

Exclusion Indicators in Refugee Determination UNHCR Training –Belarus 1 November 2013

OBJECTIVE

To understand when to consider Article 1F of the 1951 Convention by identifying exclusion indicators

INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CLAUSES

- > Inclusion Does the applicant meet the elements of the refugee definition?
- Exclusion Even though the applicant meets the refugee definition, he is excluded from protection because:
 - He does not need international protection OR
 - He does not deserve international protection

THE EXCLUSION PROVISIONS – NOT NEEDING/ DESERVING PROTECTION

Not needing protection:

<u>Article D</u> – Persons receiving protection from UN agencies other than UNHCR

<u>Article E</u> – Persons having the rights and obligations of another country

Not deserving protection:

Article 1F - Serious reasons for considering that the person has committed a crime against peace, a war crime, or a crime against humanity; has committed a serious non-political crime; has been guilty of acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN

AT WHAT STAGE SHOULD EXCLUSION BE CONSIDERED?

Generally – after inclusion. If applicant does not have a well-founded fear of persecution, there is no need to deal with exclusion

If considering exclusion under Articles D and E, more logical approach may be to consider exclusion first

WHO SHOULD MAKE EXCLUSION DECISIONS?

UNHCR's Position – Specialized units within the first instance RSD procedure

Eligibility Officers (EOs) should be well trained and experienced caseworkers

THE EXCLUSION PROVISIONS – NOT DESERVING PROTECTION

- **Article 1F There are serious reasons for considering that the person:**
- a) Has committed a crime against peace, a <u>war crime</u>, or a <u>crime</u> against humanity
- b) Has committed a <u>serious non-political crime</u>
- c) Has been guilty of acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN

EXCLUSION CLAUSES RARELY USED

Art. 1F(a) – Crimes against Peace and

Art. 1F(c) - guilty of acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN

CRIMES AGAINST PEACE

London Charter – Contains only definition of a crime against peace Crime against peace – arises from the "planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties"

Who can commit a crime against peace? State leaders or persons in high authority within the state

FRAMEWORK OF ANALYSIS FOR WAR CRIMES AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

- 1. Exclusion indicators
- 2. Classification of the acts
- 3. Determination of individually responsibility and consider defences
- 4. Consideration of proportionality principle

EXCLUSION INDICATORS

- ➤ Previous involvement in military or an armed group that is known to have committed war crimes or crimes against humanity
- ➤ Civilian applicants who were associated with organizations that are known to have committed war crimes or crimes against humanity

Need good knowledge of COI and international documents

CLASSIFY THE ACTS – ARE THEY INTERNATIONAL CRIMES?

Determine this from international instruments that make provision for such crimes:

- 1945 Charter of the International Military Tribunal (the London Charter)
- 1948 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (the Genocide Convention)
- 1949 Four Geneva Conventions for the Protections of Victims of War
- 1973 International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid
- > 1977 Two Additional Protocols to 1949 Geneva Conventions
- > 1984 Convention against Torture
- 1993 Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
- 1994 Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
- > 1998 Statute of the International Criminal Court

WAR CRIMES

Grave breaches of international humanitarian law (the law of war or armed conflict).

Examples:

- Wilful killing of protected persons such as civilians in the context of the four Geneva Conventions.
- Torture or other inhumane treatment
- Wilfully causing great suffering or serious injury
- Attacks on, or indiscriminate attacks affecting, the civilian population or those known to be hors de combat
- > Attacking demilitarized zones
- Taking civilians as hostages
- Extensive destruction of property not justified by military necessity
- Wilfully depriving a prisoner of war or a civilian the right of a fair trial
- Employing prohibited weapons such as poisonous gases

WHO CAN COMMIT WAR CRIMES AND AGAINST WHOM?

> Both military persons and civilians can commit war crimes.

The victims of war crimes can be both military persons and civilians.

UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS CAN WAR CRIMES BE COMMITTED?

- War crimes can be committed during both international and internal armed conflicts.
- International armed conflict a war between two states, either declared or undeclared
- > Internal armed conflict -
 - Fighting between the state and armed groups
 - > Fighting between or among different armed groups?

CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

Inhumane treatment of a civilian population in the context of a widespread or systematic attack against it.

Examples:

- > Murder
- Extermination
- Enslavement
- Deportation or forcible transfer
- > Torture
- > Rape and other forms of sexual violence
- Enforced disappearance
- > Apartheid
- Genocide

WHO CAN COMMIT CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY?

➤ Both military persons and civilians can commit crimes against humanity

>The victim is a civilian population

UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS CAN CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY TAKE PLACE?

➤ CAH can take place during times of peace and during times of war (international and internal armed conflict)

ARTICLE 1F (b)

The provisions of this Convention shall not apply to any person with respect to whom there are serious reasons for considering that:

(b) he has committed a serious non-political crime outside the country of refuge prior to his admission to that country as a refugee

FRAMEWORK OF ANALYSIS

- 1. Exclusion indicators
- 2. Classification of the acts
- 3. Determination of individually responsibility
- 4. Consideration of proportionality principle

EXCLUSION INDICATORS

- > There is evidence of a crime of some substance
- Note that it is not necessary that the applicant was convicted of a crime
- ➤ Note that the crime must be committed outside the country of refuge prior to the applicant's admission to the country as a refugee

CLASSIFICATION OF THE ACTS

- > Must be "serious non-political crime"
- Serious Not defined but would not be petty crimes. Rather would need to be grave offences such as murder, rape or armed robbery
- Non-political Factors to consider:
 - > The motivation for the act
 - The context in which the offence is committed
 - > The methods used
 - The proportionality of the crime in relation of the objectives

NON-POLITICAL CRIME (CONTINUED)

- > To be considered "political" in nature, the political objective should be consistent with human rights
- Where personal motives predominate over political considerations, the crime will be considered "nonpolitical"
- Where there is no clear link between the alleged political objective and the crime OR where the act is disproportionate to the alleged objective, non-political motive predominate
- Acts of violence considered to be of a "terrorist" nature will almost always be considered non-political

1. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ARE EXCLUSION INDICATORS?

- □ The applicant worked for KHAD, the secret police under Najabullah's government in Afghanistan.
- □ An extradition warrant has been issued for the applicant.
- ☐ The applicant has several convictions for shop lifting in his country of origin.
- ☐ The applicant did mandatory military service in his country of origin.
- ☐ The applicant worked on a farm owned by Osama Bin Laden.

CONCLUSION

- ➤ Given implications for applicants who otherwise meet the refugee definition, exclusion must be applied restrictively.
- Exclusion triggers indicate that you should explore the case carefully and thoroughly