CASE LAW COVER PAGE TEMPLATE

Name of the court ¹ (English name in brackets if the court's language is not English):	
Corte di Cassazione (Italian Supreme Court)	
Date of the decision: (2015/07/07)	Case number: 19201/2015
Parties to the case:	
Stateless claimant; Ministry of Interior –Police Headquarter of Ferrara	
Decision available on the internet? X Yes No	
If yes, please provide the link:	
http://www.asgi.it/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/2015 Cassazione VI civile 19201 28 sett apolidia detenzione CIE.pdf	
(If no, please attach the decision as a Word or PDF file):	
Language(s) in which the decision is written:	
Italian	
Official court translation available in any other languages? Yes No	
(If so, which):	
Country(v)(iss) of swisin of the element(s).	
Countr(y)(ies) of origin of the claimant(s): Stateless	
Country of asylum (or for cases with statelessness aspects, country of habitual residence) of the	
claimant(s):	
Italy	
Any third country of relevance to the case: ³	
The claimant was born in Macedonia	
Is the country of asylum or habitual residence party to:	
The 1951 Convention relating to the Status	Relevant articles of the Convention on which the
of Refugees	decision is based:
∑Yes	
∐No	
(Only for cases with statelessness aspects)	Relevant articles of the Convention on which the
The 1954 Convention relating to the Status	decision is based:
of Stateless Persons	
⊠Yes □No	
(Only for cases with statelessness aspects)	Relevant articles of the Convention on which the
The 1961 Convention on the Reduction	decision is based:
of Statelessness	decision is based.
Yes	
No	
(For AU member states): The 1969 OAU	Relevant articles of the Convention on which the
Convention governing the specific aspects of	decision is based:
refugee problems in Africa	
Yes	
\square No	
For EU member states: please indicate	Relevant articles of the EU instruments referred to in
which EU instruments are referred to in the	the decision:
decision	Directive 2008/115/CE: article 15, paragraph 4.

Topics / Key terms: (see attached 'Topics' annex):

Statelessness – Detention for the purpose of removal – No reasonable prospect of forced removal

Key facts (as reflected in the decision): [No more than 200 words]

The claimant is a stateless person who was born in Macedonia and she has been residing in Rome for 20 years with her husband and four children. On May 27, 2014, the claimant was issued with an expulsion decree, despite her statelessness condition, as stated also in the identification report attached to the decree of expulsion, and she was detained in the Identification and Expulsion Centre (C.I.E.) of Ponte Galeria in Rome. The Justice of the Peace has confirmed the administrative detention of the claimant, without considering whether or not the expulsion decree was legitimate and whether there was a reasonable prospect of forced removal.

The claimant lodged an appeal before the Supreme Court against the approval of detention issued by the Justice of the Peace on May 30, 2014.

Key considerations of the court (translate key considerations (containing relevant legal reasoning) of the decision; include numbers of relevant paragraphs; do not summarize key considerations) [max. 1 page]

Disclaimer: This is an unofficial translation, prepared by UNHCR. UNHCR shall not be held responsible or liable for any misuse of the unofficial translation. Users are advised to consult the original language version or obtain an official translation when formally referencing the case or quoting from it in a language other than the original.

Decision and reasoning: the Court has examined the claim presented by the claimant and declared it founded, denouncing the total absence of motivation for the absence of reasonable prospect of forced removal in accordance with the article 15, paragraph 4 of the Directive 2008/115/CE, due to the circumstance that the claimant is a stateless person.

Outcome: In conclusion, the Court decided to invalidate the decision of the Justice of the Peace without referral.

Other comments or references (for example, links to other cases, does this decision replace a previous decision?)

-

EXPLANATORY NOTE

- 1. Decisions submitted with this form may be court decisions, or decisions of other judicial, quasi-judicial and administrative bodies.
- 2. Where applicable, please follow the court's official case reference system.
- 3. For example in situations where the country of return would be different from the claimant's country of origin.

For any questions relating to this form, please contact the RefWorld team at the address below.

Please submit this form to:

Protection Information Unit Division of International Protection UNHCR Case Postale 2500 1211 Genève 2 Dépôt Switzerland

Fax: +41-22-739-7396 Email: refworld@unhcr.org