# 16. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF ALL PERSONS FROM ENFORCED DISAPPEARANCE

# New York, 20 December 2006

**ENTRY INTO FORCE:** 23 December 2010, in accordance with article 39(1) which reads as follows: "This

Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the twentieth instrument of ratification or

accession.".

STATUS: TEXT:

Signatories: 87. Parties: 21. Doc.A/61/488. C.N.737.2008.TREATIES-12 of 2 October 2008 (Proposal of corrections

to the original text of the Convention (Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts) and to the Certified True Copies) and C.N.1040.2008.TREATIES-20 of 2 January 2009 (Corrections).

Note: The above Convention was adopted on 20 December 2006 during the sixty-first session of the General Assembly by resolution A/RES/61/177. In accordance with its article 38, the Convention shall be open for signature by all Member States of the United Nations. The Convention shall be open for signature on 6 February 2007 in Paris, France, and thereafter at United Nations Headquarters in New York.

Participant S	Signature	Accessi Ratifica		Participant	Signature		Accession(a), Ratification	
Albania	6 Feb 200	7 8 Nov	2007	Gabon	25 Sep	2007		
Algeria	6 Feb 200	7		Germany	26 Sep	2007	24 Sep	2009
Argentina	6 Feb 200	7 14 Dec	2007	Ghana	6 Feb	2007		
Armenia1	0 Apr 200	7		Greece	1 Oct	2008		
Austria	6 Feb 200	7		Grenada	6 Feb	2007		
Azerbaijan	6 Feb 200	7		Guatemala	6 Feb	2007		
Belgium	6 Feb 200	7		Haiti	6 Feb	2007		
Benin1	9 Mar 201	0		Honduras	6 Feb	2007	1 Apr	2008
Bolivia	6 Feb 200	7 17 Dec	2008	Iceland	1 Oct	2008		
Bosnia and				India	6 Feb	2007		
Herzegovina	6 Feb 200	7		Indonesia	27 Sep	2010		
Brazil	6 Feb 200	7 29 Nov	2010	Iraq			23 Nov	2010 a
Bulgaria2	24 Sep 200	8		Ireland	29 Mar	2007		
Burkina Faso	6 Feb 200	7 3 Dec	2009	Italy	3 Jul	2007		
Burundi	6 Feb 200	7		Japan	6 Feb	2007	23 Jul	2009
Cameroon	6 Feb 200	7		Kazakhstan	•••		27 Feb	2009 a
Cape Verde	6 Feb 200	7		Kenya	6 Feb	2007		
Chad	6 Feb 200	7		Lao People's				
Chile	6 Feb 200	7 8 Dec	2009	Democratic				
Colombia2	27 Sep 200	7		Republic	•	2008		
Comoros	6 Feb 200	7		Lebanon		2007		
Congo	6 Feb 200	7		Lesotho	22 Sep	2010		
Costa Rica	6 Feb 200	7		Liechtenstein	1 Oct	2007		
Croatia	6 Feb 200	7		Lithuania	6 Feb	2007		
Cuba	6 Feb 200	7 2 Feb	2009	Luxembourg	6 Feb	2007		
Cyprus	6 Feb 200	7		Madagascar	6 Feb	2007		
Denmark2		7		Maldives	6 Feb	2007		
Ecuador2	-	7 20 Oct	2009	Mali	6 Feb	2007	1 Jul	2009
Finland	•	7		Malta	6 Feb	2007		
France	6 Feb 200	7 23 Sep	2008	Mexico	6 Feb	2007	18 Mar	2008

Participant	Signature	2	Accession(a), Ratification		Participant	ticipant Signatu		Accession(a), Ratification	
Monaco	6 Feb	2007			Slovenia	26 Sep	2007		
Mongolia	6 Feb	2007			Spain	27 Sep	2007	24 Sep	2009
Montenegro	6 Feb	2007			St. Vincent and the				
Morocco	6 Feb	2007			Grenadines	29 Mar	2010		
Mozambique	24 Dec	2008			Swaziland	25 Sep	2007		
Netherlands	29 Apr	2008			Sweden	6 Feb	2007		
Niger	6 Feb	2007			The former Yugoslav				
Nigeria			27 Jul	2009 a	Republic of Macedonia	6 Feb	2007		
Norway	21 Dec :	2007			Togo		2010		
Panama	25 Sep	2007			Tunisia		2007		
Paraguay	6 Feb	2007	3 Aug	2010	Uganda		2007		
Portugal	6 Feb	2007			United Republic of	0100	2007		
Republic of Moldova	6 Feb	2007			Tanzania	29 Sep	2008		
Romania	3 Dec	2008			Uruguay	-	2007	4 Mar	2009
Samoa	6 Feb	2007			Vanuatu		2007		
Senegal	6 Feb	2007	11 Dec	2008	Venezuela (Bolivarian				
Serbia	6 Feb	2007			Republic of)	21 Oct	2008		
Sierra Leone	6 Feb	2007			Zambia	27 Sep	2010		
Slovakia	26 Sep	2007							

# Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

# **CUBA**

Declaration:

The Republic of Cuba hereby declares, in accordance with article 42, paragraph 2, that it does not consider itself obliged to refer its disputes to the International Court of Justice, as provided for in paragraph 1 of the same article.

### **GERMANY**

Declarations:

The prohibition of return shall only apply if the person concerned faces a real risk of being subjected to enforced disappearance.

Regarding Art. 17 (2) (f)

Under German law it is guaranteed that deprivation of liberty is only lawful if it has been ordered by a court or in exceptional cases – subsequently authorized by a court. Article 104 para. 2 of the Basic Law (Grundgesetz) expressly provides: 'Only a judge may rule upon the permissibility or continuation of any deprivation of liberty. If such a deprivation is not based on a judicial order, a judicial decision shall be obtained without delay'. Article 104 para. 3 of the Basic Law provides that a person who has been provisionally arrested on suspicion of having committed a criminal offence 'shall be brought before a judge no later than the day following the arrest'.

In the event that a person is being held arbitrarily in contravention of Article 104 of the Basic Law, anyone can bring about a judicial decision leading to that person's release by applying to the competent Local Court for his/her immediate release. If the person concerned has been detained beyond the time limit permissible under the Basic Law, the court has to order that person's release pursuant to section 128 (2), first sentence, of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Strafprozessordnung, StPO).

Article 17 para. 3

In the case of an involuntary placement of sick persons by a custodian or a person having power of attorney, the information required under letters (a) to (h) is known to the court which authorizes the placement. The court can ascertain the information required under letters (a) to (h) at any time through the custodian or person having power of attorney; the information is then included in the

case-file. This information is also to be regarded as records within the meaning of article 17 para. 3.

Regarding Article 18

Under German law, all persons with a legitimate interest are entitled to obtain information from the court files. The restrictions provided for in German law for the protection of the interests of the person concerned or for safeguarding the criminal proceedings are permissible pursuant to Article 20 para. 1 of the Convention.

\*Regarding Article 24 para. 4\*

It is clarified that the envisaged provision on

reparation and compensation does not abrogate the principle of state immunity."

# VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)

Reservation:

The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, in accordance with article 42, paragraph 2, of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, hereby formulates a specific reservation concerning the provisions of paragraph 1 of that article. Therefore, it does not consider itself to be that article. Therefore, it does not consider itself to be obliged to resort to arbitration as a dispute settlement

# Declarations recognizing the competence of the Committee under articles 31 and 32 (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

#### **ALBANIA**

8 November 2007

Article 31

In accordance with Article 31 of .....[the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance], the Republic of Albania declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by Albanian State.

Article 32

In accordance with Article 32 of .....[the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons from Enforced Disappearance], the Republic of Albania declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

#### ARGENTINA

11 June 2008

Article 31

In accordance with the provisions of article[s] 31, paragraph 1 ... of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Argentine Republic recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to the jurisdiction of the Argentine Republic claiming to be victims of a violation by the State of any of the provisions of the Convention ...

Article 32

In accordance with the provisions of ... article[s] 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Argentine Republic recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances ... to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

# **CHILE**

Article 31

The Republic of Chile hereby declares, in accordance with article 31 of this Convention, that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by this State Party of provisions of this Convention.

Article 32

The Republic of Chile hereby declares, in accordance with article 32 of this Convention, that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

# FRANCE

9 December 2008

Article 31

... in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 31, [France] recognizes the competence of the Committee on enforced disappearance to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by France.

Article 32

... in accordance with article 32, [France] recognizes the competence of the Committee on enforced disappearance to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

# **J**APAN

Article 32

"In accordance with Article 32 of the Convention, the Government of Japan declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention."

#### MALI

2 February 2010

Declaration under articles 31 and 32:

The Government of the Republic of Mali declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive communications from individuals or any other State Party in accordance with the provisions of articles 31 and 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons against Enforced Disappearance, adopted on December 20, 2006.

# URUGUAY

Article 31

In accordance with article 31, paragraph 1, of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Eastern Republic of Uruguay recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications submitted by or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by that State of the provisions of that Convention.

Article 32

... in accordance with article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Eastern Republic of Uruguay recognizes the competence of the Committee [on Enforced Disappearances] to receive and consider communications in which a State party claims that the Uruguayan State is not fulfilling its obligations under that Convention.