		APPLYING THE CREDIBILITY INDICATORS TO THE MATERIAL FACTS IN PRACTICE	
INTERNAL INDICATORS	**1. Sufficiency of detail and specificity**	Is the level and nature of detail provided by the applicant indicative of a genuine personal experience by someone with her/his individual and contextual circumstances?	
		If she/he did not, does the lack of detail or specific information concern material facts of the claim?	
		If it does, is there any distortion factor that can explain the lack of detail or specific information?	**CONCLUSION?**
	2. Internal consistency	Are there serious contradictions or discrepancies - within the information provided by the applicant during the asylum interview(s); and/or - between the applicant's statements and documentary or other evidence submitted by her/him?	
		If there are, do the contradictions or discrepancies concern material facts of the claim?	
		If they do, is there any specific distortion factor that can explain these contradictions or discrepancies?	**CONCLUSION?**
EXTERNAL INDICATORS	**3. Consistency with information provided by other witnesses**	Are there serious contradictions or discrepancies between the facts presented by the applicant and statements and other evidence provided by dependants, other family members or witnesses?	
		If there are, do the contradictions or discrepancies concern material facts of the claim?	
		If they do, is there any specific distortion factor that can explain these contradictions or discrepancies?	**CONCLUSION?**
	4. Consistency with available external information	Are there serious contradictions or discrepancies between the facts presented by the applicant and what is known about the situation in the country of origin or place of habitual residence; relevant, objective, accurate, up-to-date country information; other expert evidence (medical, anthropological, language analysis, document verification reports), or other evidence?	
		If there are, do the contradictions or discrepancies concern material facts of the claim?	
		If they do, is there any specific distortion factor that can explain these contradictions or discrepancies?	**CONCLUSION?**
Note: Factors potentially influencing/distorting the application of credibility indicators in the present case: - … - … - … - … *(for example age; gender; sexual orientation or gender identity; educational background; trauma/PTSD; physical/mental health issues; shame, stigma or denial; communication difficulties; intercultural barriers; etc.)*			↓
			OVERALL CONCLUSION ON MATERIAL FACTS