


Overview

The NFIs post distribution monitoring exercise performed in Amanat Al Asimah and Hajjah Governorates covering 6 districts. The sampling method used is 10% of the total beneficiaries in each district. The exercise completed between 16 Feb.- 28 Mar. 2016.


Interviewee age and gender




Head of household




Age of family members



Exercise Coverage




Place of displacement




Average rental payment


The chart below represent responses from 97% of beneficiaries who are renting houses.



Duration of displacement in the current location



Distribution method well-organized (98% of respondents)




Participated Agencies:



Activity Timeframe


Started: 16 February 2016
Ended: 28 March 2016

Enumerators






Quality of items




Actual use of items



*The families were forced to sell their non-food items to purchase some food items.


Waiting time in the distribution site (96% of respondents)




Observations

- The families surveyed were displaced from 12 Governorates: AlBayda, AlJawf, Amanat AlAsimah, Ibb, Sa'ada, Sana'a Taizz, AlHudaydah, Hajjah, Aden, Amran and Marib.
- The results of the exercise shows that the quantities of non-food items distributed often did not consider the average size of the family.
- Lack of uniformity of non-food items kits. Non-food items kits varied by organization and some did not meet standards set by the cluster.
- The other needs reported by the beneficiaries included food, water filters, water tanks, school kits, hygiene kits, dignity kits, medicine and sewing machines.
- All the beneficiaries found the items received very useful.
- Beneficiaries reported that there is tension between the host community and internally displaced people as well as internally displaced people receiving non-food items and those not.
- The beneficiaries noted the issue of disorganized distribution process in some of the sites with some being overcrowded.
- 3% of the beneficiaries surveyed confirmed that they did not receive any type of non-food assistance.


Priority needs in addition to NFIs distributed




Consultation (98% of respondents)



Communication (96% of respondents)



Selection Criteria (95% of respondents)



Recipient of items (94% of respondents)

