



UNITED NATIONS
NATIONS UNIES

**Tribunal pénal international pour le Rwanda
International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda**

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before: Judge Fausto Pocar, Presiding
Judge Mohamed Shahabuddeen
Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande Mumba
Judge Wolfgang Schomburg
Judge Inés Mónica Weinberg de Roca

Registrar: Mr. Adama Dieng

Judgement of: 23 May 2005

JUVÉNAL KAJELIJELI
(Appellant)

v.

THE PROSECUTOR
(Respondent)

Case No. ICTR-98-44A-A

JUDGEMENT

Counsel for the Appellant:

Prof. Lennox S. Hinds
Prof. Sherrie L. Russell-Brown
Ms. Juliette Chinaud

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Hassan Bubacar Jallow
Mr. James Stewart

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. THE APPELLANT.....	1
B. THE JUDGEMENT AND SENTENCE	1
C. THE APPEAL.....	2
D. STANDARDS FOR APPELLATE REVIEW	2
II. ALLEGED INCORRECT APPLICATION OF LAW TO THE FACTS OF THE CASE (GROUND OF APPEAL 4)	4
A. IMPACT OF TRAUMA.....	4
B. ALLEGED USE OF DIFFERENT STANDARDS IN THE ASSESSMENT OF EVIDENCE	5
C. ALLEGED MISAPPLICATION OF RULE 90(G) OF THE RULES	7
III. ALLEGED ERROR IN REJECTING EVIDENCE OF DEFENCE’S TUTSI WITNESSES THAT THE APPELLANT SAVED THEIR LIVES (GROUND OF APPEAL 5).....	9
IV. ALLEGED ERROR IN REJECTING THE APPELLANT’S ARGUMENT THAT PROSECUTION WITNESSES WHO WERE ARRESTED BY HIM HAD A MOTIVE TO TESTIFY FALSELY (GROUND OF APPEAL 6).....	11
V. ALLEGED ERROR IN ASSIGNING THE BURDEN OF PROOF ON ALIBI AND ASSUMPTION OF FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE WITH RESPECT TO THE ALIBI (GROUND OF APPEAL 7)	13
A. BURDEN OF PROOF	13
B. ALLEGED ERRORS RELATING TO WITNESS JK312.....	15
1. Credibility	15
2. Factual Errors	18
C. ALLEGED ERRORS RELATING TO WITNESS JK27.....	19
VI. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDINGS WITH RESPECT TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF TUTSI PROPERTIES TO <i>INTERAHAMWE</i> (GROUND OF APPEAL 8)	23
VII. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE TRAINING OF <i>INTERAHAMWE</i> (GROUND OF APPEAL 9)	25
VIII. ALLEGED ERRORS IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT EXERCISED LEADERSHIP AND EFFECTIVE CONTROL OVER THE <i>INTERAHAMWE</i> AND THAT HE HAD THE AUTHORITY TO STOP THE KILLINGS IN MUKINGO, NKULI, AND KIGOMBE COMMUNES (GROUNDS OF APPEAL 10 AND 21)	28
A. THE PARTIES’ SUBMISSIONS.....	28
B. CONCURRENT CONVICTIONS UNDER ARTICLE 6(1) AND ARTICLE 6(3) OF THE STATUTE	29
C. WHETHER THE APPELLANT HELD A SUPERIOR POSITION	30
1. The Trial Chamber’s Test for Establishing a Superior-Subordinate Relationship	30
2. The Trial Chamber’s Application of the Superior-Subordinate Relationship Test	32
IX. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT AT THE CANTEEN IN NKULI COMMUNE ON 6 APRIL 1994 (GROUND OF APPEAL 11).....	35

X. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT AT THE MUKINGO COMMUNE OFFICE ON THE MORNING OF 7 APRIL 1994 (GROUND OF APPEAL 12)	37
XI. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT AT BYANGABO MARKET ON THE MORNING OF 7 APRIL 1994 (GROUND OF APPEAL 13)	40
A. WITNESS GAO	40
B. WITNESS GDQ	43
C. WITNESS GBE.....	44
D. WITNESS MEM	44
E. WITNESS RGM.....	46
F. WITNESS MLNA.....	47
G. WITNESS TLA.....	47
XII. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT DURING THE KILLINGS AT RWANKERI ON THE MORNING OF 7 APRIL 1994 (GROUND OF APPEAL 14)	49
XIII. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT DURING THE KILLINGS AT MUNYEMVANO’S COMPOUND (GROUND OF APPEAL 15)	54
XIV. ALLEGED ERROR IN MAKING NO FINDING ON THE APPELLANT’S PRESENCE DURING KILLINGS AT BUSOGU CONVENT (GROUND OF APPEAL 16)	58
XV. ALLEGED ERROR IN ACCEPTING TESTIMONY OF WITNESS GDD THAT HE KILLED TUTSIS ON THE APPELLANT’S ORDERS (GROUND OF APPEAL 17)	59
XVI. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT FACILITATED KILLINGS AT THE RUHENGERI COURT OF APPEAL (GROUND OF APPEAL 18)	60
XVII. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT AT A ROADBLOCK DURING THE KILLING OF KANOTI’S WIFE (GROUND OF APPEAL 19)	63
XVIII. ALLEGED ERROR IN FINDING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PRESENT AND PARTICIPATED IN THE OVERALL KILLINGS IN MUKINGO COMMUNE (GROUND OF APPEAL 20)	67
XIX. ALLEGED ERROR IN DENYING A MOTION CONCERNING THE ARBITRARY ARREST AND ILLEGAL DETENTION OF THE APPELLANT (GROUND OF APPEAL 22)	70
A. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	71
B. THE APPELLANT’S JURISDICTIONAL OBJECTIONS AND PRECLUSIVE EFFECTS OF PRIOR APPEALS CHAMBER DECISIONS	72
C. THE APPELLANT’S ARREST AND DETENTION	74
1. Alleged Violations during Period from Arrest in Benin until Transfer to Arusha	75
(a) The Parties’ Submissions	76
(b) Discussion	78
(i) The Arrest and the Right to be Promptly Informed of the Reasons for the Arrest	80

(ii) The Appellant’s Detention in Benin	82
(iii) The Appellant’s Right to Counsel during Questioning	86
2. Alleged Violations during Period from Transfer to Arusha until Initial Appearance	88
(a) The Parties’ Submissions	88
(b) Discussion	90
(i) The Right to Counsel.....	90
(ii) The Right to an Initial Appearance.....	91
3. Conclusion	92
4. The Remedy.....	93
XX. ALLEGED ERROR IN DENYING MOTIONS SEEKING DISCLOSURE OF PRIOR STATEMENTS AND EXCLUSION OF THE TESTIMONY OF DETAINED PROSECUTION WITNESSES (GROUND OF APPEAL 23).....	94
A. THE RULING THAT RULE 68 ONLY REQUIRES DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE IN THE CUSTODY AND CONTROL OF THE PROSECUTION	95
B. ASSISTANCE TO THE APPELLANT IN OBTAINING THE PRIOR STATEMENTS OF DETAINED PROSECUTION WITNESSES	96
C. THE DECISION NOT TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF DETAINED WITNESSES	98
XXI. ALLEGED ERROR IN DENYING A MOTION SEEKING ADMISSION INTO EVIDENCE OF A RENTAL RECEIPT (GROUND OF APPEAL 24)	99
XXII. ALLEGED ERRORS CONCERNING THE BURDEN AND STANDARD OF PROOF AND THE PROVISION OF A REASONED OPINION (GROUNDS OF APPEAL 1, 2, 3).....	103
A. ALLEGED ERROR CONCERNING THE BURDEN OF PROOF (GROUND OF APPEAL 1).....	103
B. ALLEGED ERROR CONCERNING THE STANDARD OF PROOF (GROUND OF APPEAL 2).....	103
C. ALLEGED ERROR IN FAILING TO PROVIDE A REASONED OPINION (GROUND OF APPEAL 3).....	104
XXIII. SENTENCING.....	106
A. APPEAL AGAINST THE SENTENCE (GROUND OF APPEAL 25).....	107
1. Alleged Failure to Consider that the Appellant Allegedly Saved Lives of Tutsis before 1 January 1994	108
2. Alleged Failure to Credit the Appellant for the Provision of Refuge to Tutsi Civilians in his Mukingo Home.....	111
3. Alleged Failure to Give Sufficient Weight to Witness JK312’s Testimony.....	115
4. Conclusion	115
B. IMPLICATIONS OF OTHER FINDINGS OF THE APPEALS CHAMBER.....	116
1. Vacating of Convictions Based on Superior Responsibility under Article 6(3) of the Statute	116
2. Finding of Violations to the Appellant’s Rights during his Arrest and Detention.....	117
XXIV. DISPOSITION	119
XXV. ANNEX A – PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND	121
A. NOTICE OF APPEAL AND BRIEFS	121
B. ASSIGNMENT OF JUDGES	122
C. ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE.....	122
D. HEARING OF THE APPEAL.....	123
XXVI. ANNEX B – CITED MATERIALS/DEFINED TERMS.....	124
A. JURISPRUDENCE	124
1. ICTR.....	124

2. ICTY	126
3. Other Jurisdictions	128
(i) Benin, Constitutional Court.....	128
(ii) European Court of Human Rights	128
(iii) Inter-American Court of Human Rights	128
(iv) U.N. Human Rights Committee	128
B. DEFINED TERMS.....	129