, Migrant Information Note, Issue 5, April 2010.

¹²² Of these, 137,349 were Cambodian nationals, 115,737 Laotian and 142,338 were citizens of Myanmar. Note that nationality verification for migrants from Lao PDR and Cambodia commenced in 2006 and for migrants from Myanmar in July 2009. IOM, Migrant Information Note, Issue 7, September 2010.

¹²³ Note that the implementation of this nationality verification exercise is not without its difficulties. The verification process only targets the migrant workers themselves and does not extend to their dependents or children, which may leave them without evidence of their nationality. Moreover, concerns have been raised regarding the consequences of the nationality verification procedure – as well as for those who fail to complete it – for the situation of persons who may be in need of international protection, including the principle of non-refoulement. IOM, Thailand approved a new registration round for irregular migrant workers from Myanmar/Burma, Lao PDR and Cambodia, Migrant Information Note, Issue 1, June 2009; UN Expert on Migrants raises alarm on threat of massive deportations from Thailand, Media Statement, 18 February 2010.
¹²⁴ The pertinent resolution of the Cabinet calls for the National Security Council and related authorities to look into how to address the situation of persons whose applications for nationality verification are rejected. IOM, Extension of nationality verification process – Frequently Asked Questions, Migrant Information Note, Issue 4, February 2010.

¹²⁵ Indonesia, for instance, has therefore established consulate offices that directly service areas of Malaysia where a high concentration of Indonesian migrants can be found.

from Myanmar proved reluctant to apply for nationality verification, the Thai Ministry of Labour developed and circulated an information brochure to tackle the specific concerns that this community had regarding the process. ¹²⁶ A continuous appraisal of the situation on the ground is therefore critical.

The same is true for birth registration. Arguably the greatest remaining challenge for the ASEAN region lies not in the legal framework, but in its implementation. A variety of factors underlie the enduring difficulties in putting universal birth registration into practice across the region. These include: lack of public awareness on the procedures, inadequate decentralisation of the system, insufficient prioritisation of birth registration, insufficient capacity of civil registry offices, prevalence of home births, cultural traditions that are not conducive to immediate registration and language barriers. Several groups are also seen to be especially vulnerable non-registration. Ize Identifying specific constraints and dissecting relevant good practices, such as those presented earlier, will enable states to make even greater strides in preventing statelessness in future.

5. Reduction of statelessness

"Reduction" of statelessness describes any efforts taken to find solutions to *existing* cases. One way to achieve this is through a large-scale reduction campaign of some kind. Various techniques have been employed across the world over the last few years. The global impact is impressive, as "more than 3.5 million people were able either to acquire or confirm a nationality between the end of 2004 and the end of 2008". Less immediately visible, yet of great importance, is a second avenue for the reduction of statelessness: individual naturalisation. ¹³⁰

5.1 (Re)acquisition of nationality following legislative reform

As previously mentioned, numerous countries within the region have amended their nationality laws in recent years. In some cases, these changes have brought an opportunity for people who had been rendered stateless under previous laws to now (re)acquire a nationality.

Legislative reform opens doors for the reduction of statelessness in Indonesia

Indonesia adopted a new nationality law in 2006 which brought important changes for the state's citizenship policy. Previously, nationality could only be passed from *father* to child. Such gender inequality created a heightened risk of statelessness – particularly among children of mixednationality parentage. Meanwhile, a person who resided abroad for more than 5 years would lose their nationality if they did not declare their intention to remain a citizen, regardless of whether this would render someone stateless. Given the large numbers of Indonesian migrant workers dispersed around the world, the threat of statelessness under this provision was very real. By the turn of the 21st century, this 1958 nationality law was considered "philosophically, judicially and sociologically no longer compatible to the development of the people and the civic administration of the Republic of Indonesia". Thus, Indonesia reformed the law to introduce gender equality and prevent statelessness from loss of nationality following long-term residence abroad.

19

 ¹²⁶ IOM, Nationality Verification Regulations: February-April 2010 updates, Migrant Information Note, Issue 5, April 2010.
 127 See the various country presentations made at the 4th Asia and the Pacific Regional Conference on Birth Registration, March 2006.

¹²⁸ For instance, in Indonesia rural areas are the worst affected, while in Brunei Darussalam, children who have been abandoned are particularly vulnerable to non-registration. *UNICEF welcomes National Strategy on registration of all children in Indonesia by 2011*, UNICEF Media Release, 18 December 2008; Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Concluding Observations: Brunei Darussalam*, 27 October 2003.

¹²⁹ UNHCR, Progress Report on Statelessness 2009, EC/60/SC/CRP.10, 26 May 2009.

¹³⁰ States are also encouraged to "actively disseminate information regarding access to citizenship, including naturalization procedures, through the organization of citizenship information campaigns with the support of UNHCR, as appropriate". This is to ensure that stateless persons are aware of reduction opportunities and can take action accordingly. UNHCR Executive Committee, *Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons*, No. 106, 6 October 2006.

[&]quot;General Explanation" of the Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006.

Critically, transitional clauses were added to the new law in order to address any existing problems. Under article 41, a child born before the entry into force of the law, whose mother is Indonesian, was given four years to register for Indonesian nationality. Similarly, under article 42, a person who lost their nationality due to long-term residence abroad under the old law could apply for reacquisition of Indonesian citizenship within three years. Unofficial sources reported that several hundred children of Indonesian mothers and non-national fathers were granted nationality within a few months after the new law entered into force. Meanwhile, several thousand migrants in Malaysia alone have successfully re-acquired their Indonesian nationality. In particular among this latter group, it is likely that this policy has had a significant impact in terms of reducing cases of statelessness.

In Viet Nam, legal reform presented an opportunity to both reduce existing cases of statelessness and prevent new ones. There, problems stemmed from the lack of adequate safeguards under the previous law to protect women from statelessness in the context of marriage and divorce. Many women who married foreigners – principally Chinese, Korean and Taiwanese men – renounced their Vietnamese citizenship in order to apply for their husband's nationality. If the marriage broke down before the new nationality was granted, the women were left stateless. The numbers are significant, with more than 50,000 marriages contracted between Vietnamese women and foreign men from 1995 to 2002 and up to 10% of these marriages failing. The government estimated that at least 3,000 women were rendered stateless in these circumstances. This is why a particular focus of the new nationality law passed in 2008 was to allow such women to have their citizenship restored. 136

5.2 Citizenship campaigns

Whether in the context of the adoption of a new nationality policy or as a separate initiative, states may determine that the time is right for a citizenship campaign geared specifically towards the reduction of statelessness. Such a campaign can take shape in different ways. One possibility is the large-scale naturalisation of stateless persons residing on the state's territory, as seen in Indonesia, for instance, in addressing the situation of stateless ethnic Chinese. Following Indonesia's independence, the citizenship status of Chinese migrants residing on Indonesian soil was unclear. Many lacked the necessary documents to establish a longstanding tie to Indonesia and claim nationality under the law. However, nor did they adopt Chinese citizenship. Later, approximately 110,000 of these persons successfully petitioned for Indonesian citizenship and were granted nationality collectively by Decree. Similarly, in the Philippines, successive Presidential decrees passed in the 1970s provided for the "granting of citizenship to deserving aliens". The principal beneficiaries were ethnic Chinese –

_

¹³² The procedures for registration under these two clauses were set out in Regulation No. M.01.HL.03.01 of 2006 on Registration Procedures for Acquiring Indonesian Citizenship based on Article 41 and re-gaining Indonesian Citizenship based on Article 42 of the Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 12, 2006

¹³³ *Dual nationality*, Indonesia Matters Weblog citing information reported by Indonesia's Justice and Human Rights Minister Hamid Awaluddin in March 2007.

¹³⁴ Correspondence with UNHCR Malaysia, September 2010.

¹³⁵ UNHCR, Divorce leaves some Vietnamese women broken-hearted and stateless, 14 March 2007; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness, Refugees International, 2009; UNHCR, Vietnam sets the pace for Asia with new law to prevent statelessness, 1 July 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Vietnam, 11 March 2010.

¹³⁶ Article 23 (f) of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008. In order to reduce statelessness in accordance with this legal reform, the government now plans to conduct a full survey of the beneficiary population and develop an appropriate strategy for awareness-raising and legal assistance.

¹³⁷ Indonesia: 100 stateless people seek Indonesian Citizenship, The Jakarta Post [Indonesia], 10 February 2001; J. Sidel, Indonesia: Minorities, migrant workers, refugees and the new citizenship law, a Writenet Report for UNHCR, March 2007; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness, Refugees International, 2009.

¹³⁸ Presidential Decree No. 836 granting citizenship to deserving aliens and for other purposes, 3 December 1975; Presidential Decree No. 923 granting citizenship to deserving aliens and for other purposes, 20 April 1976.

tens of thousands of applicants and their dependents were naturalised.¹³⁹ These policies played an important part in preventing and reducing statelessness at that time and for successive generations. A more recent example is an initiative launched this summer by the Thai Senate: DNA testing will be offered to around 1,000 stateless persons, allowing them to access Thai citizenship by confirming their blood ties to a person who already holds Thai nationality.¹⁴⁰

Beyond the ASEAN region, numerous additional examples of dedicated citizenship campaigns can be identified. In Nepal, nearly 2.6 million citizenship certificates were issued as part of a mammoth nationwide programme in 2007, dramatically reducing the incidence of statelessness in the country. ¹⁴¹ In Sri Lanka, the "Grant of Citizenship to Persons of Indian Origin Act" was passed in 2003. This conferred nationality to a population of around 300,000 "Hill Tamils" who were left stateless under the nationality law adopted at the time of independence. ¹⁴² Elsewhere, Bangladesh, Iraq, Mauritania, the Russian Federation, Ukraine, the United Arab Emirates and Kyrgyzstan are among the growing list of states that have taken steps specifically to reduce statelessness. ¹⁴³ Therefore, there are now many sources of inspiration for the development of citizenship campaigns if states find that a situation of statelessness within their borders demands a dedicated reduction exercise.

5.3 Facilitated naturalisation

Individual cases of statelessness can also be resolved over time through regular naturalisation procedures. In view of the importance of ensuring that everyone enjoys a nationality, international law now calls for the naturalisation of stateless persons to be *facilitated*.¹⁴⁴ In other words, it should be easier stateless persons to qualify for naturalisation and process an application than is perhaps the case for other non-nationals. Just one country in the ASEAN region makes explicit reference to stateless persons in its regular provisions for naturalisation: Lao PDR. The required period of residence that must be met prior to applying for naturalisation is reduced if the applicant is stateless.¹⁴⁵

Facilitated naturalisation for stateless persons in Viet Nam

One objective of Viet Nam's 2008 nationality law was to create conditions for "stateless persons permanently residing in Viet Nam to acquire Vietnamese nationality". The law does not establish lasting procedures for the facilitated naturalisation of stateless persons. However, it does

^{. . .}

¹³⁹ Teresita Ang See, "Influx of new Chinese immigrants to the Philippines: problems and challenges", paper presented at the 5th Conference of the International Society of Chinese Overseas, Copenhagen, 2004; E. Palanca, "Chinese education in the Philippines and Malaysia: a comparative study" in *Journal of Malaysian Chinese Studies*, Volume 7, 2004.

DNA test on 984 stateless persons launched, The Nation [Thailand], 7 July 2010.
 Statelessness: Major progress in Sri Lanka, Nepal and Bangladesh, UNHCR Briefing Note, 25 September 1007; N. Gurung and E. Paulsen, "Nepal moves mountains" in Refugees Magazine, No. 147, 2007; P. White, "Reducing de facto statelessness in Nepal" in Forced Migration Review, Issue 32, 2009; UNHCR, UNHCR progress report on statelessness 2009, EC/60/SC/CRP.10, 29 May 2009.

¹⁴²Sri Lanka makes citizens out of stateless tea pickers, UNHCR News Story, 7 October 2004; S. Perera, "Sri Lankan success story" in *Refugees Magazine*, No. 147, 2007; M. Manly and S. Persaud, "UNHCR and responses to statelessness" in *Forced Migration Review*, Issue 32, 2009; UNHCR, *UNHCR progress report on statelessness 2009*, EC/60/SC/CRP.10, 29 May 2009. ¹⁴³ Helping Crimean Tatars feel at home again, UNHCR News Story, 8 June 2005; *UNHCR welcomes UAE decision on stateless people*, UNHCR Briefing Note, 23 September 2008; B. Manby, *Struggles for citizenship in Africa*, chapter 4 on "Mass denationalisation and expulsion", Zed Books, London, 2009; Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC), *Challenges of forced displacement within Iraq*, 29 December 2008; M. Manly and S. Persaud, "UNHCR and responses to statelessness" in *Forced Migration Review*, Issue 32, 2009; K. Hussain, "The end of Bihari statelessness" in *Forced Migration Review*, Issue 32, 2009; UNHCR, *UNHCR progress report on statelessness 2009*, EC/60/SC/CRP.10, 29 May 2009; *Kyrgyzstan agrees on action plan to cut and prevent statelessness*, UNHCR News Story, 22 September 2009. ¹⁴⁴ See, for instance, article 32 of the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons.

¹⁴⁵ Note that this is only the case for applicants who are also "of Lao race". Thus, the regular qualifying period of residence is 10 years; for persons of Lao race, this is reduced to 5 years; and if the applicant, in addition to being of Lao race, holds no other nationality, then the residence period is reduced again to 3 years. See articles 14 and 14 of the Law on Lao Nationality, No. 06/90/PSA, 1990, as amended. Note that rendering access to facilitated naturalisation for stateless persons dependent on being of a particular race may raise questions with regard to the international principle of non-discrimination. Persons belonging to minorities such as other indigenous groups, as well as ethnic Vietnamese and Chinese minorities, will be excluded from the important benefits of this provision.

¹⁴⁶ Article 8 of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008.

instruct the government to institute a dedicated procedure for the naturalisation of stateless persons who had already been residing in Viet Nam for at least twenty years when the law was passed. Applications may be submitted by "stateless persons who do not have adequate personal identification papers but have been stably residing in the Vietnamese territory since July 1, 1989, or before". Importantly, to further facilitate access to these simplified procedures, applicants are exempt from the regular fees associated with naturalisation. ¹⁴⁷

Long before the ultimate application deadline, the positive impact of these dedicated procedures in terms of the reduction of statelessness is already evident. The first naturalisation ceremony was held in July 2010 in Ho Chi Min City. At this event, 287 stateless, former-Cambodian refugees were granted Vietnamese nationality. A second ceremony marked the completion of the naturalisation process for a further group of 142 persons in Mihn Long Commune at the start of October. It is expected that over the coming months, a total of around 2000 persons with the same profile will also be naturalised.

Regardless of the availability of *facilitated* procedures, there is evidence that some situations in the region are gradually being appeased as stateless persons naturalise. In Indonesia, the recent legal reform simplified the overall requirements and procedure for naturalisation. In Brunei, the progressive resolution of cases through naturalisation appears to have been the principal approach to reducing statelessness among long-term ethnic Chinese residents and others. According to a recent report, over 30,000 people have been granted citizenship since the nationality law was adopted in the early 1960s. This figure was announced in the context of the 28th "presentation of citizenship certificates ceremony" at which 283 persons were naturalised. Although separate statistics are not given, it is likely that a significant proportion of this group was previously stateless. Moreover, programmes can be implemented to help stateless persons develop the capacity to meet conditions for naturalisation. For instance, in Myanmar, UNHCR has been funding language classes for Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State. State.

-

¹⁴⁷ Article 2 (c) of Decree No. 78/2009/ND-CP of September 22, 2009 detailing and guiding a number of articles of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality.

¹⁴⁸ To benefit from the special procedures, applications must be submitted before the deadline of 31 December 2012.

¹⁴⁹ Correspondence with UNHCR Cambodia.

¹⁵⁰ Vietnam ends stateless limbo for 2,300 former Cambodians, UNHCR News Story, 19 July 2010; UN hails Viet Nam's moves to end statelessness of Cambodian refugees, UN News Services, 20 July 2010; Vietnam grants citizenship to Cambodian refugees: UN, Agence France Presse [France], 20 July 2010.

Cambodian refugees: UN, Agence France Presse [France], 20 July 2010.

151 J. Sidel, Indonesia: Minorities, migrant workers, refugees and the new citizenship law, a Writenet Report for UNHCR, March 2007.

¹⁵² 283 granted Brunei citizenship, The Brunei Times [Brunei Darussalam], 25 April 2010.

Brunei Darussalam granted permanent residence status to just under 50,000 persons between 1958 and 2009. Of this number, almost half were categorised as "stateless". With around 30,000 persons granted nationality over the same period, it can safely be assumed that a substantial number of these were previously stateless. Parents blamed for 'stateless' children, The Brunei Times [Brunei Darussalam], 23 March 2010. See also US Department of State, US Department of State Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2005 - Brunei, 8 March 2006; US Department of State, US Department of State Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2006 - Brunei, 6 March 2007; 240 to receive Brunei citizenship, Borneo Bulletin [Brunei Darussalam], 17 December 2008; 208 Receive citizenship at ceremony, Borneo Bulletin [Brunei Darussalam], 7 June 2009. There are also reports that Brunei Darussalam amended its law to allow stateless persons over the age of 50 to satisfy the language requirement for naturalisation through an oral, rather than written, test. US Department of State, US Department of State Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2003 - Brunei, 25 February 2004; US Department of State, US Department of State Country Report on Human Rights Practices 2006 - Brunei, 6 March 2007; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness, Refugees International, 2009. Note that other sources reported a tightening of access to naturalisation. See Minority Rights Group International, World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Persons – Brunei Darussalam: Chinese, 2008; 283 granted Brunei citizenship, The Brunei Times [Brunei Darussalam], 25 April 2010; As before, note that this revised version of the law was not available to the researcher so the content of this reform could not be confirmed.

 $^{^{154}}$ Myanmar: UNHCR promotes first significant steps towards citizenship for disenfranchised minority, UNHCR News Story, 23 July 2007.

5.4 Challenges in the reduction of statelessness

Encouraged by recent efforts by different countries to reduce statelessness, UNHCR set a target for the world of confirming or granting nationality to at least a further 500,000 stateless persons during 2010 and 2011. The developments highlighted above show how the ASEAN region is playing its part in meeting this target. However, no comprehensive data is available on the overall impact of the laws and policies discussed, making it difficult to determine the exact scope of advances made in the region in terms of the reduction of statelessness.

In fact, the overall lack of detailed and reliable information on current situations of statelessness may be hampering the further development of reduction strategies in the region. As previously discussed, many countries have identified populations that are at heightened risk of statelessness, but the number and profile of persons who are stateless *at present* is unclear. This can form an obstacle to the reduction of statelessness. For example, incomplete identification can result in inflated figures that may discourage stakeholders from taking up the issue. Even with the required will to begin to resolve cases of statelessness, the population concerned must be fully mapped before an appropriate strategy can be developed. Therefore, until the identification of statelessness is tackled in a more consolidated fashion, reduction efforts may not be fully effective and may neglect some potential beneficiaries. Nevertheless, the growing catalogue of examples of substantial reduction efforts both within and outside the region is evidence of what can be achieved. These examples, when explored in detail, provide valuable guidance as to how similar results can be accomplished in comparable situations elsewhere – what steps are involved, what obstacles may come up and what solutions can be implemented.

While a comprehensive reduction campaign is the most direct way to achieve results when a stateless population has been identified, the importance of individual naturalisation procedures should not be underestimated. There is a danger that by developing a strategy that only addresses the known situations of statelessness some persons may be overlooked by what is essentially an *ad hoc* policy. Or, indeed, the adoption of such a strategy may currently be out of reach. By providing in the law for the facilitated naturalisation of stateless persons, states are able to reduce statelessness over time without needing to fully map situations of statelessness within their borders. Legislation in the ASEAN region remains underdeveloped in this area and states should give renewed thought to facilitating the naturalisation of stateless persons – as a stand-alone reduction strategy or a compliment to other ongoing reduction efforts. Here, article 32 of the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons provides guidance that can be informative whether a state has acceded to this instrument or not.¹⁵⁶

6. Protection of stateless persons

Where stateless persons have been identified, it is important to ensure that they enjoy their fundamental rights as set out under international law until their situation is resolved. This is what "protection" means: respecting, protecting and fulfilling the rights of stateless persons. These include the right to education, to work, to healthcare, to marry, to access courts, to travel and many others. In accordance with their human rights obligations, states bear a responsibility to protect these rights for all persons within their jurisdiction, including those who are stateless.

_

¹⁵⁵ UNHCR, Global Strategic Priorities (1.3), EC/60/SC/INF.2, 31 August 2009.

^{156 &}quot;The Contracting States shall as far as possible facilitate the assimilation and naturalisation of stateless persons. They shall in particular make every effort to expedite naturalisation proceedings and to reduce as far as possible the changes and costs of such proceedings". Article 32 of the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons. The European Convention on Nationality also provides guidance on facilitated naturalisation for stateless persons, declaring that "favourable conditions" should be put in place which may include "a reduction of the length of required residence, less stringent language requirements, an easier procedure and lower procedural fees". See Council of Europe, *European Convention on Nationality: Explanatory Report*, Strasbourg, 1997. Note that UNHCR's Executive Committee has encouraged states which have not yet acceded to the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless persons "to consider, as appropriate, facilitating the naturalization of habitually and lawfully residing stateless persons in accordance with national legislation". UNHCR Executive Committee, *Conclusion on Identification, Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons*, No. 106, 6 October 2006.

A dedicated stateless person status determination procedure enables states to establish who is entitled to benefit from any special protection regime that has been put in place in response to statelessness. Recognising a person as stateless and granting an appropriate legal status can therefore facilitate the implementation of other protection measures. To determine if a person is stateless, an examination must be made of the nationality legislation of relevant countries and how the law has been interpreted and applied in the context of the case at hand. This may require contacting the authorities of the respective countries. As such, a provision like article 39 of Viet Nam's nationality law can be helpful. According to that article, among the "responsibilities of the Government for nationality" is to "enter into international cooperation on nationality". This, along with the definition of a stateless person provided under the same law, gives the authorities the basic tools for status determination.

As mentioned earlier, no ASEAN country currently offers access to a dedicated stateless person status determination procedure on an ongoing basis. In terms of principles and procedures that could be established, the practices of countries outside the region that do conduct status determination can be informative. Yet, even without a dedicated procedure for stateless person status determination in place, states may identify individual cases of statelessness through a variety of avenues. One outcome of nationality verification for undocumented migrants – such as that conducted in Thailand, as discussed above – may be the identification of a residual group for whom no nationality can be confirmed. Where this is the case, providing for the possibility of recognising these individuals as stateless will help to stabilise their situation and promote protection. In states where asylum claims are processed, among the applicants for refugee status there may be persons who have no nationality. This process can therefore offer an opportunity to attribute stateless person status accordingly. Alternatively, a state may simply be able to recognize a person as belonging to a known stateless population within its territory, without the need for formal status determination in individual cases. 162

Perhaps more important than the question of how statelessness is determined, is the question of what effect is given to recognition as a stateless person. Ideally, recognition will lead to the conferral of an appropriate legal status – one that guarantees stability and access to rights. ¹⁶³ Unfortunately, none of the

_

¹⁵⁷ It is important to note that the authorities of any relevant countries should only be approached in the context of stateless person status determination "once it is certain that the person is not entitled to asylum since an exchange with the country in question could put the person at risk". UNHCR, *UNHCR Action to Address Statelessness – A strategy note*, March 2010. ¹⁵⁸ Article 39 (6) of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008. See also, for instance, various articles of international instruments relating to nationality that call for international cooperation and information exchange, such as articles 23 and 24 of the European Convention on Nationality and article 18 of the International Law Commission's Draft Articles on Nationality of Natural Persons in relation to the Succession of States.

Article 3 (2) of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008.

Article 3 (2) of the Law on Vietnamese Nationality, Order No. 22/2008/L-CTN, 2008.

Brain, for example, a dedicated procedure was established by Royal Decree 865/2001 of 20 July approving the Regulation for the Recognition of the Status of Stateless Persons. Applicants may approach police stations or the Office for Asylum and Refugees (part of the Ministry of Interior). The office will conduct an investigation to determine if the person is stateless in accordance with various rules of evidence and procedure. Several other states have also taken steps to identify a competent decision-maker and outline procedures for stateless person status determination – including France, Italy, Hungary and Mexico. C. Batchelor, *The 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons: Implementation within European Union Member States and Recommendations for Harmonisation*, UNHCR, October 2003; G. Gyulai, Forgotten without reason. Protection of non-refugee stateless persons in Central Europe, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2007; UNHCR and IPU, Nationality and Statelessness: A Handbook for Parliamentarians, 2008; UNHCR, UNHCR progress report on statelessness 2009, EC/60/SC/CRP.10, 29 May 2009; G. Gyulai, "Remember the forgotten, protect the unprotected" in Forced Migration Review, Issue 32, 2009. It is of interest to note that the experience of such countries shows that providing for a dedicated determination procedure and status of "stateless person" under the law has not created a significant pull factor for migration. Over the period from 2001 to 2006, Spain saw an average of less than 70 applications per year for stateless person status and recognised only 23 applicants as stateless over this entire period. G. Gyulai, Forgotten without reason. Protection of the period form 2001 to 2006, Spain saw an average of less than 70 applications per year for stateless person status and recognised only 23 applicants as stateless over this entire

non-refugee stateless persons in Central Europe, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2007 As is, for instance, the case in the Philippines under the Department of Justice.

¹⁶² In this context, note that UNHCR's guidance suggests that individual determination of stateless person status will not generally be required where activities are undertaken on behalf of an entire population. Indeed, "resources should not be dedicated to a formal determination of statelessness where a realistic, immediate goal is the acquisition, reacquisition or confirmation of nationality by such a population". UNHCR, *UNHCR Action to Address Statelessness – A strategy note*, March 2010

¹⁶³ Again, in Spain for instance, recognition of an individual as stateless results in the granting of legal residence and access to protection under the country's laws as a stateless person.C. Batchelor, *The 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless*

domestic laws currently in force in the ASEAN region provide specifically for the legal status of "stateless person". As a consequence, the status of stateless persons often remains ambiguous or is dealt with on an ad hoc basis as cases come to the attention of the state. Thus, in Malaysia for instance, the Immigration Act (Act 1959/63) does not differentiate between refugees, asylum-seekers and stateless persons. 164 However, section 55 (1) of the Immigration Act gives the Home Minister the power to exempt any person or group from the provisions of the Act. This section provides a legal basis for promoting the protection of certain groups and individuals by offering them a temporary residence permit called the IMM13. In 2006, the government announced it would use this avenue to improve the legal status of people from the Muslim population of northern Rakhine State in Myanmar who had settled in Malaysia, whose situation is characterised by both statelessness and asylum-related concerns. A registration exercise was initiated to issue IMM13 permits to Muslims of northern Rakhine State in order to allow them to work, attend school and live in the country legally. This exercise was later suspended, but it nevertheless illustrates what steps could be taken to provide a stateless population with a stable legal status and promote their enjoyment of rights through ad hoc remedies based on existing domestic law. 165 A similar approach has also been seen in Thailand where, over the years, successive Cabinet Resolutions established a dedicated legal status for specified categories of nonnationals present in Thailand and granted temporary permission to reside under article 17 of the Immigration Act. 166 In this way, many stateless persons in Thailand have been able to access a legal status of some kind, contributing significantly to their enjoyment of rights. 167

6.2 Promoting access to personal documentation

The enjoyment of rights often hinges, in practice, on a person's ability to identify him or herself and show proof of legal status. A second critical element in protecting the rights of stateless persons is therefore the issuance of some form of personal documentation. Ideally, stateless persons would be issued with documents that vouch for both their identity and their status as a stateless person. In Thailand, for instance, as successive groups of non-nationals were granted a legal status under the immigration law, identity cards were also developed that verified this legal status.

Persons: Implementation within European Union Member States and Recommendations for Harmonisation, UNHCR, October 2003.

¹⁶⁴ UN Human Rights Council, *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education, Vernor Munoz Villalobos: addendum: mission to Malaysia*, A/HRC/11/8/Add.2, 20 March 2009.

165 International Federation for Human Rights, *Undocumented migrants and refugees in Malaysia: Raids, detention and discrimination*, 19 March 2008; US Committee for Refugees and Immigrants, *World Refugee Survey 2009 – Malaysia*, 17 June 2009; M. Lynch; K. Southwick, *Nationality rights for all: A progress report and global survey on statelessness*, Refugees International, 2009; Equal Rights Trust, *Trapped in a circle of flight: Stateless Rohingya in Malaysia*, January 2010.

166 Thus, for example, a Cabinet Resolution passed on 17 March 1992 granted legal migrant status to the Thai Lue who had been identified as lacking Thai nationality. Meanwhile, hill tribe people who were established in Thailand before 3 October 1985 – but did not hold Thai nationality – were granted the permanent right to reside in the country under Cabinet Resolutions passed in 1995 and 2000. Tang Lay Lee, *Statelessness, human rights and gender: irregular migrant workers from Burma in Thailand*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2005; International Rescue Committee, *Basic information on rights of migrant workers in Thailand*, IRC Protection Team, Bangkok Office, January 2006; UNESCO, *Citizenship Manual – Capacity building on birth registration and citizenship in Thailand*, 2008; US Department of State, 2007 *Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Thailand*, 11 March 2008.

¹⁶⁷ In addition, it has opened avenues for the prevention of statelessness among children of persons who hold one of these dedicated statuses and will facilitate the reduction of statelessness as Thailand develops new policies in this area. This shows how efforts to protect stateless persons can complement and reinforce the prevention and reduction of statelessness. Punthip Kanchanachittra Saisoonthorn, "Development of concepts of nationality and the efforts to reduce statelessness in Thailand" in *Refuge Survey Quarterly*, Vol. 25, Issue 3, 2006; L. van Waas, *Is permanent illegality inevitable? The challenge to ensuring birth registration and the right to a nationality for the children of irregular migrants – Thailand and the Dominican Republic, Plan International, 2006; UNESCO, <i>Citizenship Manual – Capacity building on birth registration and citizenship in Thailand*, 2008

This is one of the special measures provided for in the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, under which state parties are to issue identity documents to any stateless persons in their territory. Article 27 of the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons.

¹⁶⁹ The multitude of specific legal statuses created led to a complex system of colour-coded cards, which has more recently been merged with the regular identity card system using 13-digit personal identification numbers. International Rescue Committee, *Basic information on rights of migrant workers in Thailand*, IRC Protection Team, Bangkok Office, January 2006; UNESCO, *Citizenship Manual – Capacity building on birth registration and citizenship in Thailand*, 2008; US Department of State, 2007 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Thailand, 11 March 2008.

Issuance of personal identity documentation in Myanmar

In 1995, the Myanmar government instituted a policy that would allow stateless Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State to acquire personal identity documents. The authorities began to provide this population with white "Temporary Registration Certificates" (TRCs) and the issuance of these documents has been an ongoing process since. Efforts were stepped up in 2007 thanks to logistical support from UNHCR.¹⁷⁰

The issuance of a TRC confirms the lawful residence of the holder in northern Rakhine State. As such, the possession of a TRC may help to confirm the individual's eligibility for citizenship if the authorities change their policy on access to nationality for this population in the future. In the meantime, the certificates may help to improve the holders' legal status and stabilize their situation. The document facilitates the enjoyment of a number of rights for which proof of identity is necessary. For instance, the TRC is crucial for gaining a marriage license or travel authorisation. TRCs also formed the basis for eligibility to participate in certain political processes, including the right to vote in national elections. ¹⁷¹

While identity documents are key to exercising rights within a state's borders, international travel can also play a part in the enjoyment of rights – for instance, where appropriate medical care can only be found abroad. Travel documents then become a necessity. The difficulty encountered by stateless persons is that travel is generally facilitated by a passport issued by the country of nationality. Without travel documents, stateless persons may resort to the use of irregular migration channels. As such they become especially vulnerable to being trafficked. The provision of a passport or other travel document to a stateless person is therefore one of the special measures that states need to pursue in recognition of the particularities of their situation. This is not only in the interest of individuals in terms of promoting the protection of rights, it can also help to combat transnational crime. Several countries have provided for precisely this possibility. In Brunei Darussalam, stateless permanent residents are issued with a so-called "International Certificate of Identity" (ICI) which allows them to travel abroad and return to the country. The Passport Act of the Philippines also provides for the issuance of a travel document, in lieu of a passport, to a stateless person who is a permanent resident. Meanwhile, in both Malaysia and Thailand there have been reports of cases in which special passports have been issued in order to facilitate the travel of stateless individuals.

^{. .}

¹⁷⁰ At the time of printing, the number of TRC holders was estimated to be around 385,000 people.

Myanmar: UNHCR promotes first significant steps towards citizenship for disenfranchised minority, UNHCR News Story, 23 July 2007; Human Rights Watch, Perilous Plight: Burma's Rohingya take to the seas, 2009; US Department of State, 2008 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Burma, 25 February 2009; UNHCR, UNHCR Global Report 2008 – Myanmar, June 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Report on International Religious Freedom – Burma, 26 October 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Burma, 11 March 2010.

¹⁷² For example, a UNESCO study of trafficking patterns in Thailand showed that lack of nationality was the factor which contributed most to the risk of trafficking among members of hill tribe communities. Y. Lertcharoenchok, "Searching for Identity" in *Step-by-step*, Newsletter of the UN Inter-Agency Project on Trafficking in Women and Children in the Mekong sub-region, Issue 5, 2001; D. Feingold, "UNESCO promotes Highland citizenship and birth registration to prevent human trafficking" in *FOCUS*, UNESCO Bangkok Newsletter, Issue 8, 2006.

¹⁷³ The 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons established a legal framework for the issuance of travel documents to stateless persons and for their mutual recognition by states so that travel can be facilitated in practice. See article 28 and the Schedule of the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons.

¹⁷⁴ The ICI format follows international standards for travel documents and a foreign visa can be entered on the certificate. Previously, the ICI listed the holder as "stateless" in the section reserved for status of citizenship. Since 2003 however, this section now indicated the holder to be a Permanent Resident of Brunei Darussalam, a change brought in to further facilitate travel by avoiding confusion with immigration authorities elsewhere. US Department of State, 2008 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Brunei Darussalam, 25 February 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Brunei Darussalam, 11 March 2010; As cited in Parents blamed for 'stateless' children, The Brunei Times [Brunei Darussalam], 23 March 2010.

¹⁷⁵ Section 13 (2) of the Philippine Passport Act of 1996.

¹⁷⁶ Citizenship for 91-year-old, The Star Online [Malaysia], 9 August 2010; Origami champion, 12, captures Thai hearts, The Independent on Sunday [United Kingdom], 5 September 2009; Stateless boy gets OK to travel to Japan for contest, The Japan Times [Japan], 5 September 2009.

6.3 Promoting the non-discriminatory enjoyment of rights

The protection of stateless persons can be directly improved by simply promoting non-discrimination in the enjoyment of rights. For example, if access to schooling is guaranteed for all children, regardless of nationality or status, the protection of stateless children is also assured. However, ensuring that stateless persons enjoy equal access to rights in practice may call for special measures to address any obstacles that they face due to the particularities of their situation. Only then can the protection of stateless persons be ensured and will states be able to satisfy their human rights obligations. For instance, in order to ensure that stateless persons in the Philippines are equally able to enjoy family rights, domestic rules relating to the contracting of marriage by non-citizens have been tailored to take into account their specific situation. Foreigners are generally required to submit a certificate issued by their respective diplomatic or consular office that attests to their legal capacity to contract marriage. Stateless persons, however, may submit an affidavit in lieu of this document, since there is no diplomatic or consular office necessarily obliged to issue documentation for them. 1777

Protecting the rights of stateless persons in Malaysia

After a visit to the country, the UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education reported that "one of the most serious education-related problems in Malaysia [is] the lack of access to education, at all levels, for children lacking Malaysian citizenship status, including refugee children, asylum-seekers, children of migrant workers and stateless children". The Ministry of Education has since renewed its pledge to offer an education to all children, irrespective of their circumstances or status, in accordance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child. The Ministry developed strategic partnerships with a number of stakeholders, including UNICEF, the Federal Special Task Force and the armed forces, in order to implement a dedicated education policy for stateless children. Alternative education programmes have been established along the same lines as the formal system with a focus on reading, writing and arithmetic skills, as well as civic education. The Education Minister commented that the cost of educating stateless children is minimal, while a flexible and inclusive education policy will help to generate valuable human capital for the state.¹⁷⁹

In a separate policy focusing on Malaysia's Indian community, Prime Minister Datuk Seri Najib Razak established a Special Implementation Task Force (SITF). The Indian community in Malaysia has been identified as one of the populations at heightened risk of statelessness, although the actual incidence of statelessness within this group is not known. The SITF will monitor the participation of the Indian community in government projects and promote access to public-sector services such as poverty eradication programmes, affordable housing and education. It is designed to be a "mobile one-stop centre", the direct involvement of different government departments such as the National Registration Department and Social Welfare Department. In its first outreach effort, the SITF registered around 500 problems, logged during a one-day session in Selangor, with an even greater turnout during the second round of outreach. Welfare cases were the most numerous complaints but this was followed by problems of access to identity documentation and birth

¹⁷⁷ Article 21 of the Family Code of the Philippines, Executive Order No. 209, 1987.

¹⁷⁸ In this report, the Special Rapporteur identified a policy introduced in 1997 as the source of many problems. From that year, the words *Daftar Asing* (foreigner) were written on the birth certificate of children of non-Malaysian parentage and this formed a barrier for their access to public education. Private schooling became the only option but this was unaffordable to most of the families concerned. UN Human Rights Council, *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education, Vernor Munoz Villalobos: addendum: mission to Malaysia,* A/HRC/11/8/Add.2, 20 March 2009. See also Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Concluding Observations: Malaysia,* CRC/C/MYS/CO/1, 25 June 2007; C. Olson, *Malaysia: undocumented children in Sabah vulnerable to statelessness,* Refugees International, 13 June 2007; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Malaysia, 11 March 2010.

¹⁷⁹ Ensuring education for all, New Straits Times [Malaysia], 20 July 2010; Government to ensure stateless children get education: DPM, Bernama [Malaysia], 20 July 2010; Muhyiddin: Kids without papers will get access to education, The Star Online [Malaysia], 21 July 2010.

 ¹⁸⁰ See, for instance, L. Koya, "Statelessness in Malaysia" in S. Nagarajan (ed.) SUHAKAM after 5 years: State of human rights in Malaysia, 2006; task force to sort out stateless Malaysians, New Straits Times [Malaysia], 22 October 2008.
 181 Special task force for Indians swings into action, The Malaysian Insider [Malaysia], 12 August 2010.

certificates. The ongoing efforts of the SITF will therefore provide an opportunity to work on the prevention and reduction of cases of statelessness, while also ensuring that this population – including any persons who may currently be stateless – is included in social and development projects which will help to improve their enjoyment of a wide range of rights. ¹⁸²

In some cases, direct assistance may be necessary to tackle the extreme vulnerability that can characterise stateless populations. For instance, in Myanmar, the situation of stateless residents of northern Rakhine State is such that extensive humanitarian aid programmes have been initiated to redress their plight. A Common Humanitarian Action Plan is now being developed to coordinate the delivery of much-needed assistance by government authorities, UN agencies and NGOs in five areas – agriculture and food security, education, health and nutrition, infrastructure and water and sanitation. Such efforts have an immediate and indispensable impact on the enjoyment of rights by the persons concerned. In other cases, the protection of stateless persons may be promoted by raising awareness of existing opportunities to participate in government programmes and access public services. For example, in Thailand, a range of projects have been instituted to inform children and families affected by statelessness of their rights under Thai and international law.

6.4 Challenges in the protection of stateless persons

The protection situation of stateless populations remains a subject of concern. In the ASEAN region, as elsewhere, stateless persons continue to face significant obstacles in the practical enjoyment of their rights and can find themselves politically, socially and economically marginalised. Among the common problems experienced are restrictions on land or property ownership; limited access to education, especially beyond primary level; difficulties in lawfully contracting a marriage; and obstacles to

_

¹⁸² Special Implementation Taskforce for Indian community, Bernama [Malaysia], 12 April 2010; Task force shows government's commitment in aiding Indians, Indian Today [Malaysia], 13 April 2010; Special task force for Indians swings into action, The Malaysian Insider [Malaysia], 12 August 2010; Task Force for Indians moves into high gear, Bernama [Malaysia], 20 September 2010; Najib's special task force woos 2,000 Indian, The Malaysian Insider [Malaysia], 26 September 2010.

¹⁸³ Human Rights Watch, *Perilous Plight: Burma's Rohingya take to the seas*, 2009; *Myanmar: Tentative steps towards Rohingya rehabilitation*, Integrated Regional Information Networks [International News Agency], 24 February 2010; UN Human Rights Council, *Progress report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar*, A/HRC/13/48, 10 March 2010.

¹⁸⁴ UNHCR, *UNHCR Global Report 2008 – Myanmar*, June 2009; *Myanmar: Tentative steps towards Rohingya rehabilitation*, Integrated Regional Information Networks [International News Agency], 24 February 2010.

¹⁸⁵ These initiatives include a human rights caravan project by the National Human Rights Commission; various initiatives by the Payap University Faculty of Law, including UNICEF-supported "Stateless Classrooms"; and ongoing work by Stateless Watch for Research and Development Institute of Thailand. See *Abhisit urges rights awareness*, Bangkok Post [Thailand], 17 March 2009; *The Stateless Classroom*, Bangkok Post [Thailand], 23 June 2009.

¹⁸⁶ Non-nationals often face restrictions in the enjoyment of certain property rights. See, for instance, US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Vietnam, 11 March 2010; Minority Rights Group International, World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples – Brunei Darussalam: Chinese, 2008; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Bruenei Darussalam, 11 March 2010; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Cambodia, 11 March 2010; Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, Reports submitted by state parties: Cambodia, CERD/C/KHM/8-13, 15 June 2009; UN Human Rights Council, National report submitted in accordance with Paragraph 15 (a) of the annex to Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 – Cambodia, A/HRC/WG.6/6/KHM/1, 16 September 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Malaysia, 11 March 2010.

¹⁸⁷ For instance, in Brunei Darussalam, while primary education is free for citizens and permanent residents, reports indicate that secondary education fees of B\$140 (approx. 100 USD) per month are required for non-citizens and university fees for non-citizens are B\$2800-3500 (approx. 2000-2500 USD). US Department of State, 2008 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Brunei Darussalam, 25 February 2009; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Brunei Darussalam, 11 March 2010.

¹⁸⁸ In Indonesia, for example, stateless persons commonly feel that they must employ the services of a middleman to sort out the necessary marriage paperwork but brokerage fees can be prohibitively high which may deter people from getting married at all. See *Tales of stateless, foreigner status Jakartan Chinese*, The Jakarta Post, 12 February 2010. In Myanmar, stateless residents of Northern Rakhine State require official permission to marry – a procedure that can be costly and take up to several years to complete. US Department of State, *2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices – Burma*, 11 March 2010. Note, however, that the Myanmar Supreme Court has now overturned two convictions for illegal marriage, illustrating the role of the judiciary in safeguarding the rights of stateless persons. UN Human Rights Council, *Progress report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar*, A/HRC/13/48, 10 March 2010.

full participation in the labour market. 189 Stateless persons are also vulnerable to detention, which may become prolonged or indefinite. 190 Furthermore, stateless persons are generally excluded from political rights, making it hard for them to voice their concerns and influence policies that affect them. 191

Nevertheless, the good practices highlighted above show that ASEAN states have been able to implement a number of significant measures to promote the protection of stateless persons. The challenge is to consolidate these often ad hoc efforts and establish a comprehensive protection regime that has been tailored to the particular needs of stateless persons. For instance, while authorities have used their discretion under the law to address the status of some stateless populations whose situation has come to their attention, this approach does not guarantee all stateless persons access to a stable legal status now and in the future. Greater effort is needed to identify stateless persons, accord them an appropriate legal status and issue them with personal documentation. The importance of these steps to ensure effective protection of the rights of stateless persons must not be underestimated. States should therefore give renewed consideration to acceding to the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons. 192 Regardless of accession, states need to look at the possibility of granting "stateless person status" to individuals who have been identified as stateless, be it through the establishment of dedicated status determination procedures or in another context. This will help to guarantee their enjoyment of fundamental rights in accordance with human rights law.

Reflections on statelessness in South East Asia

Statelessness is a matter of concern to South East Asian states. A variety of historic, legal, political, social and economic circumstances in the region have contributed to the existence of populations who do not enjoy the legal bond of nationality with any state. This presents a serious obstacle to the exercise of fundamental rights by the individuals affected. It can also lead to significant hardship for families, interfere with the social fabric of communities and even strain inter-state relations if problems spill over from one country to the next. By contrast, addressing statelessness can help to prevent forced displacement, avert social tension and boost human capital. ¹⁹³ With a growing interest in statelessness at the national, regional and global level, the acknowledgement that there is a need to tackle the problem must now be translated into further practical strategies and solutions. This is where good practices come in. Highlighting existing efforts for the identification, prevention and reduction of statelessness and the protection of stateless persons will help to inform future policies, by showing what can be achieved and

¹⁸⁹ In Lao PDR, membership of trade unions is restricted to those who hold Lao nationality. International Trade Union Confederation, 2008 Annual Survey of violations of trade union rights - Laos, 20 November 2008. In Malaysia, lack of access to the regular employment market has reportedly forced some stateless persons to resort to 3D jobs (dirty, dangerous and difficult), to begging or to criminal activities and prostitution. L. Koya, "Statelessness in Malaysia" in S. Nagarajan (ed.) SUHAKAM after 5 years: State of human rights in Malaysia, 2006; US Department of State, 2009 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices - Malaysia, 11 March 2010.

See, for instance, Equal Rights Trust, Unravelling Anomaly, Detention, discrimination and the protection needs of stateless

persons, 2010.

191 Under international human rights law, political participation is an area in which rights may legitimately be reserved for citizens of the state. See, for instance, article 25 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. An interesting exception can be found in Myanmar where, despite not being recognised as nationals, Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State could vote in the 1990 elections and the 2008 constitutional referendum. They will also be eligible to vote in the 2010 elections. In practice, a Temporary Registration Certificate may be required to cast their ballot, illustrating again the fundamental link between access to personal documentation and the enjoyment of rights by stateless persons. Note that the right to stand for election remains reserved to citizens both of whose parents were citizens. Amnesty International, Myanmar: travesties of justice - Continued misuse of the legal system, 12 December 2005; US Department of State, 2008 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices - Burma, 25 February 2009; UNHCR, UNHCR Global Report 2008 - Myanmar, June 2009; International Crisis Group, The Myanmar elections, Asia Briefing No. 105, 27 May 2010; UNHCR, UNHCR Global Report 2009 - Myanmar, 1 June 2010.

This instrument provides, among others, a legal framework for the issuance of identity and travel documents to stateless

persons.

193 In Viet Nam, for instance, economic development and human capital considerations were taken into account as the country moved towards a policy of accepting dual nationality. Vietnam to allow dual nationality, AFP [International News Agency], 14 November 2008. Recall also that in Malaysia, promoting access to education for stateless children was seen as a low-cost policy that could have a significant impact in terms of generating human capital for the state. Ensuring education for all, New Straits Times [Malaysia], 20 July 2010; Government to ensure stateless children get education: DPM, Bernama [Malaysia], 20 July 2010; Muhyiddin: Kids without papers will get access to education, The Star Online [Malaysia], 21 July 2010.

how. The good practices discussed in this paper illustrate some of the impressive strides taken in the ASEAN region to deal with statelessness. Extracting lessons from these good practices is of value to the region as well as to the broader international community.

At the same time, this paper uncovered several areas in which there is presently a gap in stakeholders' response to statelessness and more work is needed. In the ASEAN region, the nexus between migration and statelessness presents a particular challenge - where statelessness has clearly been both a cause and a consequence of the movement of people. 194 Migration looks set to be a significant socio-political feature in the region in years to come and, especially as the region takes further steps towards the free movement of persons, ¹⁹⁵ finding ways to pre-empt problems of statelessness from arising in the migration context must be a priority. For instance, areas in which the region's nationality laws can conflict and lead to statelessness among migrants and their families need to be further identified and addressed. Sending and receiving states will also need to invest more in promoting birth registration for children born within migrant communities. Moreover, greater effort is needed to identify statelessness among populations whose nationality status is presently unclear or disputed, such as undocumented migrants and victims of smuggling and trafficking. Having found this group to be at risk of statelessness, states need to put in place procedures to confirm and document nationality in order to prevent statelessness and identify stateless persons. An appropriate framework will then be needed to protect the rights of those persons who are found to be stateless – one that moves away from ad hoc policies towards a more encompassing approach, taking into account the particularities of statelessness and providing stability and legal certainty for individuals.

The same framework is also invaluable to guaranteeing the rights of stateless persons *outside* the migration context. In this regard, it is important to recall that many stateless persons have lived their whole lives in the country in which they were born, with no ties to any other state, and are reliant on that country for the enjoyment of rights and a resolution of their situation. States therefore need to renew efforts to identify *all* stateless persons within their territory, for instance by tailoring data collection exercises accordingly or implementing dedicated surveys. In fact, this paper has shown that strategies for the prevention and reduction of statelessness and the protection of stateless persons could all be improved by placing a greater emphasis on the comprehensive mapping of statelessness. This includes making a clear differentiation between stateless persons and persons at risk of statelessness, establishing the profile of persons affected, identifying underlying causes and protection concerns and assessing the role that different stakeholders can play in a response.

As the situation of statelessness in the region comes into clearer focus with further identification efforts in the future, good practices such as those presented in this paper will help to point the way forwards. The year 2011 marks the 50th year since the adoption of the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness and this anniversary will be commemorated with activities across the world to raise awareness of the plight of stateless persons and to discuss ways to more effectively address the issue. This is an opportune moment for assessing progress made to date and setting out future strategies. A spotlight on good practices can help to foster a constructive debate on the issue in the ASEAN region and beyond.

¹⁹⁴ Recall, for instance, that statelessness has been shown to heighten the risk of trafficking and that statelessness is one of the factors that underlies the forced displacement of Muslim residents of northern Rakhine State in Myanmar. Statelessness has meanwhile been identified as a consequence of migration where, for example, nationality is lost due to long-term residence abroad or in the lower rate of birth registration for children of migrant workers.

¹⁹⁵ See, for instance, the *Roadmap for an ASEAN community 2009 – 2015*, Jakarta: ASEAN Secretariat, April 2009.

¹⁹⁶ Again, the following tool can guide stakeholders in drawing together and analysing information: UNHCR, *Statelessness – An analytical framework for prevention, reduction and protection*, 2008.