UNHCR Evaluation Management Response					
Evaluation title:	Evaluative Review of UNHCR's Policies and Procedures on the Prevention of and Response to Sexual Exploitation and Abuse.				
UNHCR evaluation reference:	ES/2019/03				
Entity that commissioned the evaluation:	Evaluation Service				
Date of Management Response:					

UNHCR's vision is to "establish a trusted, respectful and inclusive environment where the people we serve and those who work for the organization feel safe, heard, equipped and empowered to speak up for themselves and others and to take robust and visible action, as appropriate, to eradicate sexual exploitation and abuse as well as sexual harassment." UNHCR welcomes the recommendations from the Evaluative Review of UNHCR's Policies and Procedures on the Prevention and Response to Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (SEA) which support UNHCR's efforts to achieve this vision. The Evaluative Review took place at a time when UNHCR was in the process of implementing a series of accelerated actions to eradicate SEA and sexual harassment (SH), a process which is still ongoing. This was recognized in the review, which highlights that the review should be seen as a "stock-take of a system in motion." Indeed most of the General comments on the evaluation: recommendations in the review are in line with activities and initiatives which are ongoing or foreseen, particularly those that relate to leadership and commitment, communication and dialogue, organizational change, policy, learning, partnerships, and the need for dedicated resources and capacity. The recommendations highlight that we are moving in the right direction, while at the same time providing additional guidance on how UNHCR's efforts to eradicate SEA may be strengthened even further, which is taken into account in this management response. A multi-functional approach is required to implement these recommendations, with implications for different

UNHCR to reiterate its commitment to mainstreaming PSEA throughout every aspect of its operations. RECOMMENDATION 1: In addition to continuing with the clear and consistent messaging to staff at all grades that the protection of persons of concern from sexual exploitation and abuse is indeed 'everyone's responsibility', UNHCR should reinforce these messages by emphasising the specific shifts in agency culture and individual behaviours that are

		needed and by enhancing the mechanisms and resourcing required to ensure mainstreaming happens in practice at individual, functional, programmatic and institutional levels.					
	Timescale: 1-24 months						
Management response:	✓ Agree □	artially agree Disag	ree				
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	Communications so creating safe space speak up. UNHCR Leadership Dialog dialogues provide working environmen happen (or are effected in relation to mainst for the organization management processory	strategies and efforts in re- ties for dialogue, improving a is developing a new face ues" for managers across the opportunity for individ- ents that are safe, respect ectively addressed). Furth and individual behaviour streaming, PSEA work is n, which includes results- esses. The obligation on ement is clearly set out in	s/multiply avenues to convey cent months have already shi information on reporting chato-to-face interactive training of the organization. Using expensional and joint reflection and leaful collaborative and inclusive er initiatives such as the Code change, including through innoteing connected with the broadened management, decentrate operations to ensure that PSE the instructions sent to all off lopment Centre (GLDC), Ethics	ifted in focus, with annels and encoura in SEA and SH and striential learning marning to equip make and in which SEA de of Conduct dialouvative training apader change and tralization/regionalizatios in the field on	specific emphasis on aging colleagues to dorganizing "Reflective ethodology these nagers to create a and SH do not gue will facilitate the proaches. Transformation agenda ation and risk o all phases of annual basis.		
Unit or function responsible:	(Senior Coordinator) Diversity and Gende	i, Division of External Relation Fr Equity	ns (DER), Enterprise Risk Mana	gement (ERM), Seni	ior Advisor Inclusion,		
Top line planned actions	By whom	Comments	Expected		ogress		
	2,		completion date	Status	Comments		
1.1							
RECOMMENDATION 2:	Further work is ne in-country), includi	the agency. eded in relation to establising clarifying the different the agency's vision of eli	nostic work of clarifying re shing clear institutional governoles and contributions of stal minating sexual exploitation a this means including PSEA	nance of PSEA (at keholders in the Ho and abuse. Individu	HQ, regionally and Q Emergency Task ual accountabilities		

	managers in the newly-created regional entities and in-country, and in the role descriptions of all Protection and programming staff. Functional responsibilities for PSEA management (including the role of the Division of International Protection - DIP) need to be clarified and agreed. Mechanisms for strengthening responsibilities and accountabilities should be developed, including but not limited to amendments to written frameworks and tools. Timescale: 1-6 months					
	Timescale : 1-6 m	ionths				
Management response:	☑ Agree □	Partially agree Disagre	e			
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	has taken a numb roles, collaboratio organization. These is currently being delineate portfolio new Administrativ UNHCR has also and has clearly incongoing for other	panagement acknowledges the per of steps in the framework on and complementarity amouse efforts will continue in the drafted, will take into accounts, accountabilities and response Instruction on Sexual Miscoundertaken a review of job docluded PSEA responsibilities levels and functions.	of its Annual Programme of UNHCR stakeholders second half of 2019. The at the recommendations of ensibilities in relation to PS onduct. The secriptions in the framework for new regional managers.	Review 2020/ 202 supporting integrity new Action Plan to f this evaluative rev SEA. These will also ork of its current re	1 to improve clarity of mechanisms in the address SEA, which riew, and will clearly be reflected in the gionalization exercise	
Unit or function responsible:	Senior Managemen	t, Division of Human Resources		Dr	OGROSS	
Top line planned actions	By whom Comments Expected Progress Comme					
2.1			Joinplotteri dato	Otatas	Comments	

RECOMMENDATION 3:	UNHCR to continue to resource a Senior Coordinator role for the foreseeable future, with responsibility for facilitating development, coordination, collaboration, ongoing review of and learning from the agency's strategy and plans on PSEA. The Senior Coordinator to continue to report to the Executive Office and have the ongoing support of an operational PSEA Working Group. Timescale: 1-6 months				
Management response:	✓ Agree □ Partially agree □ Disagree				
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	Building on considerable work undertaken in 2018 to reinforce its safeguarding structure, and the positive outcomes of the multifunctional approach to implementing accelerated action on sexual misconduct, UNHCR already dedicated further resources in 2019 to anchor the PSEA coordination work in a more stable configuration, including through resourcing the Senior Coordinator role and the creation of key additional positions to support this work. These efforts will be maintained in 2020.				conduct, UNHCR e stable
Unit or function responsible:	Senior Leadership		Evenested	Dro	arooo
Top line planned actions	By whom Comments Expected Progress completion date Status Comments				
3.1 [This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation. Insert further action lines as appropriate.]			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	23300	

Timescale : 1-12 months Agree Partially agree Disagree				
Timescale : 1-12 months				
DIP and other functional stakeholders to agree between them the distribution of roles and responsibilitideveloping and supporting the performance and impact of the PSEA Focal Point network.				
and effective PSEA management in protection and programming. At HQ level the Senior Coordinator, Ethics C				
these will be resourced at a sufficiently senior level to ensure both an effective mainstreaming approach inter				
operation, but the expectation is that each country operation will continue to have PSEA Focal Points, and				
The number of PSEA Focal Points in different operations will need to take into account the size and nature of				
op the an DI				

RECOMMENDATION 5:	

	The next iteration PSEA as a prote		SEA and SH to pay	more explicit attention to addressing
	all persons of con- people with disab- review of the effective and of the effective sexual exploitation	cern including paying specific illities, ethnic minorities, peopletiveness of communications are reness of current community n and abuse. The strategy action to increase the safety	attention to marginalise e who cannot read or wind awareness-raising a based complaints med should also include gre	at recognises and addresses the needs of ad groups such as LGBTI people, children, write. Included in the strategy should be a ctivities with persons of concern on PSEA, hanisms in preventing and responding to eater emphasis on risk assessment and s.
Management response:	✓ Agree ☐ F	Partially agree Disagree		
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	concern, including PSEA initiatives a SH) will better reflectional approach existing communicand contexts. Full alignment with the new Administr Conducting Invest safety, security an quarter of 2019 ar addition, particular initiative.	marginalised groups, are takend in all PSEA-relevant progratect the systematic application on to communications and award will also focus on programivity, with robust SGBV programivity, and addition, and additions and awareness-raising active instruction on Sexual Minigations. In addition, an internal displaying of victims of and will inform the way forward or attention is paid to risks of Signature.	en into account in the deamming. The new Strate of UNHCR's Age, Gendareness raising activities matic interventions in a gramming being an essence will be compiling and materials on PSEA who paradigm driving curresconduct, and the revise al review of existing me witnesses to misconduct on materializing the pring EA within the context of	resure that the needs of all persons of esign, implementation and evaluation of egy and Action Plan to address SEA (and der and Diversity approach including in and community-based reporting the form of concrete provision of services ential part of the response component of a d, if required, develop further a set of ich can be adapted to different countries and work on policy and processes, including ed Administrative Instruction on echanisms and protocols to promote the ct, including SEA, commenced in the first aciples of a victim-centred approach. In UNHCR's Risk Management 2.0
Unit or function responsible:	Ethics Office,	e, DIP, Office of the Inspector Ge	eneral (IGO), Senior Coord	linator, Legal Affairs Service (LAS), ERM,
Top line planned actions	By whom	Comments		Progress

			Expected completion date	Status	Comments
5.1	[This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation. Insert further action lines as appropriate.]				

RECOMMENDATION 6:	UNHCR to continue the work to ensure a coherent policy framework on PSEA. The agency should continue to ensure alignment of and between the range of institutional policies relevant to PSEA. It should also consider a review of its Code of Conduct to ensure consistency with the approach on PSEA. Timescale: 1-12 months					
Management response:	□Agree ☑ Partially agree □ Disagree					
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	The development of a new policy in the form of an Administrative Instruction on SEA and SH that aims at streamlining and consolidating all relevant policy and guidance is well underway; and is expected to be concluded by the end of 2019, following a broad internal consultation process. Key principles underlying this policy include the centrality of protection, a victim/survivor centred approach, and age, gender and diversity, and the policy will outline key actions to prevent and respond to SEA and SH, as well as the roles and responsibilities of various actors. UNHCR is also actively working on the new Code of Conduct dialogue for 2019/2020 (Values in Action - Trust and Collaboration) with a strong focus on positive values and behaviours which should strengthen good ethical conduct and, as such, contribute to a PSEA free environment.					
Unit or function responsible:	Director's Task Ford	e. Ethics Office, Senior Coordin				
Top line planned actions	By whom	Comments	Expected		ogress	
<u> </u>	Dy Wiloiii	Comments	completion date	Status	Comments	
6.1 [This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation.						

Insert further action lines as appropriate.]			

RECOMMENDATION 7:	UNHCR to build a global learning and development strategy on PSEA. The strategy to incorporate staff feedback on existing mandatory training to increase its relevance and impact. It should strengthen targeted training for specific roles such as PSEA Focal Points and investigations staff. It should also ensure the integration of PSEA content into existing learning and development, for instance management and leadership development, and existing training for protection and programme staff. Timescale: 1-24 months					
Management response:	□Agree ☑ Partially agree □ Disagree					
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	UNHCR acknowledges the need to continue to reinforce coherence and coordination of approaches to training and learning on PSEA. All UNHCR personnel are required to complete the mandatory on-line training on PSEA and as of 31 May 2019, the compliance rate was 90.7%. Throughout 2019 UNHCR provided targeted training for PSEA focal points, and enhanced their capacity through the sharing of best practices and tools on the on-line community of practice platform, and this will continue. Investigators will continue to have the opportunity to attend available specialized training. As noted above, UNHCR is developing a face-to-face training package on SEA and SH for UNHCR personnel which will be completed as of early 2020 and is launching, in September, "Reflective Leadership Dialogues" for managers that use an experiential learning methodology and focus on individual and joint reflection and learning aimed at equipping managers to create respectful, inclusive working environments in which SEA and SH do not happen (or are effectively addressed). Prevention and response to sexual misconduct is also included in a number of learning initiatives. Additional support to build a global learning and development strategy on PSEA is under consideration.					
Unit or function responsible:	GLDC, Ethics Office, Senior Coordinator, Ethics Office, IGO					
Top line planned actions	By whom Comments Expected Progress completion date Status Comments					
7.1 [This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation.				0.00.00		

Insert further action lines as appropriate.]			

RECOMMENDATION 8:	This includes tal	nue action to strengthen s king action to engage sta vell as raising awareness of months	ff in feedback on an	d design of reporti	
Management response:	☑ Agree ☐ F	Partially agree Disagree			
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	are known, access with regards to col in particular with taking stock of th spaces for dialogurecourse, to which and security of su exploring ways of workforce engage launched on UNHO	e stance on reporting mechan sible and trusted. The Office alleagues, as it is complex and regards to the trust-building e recently launched psychosule and equipping managers the above-mentioned internations and witnesses of SEA collecting feedback in a mement on best ways to address ideas platform, and construction on Sexual Misconduction	acknowledges the need requires multifaceted a element. This includes social case management or create these; and mal "review of existing man (and SH)" will contributore dynamic manner aress sexual misconduct sultation with survivors of	to stay the course or ction that can take a land continued outreach nt and helpline syste easures to improve the echanisms and proto te. Aside from reguland will further promo- (such as the fall 20	n that particular issue ong time to bear fruit, and communication; ems; multiplying safe he experience of the cols to ensure safety r surveys, UNHCR is te initiatives to seek 18 challenge on SH
Unit or function responsible:		e, DIP, Senior Coordinator	,		
Top line planned actions	By whom	Comments	Expected completion date	Prog Status	gress Comments

8.1	[This section is N/A if management			
	disagrees with the recommendation.			
	Insert further action lines as			
	appropriate.]			

RECOMMENDATION 9:	UNHCR to revisit the resourcing of and approach to third-party (partner and vendor) capacity on PSEA. The agency to develop a strategy and tools which emphasise collaboration with partner organisations as advocates and allies in PSEA, over increasing control and accountability. UNHCR to designate a named entity to lead the implementation of the requirements under the UN Protocol on Partners. This may be an existing entity or a new one, for instance UNHCR may wish to consider establishing a Partner Advisory Panel, including partners as members, whose first action should be to prioritise local and international Partners for monitoring and capacity-building on PSEA, based on an assessment of the extent of direct contact with persons of concern. PSEA Focal Points should be encouraged to develop collaborative approaches with other UN agencies for localised training, support and monitoring of those Partners which have the highest contact with persons of concern, and low PSEA capacity. A strategy and tools are also needed to identify and prioritise vendors for capacity-building and compliance-checking on PSEA, based on a similar assessment of the extent of direct contact with persons of concern. Timescale: 1-12 months
Management response:	□Agree ☑ Partially agree □ Disagree
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	UNHCR recognizes the strong strategic framework and leadership required to implement the provisions under the UN Protocol on Allegations of SEA involving Implementing Partners and, this will be led by the Implementing Partner Management Section, working closely with the Office of the Senior Coordinator. In support to a smooth roll out of the Protocol, UNHCR finalized in February 2019 a checklist to assist offices in understanding and implementing the Protocol. The document delineates obligations of both parties under each stage of UNHCR's Enhanced Framework for Implementing with Partners highlighting implementation as a shared undertaking. UNHCR supports the view that the approach to implementing partners' capacity can only be fully efficient if

		collectively and collaboratively designed in relevant humanitarian fora including the IASC. This is particularly important with regards to joint capacity building as well as screening and reference checking mechanisms. UNHCR is fully engaged in inter agency efforts to build NGO capacity on SEA, both at the global level (through the IASC and the SEA Working Group led by the Office of the Special Coordinator on SEA) and through inter-agency PSEA networks in the field. UNHCR also acknowledges that preventative and risk mitigation measures can be further developed for the external part of the Supply Chain and integrated in the sourcing and project implementation processes, as well as through continued monitoring of vendors, including through on-site visits of suppliers, upstream checks on possible breaches of the UN Supplier Code of Conduct.				
Unit	or function responsible:	Director's Task Force	e, Implementing Partner Mai	nagement Section, Supply Mana	gement Section, Ser	nior Coordinator
T	line planned estions			Expected		ogress
тор	line planned actions	By whom	Comments	completion date	Status	Comments
9.1	[This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation. Insert further action lines as appropriate.]					

	UNHCR to review the budgets and resourcing of PSEA, including the Ethics Office, Senior Coordinator's team and the Division of International Protection. As a priority the agency should review the resourcing of IGO and LAS to ensure the ongoing prioritisation and efficient expediting of sexual misconduct cases.
RECOMMENDATION 10:	IGO should continue efforts to enhance its expertise in investigating SEA, including by drawing on external expertise as necessary, to increase capacity and knowledge in SEA investigations. Assigning female investigators to interview female survivors should be the default, subject to immediate availability, and this requirement should be taken into account in resourcing plans. UNHCR should remain engaged in efforts within the UN system to address barriers posed by the evidentiary threshold for establishing sexual misconduct.
	Timescale : 1-12 months

Management response:	□ _{Agree} ☑ Pa	artially agree Disag	ree			
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	IGO and LAS, for a in 2018 and in 201 capacity, respective during UNHCR's in and structures are The IGO will continuant that respective female interviewed interpreter, where accommodate this under the commodate that the commodate interpreter is under the commodate that the commodate that the commodate that the commodate that the commodate is under the commodate that the commodate that the commodate is under the commodate that the commodate that the commodate is under the commodate is under the commodate that the commodate is under the commodate i	n 2018 and 2019 the High-Commissioner approved additional resources, including several professional posts in GO and LAS, for accelerated action to address sexual misconduct. Subsequently these resources were released in 2018 and in 2019, and mainly directed at reinforcing the investigative, disciplinary and SEA/SH coordination capacity, respectively. Strategic resourcing questions were considered more globally by all integrity stakeholders during UNHCR's internal budgeting exercise for 2020/2021 and will be further addressed as roles, responsibilities and structures are being further clarified. The IGO will continue to carry out SEA investigations in a non-discriminatory and gender and culture sensitive manner that respects the rights of all participants. In line with best practice, this does not necessarily require a female interviewer/interpreter but rather that victims/survivors/witnesses can request an interviewer (and interpreter, where relevant) of the gender of their choice, and every reasonable effort should be made to accommodate this request UNHCR representatives on inter-agency sexual misconduct working groups will continue to raise the issue of the appropriate evidentiary threshold.				
Unit or function responsible:	Senior Leadership, L	AS, IGO	Expected	Dr	ogress	
Top line planned actions	By whom	Comments	completion date	Status	Comments	
10.1 [This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation. Insert further action lines as appropriate.]			2000-20			

RECOMMENDATION 11:	UNHCR to contribute to, encourage and support inter-agency mechanisms and culture to facilitate greater collaboration on PSEA and greater transparency in the exchange of current practices and
	learning on PSEA across the UN system.

Management response:	The High Commissioner to use the agency's forthcoming term as IASC Champion to encourage mainstreaming of PSEA in all areas of humanitarian response, prioritising the theme of culture change on PSEA at organisational and system-wide levels, and evolving and reporting on shared metrics of progress on PSEA. Timescale: 1-24 months Agree Partially agree Disagree				
Reasons (if partially agree or disagree):	UNHCR's commitment in interagency fora is sustained, and one of the positions recently created within the of the Senior Coordinator is to ensure steady involvement in this area of growing demands in the UN and be humanitarian sector. This includes engagement in several ongoing developments in relation to metric standards. UNHCR collaborates closely with the UN Victim's Rights Advocate, and the Office of the Secretary on SEA including through participation in the SEA Working Crown With regarded to the UNSCA.				
Unit or function responsible:	Senior Leadership,	Senior Coordinator, DIP			
Top line planned actions	By whom	Comments	Expected		gress
11.1 [This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation. Insert further action lines as appropriate.]			completion date	Status	Comments

RECOMMENDATION 12:	
	UNHCR to continue to proactively discuss PSEA with donors.

		In the spirit of Grand Bargain commitments, efforts to streamline PSEA reporting requirements with donors should continue. Guidance should be developed on integrating PSEA activities in the UNHCR Global Report and Global Appeal. Timescale: 1-24 months				
Mana	gement response:	✓ Agree Partially agree Disagree				
Reaso	ons (if partially agree or disagree):	levels and is continuous Development Ass Network (MOPAN SH has been included)	s to schedule regular and tra ributing, among others, to the istance Committee (DAC) and I). At member states' reques uded in the 2019 programme ivities in future UNHCR Glob	e work on SEA/SH standar nd by the Multilateral Orgar it, a specific segment on eff e of work of UNHCR's Stan	ds undertaken by nisation Performan forts to prevent and ding Committee. L	the OECD's ace Assessment d respond to SEA and
Unit c	or function responsible:	Senior Leadership,	Division of External Relations			
Тор	line planned actions	By whom	Comments	Expected completion date	Pro Status	ogress Comments
12.1	[This section is N/A if management disagrees with the recommendation. Insert further action lines as appropriate.]			osimpionion date	Outuo	Commence