CRI(2015)34

ECRI REPORT ON AUSTRIA

(fifth monitoring cycle)

Adopted on 16 June 2015

Published on 13 October 2015



ECRI Secretariat Directorate General II - Democracy Council of Europe F-67075 STRASBOURG Cedex

Tel.: + 33 (0) 3 90 21 46 62 Fax: + 33 (0) 3 88 41 39 87 E-mail: ecri@coe.int

www.coe.int/ecri

ECRI REPORT ON AUSTRIA (fifth monitoring cycle)

Adopted on 16 June 2015

Published on 13 October 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOF	REWO	RD	7
SUN	/MAR	Y	9
FINI	DINGS	AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
I.	CO	MMON TOPICS	11
	1. -	LEGISLATION AGAINST RACISM AND RACIAL DISCRIMINATION PROTOCOL NO. 12 TO THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS	11
		(ECHR)	
	-	CRIMINAL LAWCIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	
	_	SPECIALISED NATIONAL BODIES	_
	2.	HATE SPEECH	17
	-	EXTENT OF THE PHENOMENON	
	-	RESPONSES TO HATE SPEECH	
	3. 4.	RACIST AND HOMO/TRANSPHOBIC VIOLENCE	
	- .	PERSONS WITH MIGRATION BACKGROUNDS	
	-	EFFECTS OF INTEGRATION POLICIES FOR PERSONS WITH MIGRATION	
		BACKGROUNDS	
	-	Roma	
II.	TO	PICS SPECIFIC TO AUSTRIA	
	1. 2.	INTERIM FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE FOURTH CYCLE POLICIES TO COMBAT DISCRIMINATION AND INTOLERANCE AGAINST	30
	۷.	LGBT PERSONS	30
	-	LEGISLATION	
	-	Policies	32
INTI	ERIM I	FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS	35
LIS	Γ OF F	RECOMMENDATIONS	37
BIB	LIOGF	RAPHY	41
ΔΡΕ	FNDI	X: GOVERNMENT'S VIEWPOINT	49

FOREWORD

The European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI), established by the Council of Europe, is an independent human rights monitoring body specialised in questions relating to racism and intolerance. It is composed of independent and impartial members appointed on the basis of their moral authority and recognised expertise in dealing with racism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism and intolerance.

In the framework of its statutory activities, ECRI conducts country-by-country monitoring work, which analyses the situation in each of the member States regarding racism and intolerance and draws up suggestions and proposals for dealing with the problems identified.

ECRI's country-by-country monitoring deals with all member States of the Council of Europe on an equal footing. The work takes place in 5-year cycles, covering 9-10 countries per year. The reports of the first round were completed at the end of 1998, those of the second round at the end of 2002 and those of the third round at the end of 2007, and those of the fourth round will be completed at the beginning of 2014. Work on the fifth round reports started in November 2012.

The working methods for the preparation of the reports involve documentary analyses, a visit to the country concerned, and then a confidential dialogue with the national authorities.

ECRI's reports are not the result of inquiries or testimonial evidence. They are analyses based on a great deal of information gathered from a wide variety of sources. Documentary studies are based on a large number of national and international written sources. The in situ visit provides the opportunity to meet with the parties directly concerned (both governmental and non-governmental) with a view to gathering detailed information. The process of confidential dialogue with the national authorities allows the latter to provide, if they consider it necessary, comments on the draft report, with a view to correcting any possible factual errors which the report might contain. At the end of the dialogue, the national authorities may request, if they so wish, that their viewpoints be appended to the final ECRI report.

The fifth round country-by-country reports focus on four topics common to all member States: (1) Legislative issues, (2) Hate speech, (3) Violence, (4) Integration policies and a number of topics specific to each one of them. The fourth-cycle interim recommendations not implemented or partially implemented during the fourth monitoring cycle will be followed up in this connection.

In the framework of the fifth cycle, priority implementation is requested again for two specific recommendations chosen from those made in the report. A process of interim follow-up for these two recommendations will be conducted by ECRI no later than two years following the publication of this report.

The following report was drawn up by ECRI under its own responsibility. It covers the situation at 20 March 2015; developments since that date are neither covered in the following analysis nor taken into account in the conclusions and proposals therein.

SUMMARY

Since the adoption of ECRI's fourth report on Austria on 15 December 2009, progress has been made in a number of fields.

The authorities are in the process of improving the criminal law provisions against racism and intolerance. They also consider ratifying the Additional Protocol to the Convention against Cybercrime. According to the 2013 government platform, the enforcement of the right to equal treatment will be evaluated and a new legislative proposal aims at extending the protection against discrimination. Since 2012 the Austrian Ombudsman Board (AOB) has an explicit mandate, vested in the constitution, to examine complaints on violation of human rights on the part of public authorities.

The police and prosecution services have invested considerable resources in investigating hate speech and intensified human rights training for their staff. In autumn 2014 an inter-ministerial summit on combating hate speech took place and the government has run several campaigns towards a balanced debate on migration and foreigners. In response to an ECRI recommendation, the Austrian Press Council was re-established in 2010. Some media have played an important role in combating hate speech and Google has introduced rules for removing online hate speech.

In 2010, the first ever National Action Plan on Integration was adopted. The authorities have set up a system of 25 integration indicators to measure its impact. The annual surveys on attitudes towards integration show improvements. The Expert Council for Integration conducts regular evaluations and makes recommendations for improvement such as strengthening the concept of "Integration from the beginning". A free compulsory pre-school year has been introduced and children with migration backgrounds benefit from language support in kindergartens.

Also in 2010, Austria created a special regime of registered partnership for same sex couples. Since then, the courts have annulled several discriminatory provisions and lowered the preconditions for legal gender recognition of transsexual persons. The Vienna Antidiscrimination Office for Same-sex and Transgender Life-styles is tasked with eliminating discrimination and establishing a social climate where all persons can live as equals. The living conditions of LGB persons are improving.

ECRI welcomes these positive developments in Austria. However, despite the progress achieved, some issues give rise to concern.

Austria has not ratified Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights. Several criminal law provisions only cover national socialist motivated, but not all racist motivated acts. The high number of anti-discrimination acts and institutions undermines their effectiveness. The Equal Treatment Act of the Federation does not contain a clear prohibition of all discrimination; nor does it place public authorities under the duty to promote equality. Outside the field of employment, it only prohibits discrimination on the grounds of gender and ethnicity. The Ombud for Equal Treatment (OET) is not fully independent and does not have the power to provide legal aid and represent victims in court proceedings.

In recent times, antipathy towards migrants has considerably increased. Several political parties and other organisations cultivate and disseminate racist, xenophobic and neo-Nazi ideas. In particular hate speech of politicians is not systematically countered. A new generation of right wing extremist organisations has appeared and others undergo radicalisation. In 2013, 1 900 incidents were denounced on a police website for reporting Nazi activities. There were several cases of racist attacks carried out by groups of perpetrators.

Certain media publish clearly racist content and do not respect the Press Council's decisions and members of vulnerable groups are given too little space to express their views. Hate speech on online forums is not systematically monitored; such content was also posted on the web pages of the Federal President and several ministers. There

are no official statistics on homophobic and transphobic incidents; numerous racist, homo- and transphobic acts go unreported.

Many persons originating from countries outside the European Economic Area (EEA) have completed only compulsory education. They are more frequently unemployed and affected by the risk of poverty than the rest of the population. Schools do not ensure that all children with migration backgrounds acquire adequate German skills. The 2015 Islam Act contains several controversial restrictions to freedom of religion and in the asylum system the principle of integration from the beginning is not applied. The Dialogue Platform for Roma set up in 2012 is still using a significant part of its resources for stock-taking. A considerable level of racial profiling and police misbehaviour persists in particular towards Blacks.

There is little official data and research on LGBT persons who experience comparatively high levels of discrimination. Young LGBT persons are subject to mobbing and lack assistance during their coming-out. On the federal level, there is no comprehensive approach to LGBT issues. The authorities have not enacted specific legislation on transgender issues and they have not abolished all unjustified differences in the regulation of married and registered same-sex couples.

In this report, ECRI requests that the authorities take action in a number of areas; in this context, it makes a series of recommendations, including the following.

Austria should ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights. The authorities should bring the criminal, civil and administrative law in line with ECRI's standards and ratify the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime. The various anti-discrimination acts and institutions should be merged in order to improve the protection afforded to victims of discrimination. The OET should be made fully independent and be given the power to represent victims before courts.

The authorities should set up an IT-based system for recording and monitoring racist, homo- and transphobic incidents. They should apply the law in a more vigorous way to curtail the activities of organisations that promote racist ideology and counter and condemn hate speech systematically, in particular during election campaigns. The authorities should encourage the media to strengthen their self-regulation and give adequate space to members of vulnerable groups to express themselves.

The school administration, but also employment, health care and other public services should take ownership of core elements of the integration policies. The principle of integration from the beginning should be applied in the asylum system. The authorities should ensure that any restriction and differential treatment with regard to practice of Islam is in line with the European Court of Human Rights case law and step up the implementation of the Roma-Strategy. The AOB should investigate allegations of misconduct on the part of the police.

The authorities should task, at federal level, an administrative service to develop and coordinate an action plan for LGBT persons. They should undertake research and collect data on their living conditions, enact legislation on transgender issues and reexamine whether each of the remaining differences in the regulation of married and same-sex couples is justified. Finally, they should provide LGBT adolescents with the necessary assistance and protection.

-

^{*} This recommendation will be subject to a process of interim follow-up by ECRI no later than two years after the publication of this report.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- I. Common topics
- 1. Legislation against racism and racial discrimination²
- Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
- Austria has signed, but still not ratified Protocol No. 12 to the ECHR which was adopted on 4 November 2000. As in previous monitoring cycles the authorities state that they do not intend to ratify the Protocol in order not to add to the European Court of Human Right's workload. Also, prior to any ratification, they would like to have the scope of the Protocol clarified.
- 2. ECRI considers that the best way of avoiding adding to the workload of the ECtHR is to ensure that there are no violations of the right to equality at national level. Concerning the scope of Protocol No. 12 the Court has repeatedly said that it does not see any reason to depart, in the context of Article 1 of Protocol No. 12, from its settled interpretation of the notion of discrimination.³
- 3. ECRI reiterates its recommendation to the authorities to ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights.

- Criminal law

- 4. ECRI has already examined on four occasions whether Austrian legislation is in line with its General Policy Recommendation (GPR) No. 7 on national legislation against racism and racial discrimination. Therefore, in this fifth report it will only address persistent shortcomings.
- 5. ECRI welcomes the amendments made to Article 283 of the Criminal Code (CC) on incitement to violence and hatred. Whereas, until 2011, Article 283 CC only criminalised acts which were likely to disrupt public order, Article 283 CC now also criminalises incitement to hatred that is noticeable before a broad public (including hate speech on the web). ECRI is pleased to note that the authorities intend to lower the number of persons that constitute "broad public" for the purposes of this provision from 150 to 10-30, as hate speech also needs to be combated at small gatherings of racist organisations. Also, the new ground of sexual orientation was introduced into Article 283 CC. However, this provision is not fully in line with § 18a of GPR, as it does not make it a criminal offence to incite to discrimination; nor to incite to hatred against a specific person.
- 6. Concerning racist insults, Article 283.2 CC contains the additional requirement that the human dignity of the offended group needs to be violated. The clear case law of the Supreme Court notwithstanding⁶, some prosecutors and courts still cite old decisions and doctrine according to which it would be required that the

² According to ECRI's General Policy Recommendation (GPR) No.7, "racism" shall mean the belief that a ground such as race, colour, language, religion, nationality or national or ethnic origin justifies contempt for a person or a group of persons, or the notion of superiority of a person or a group of persons. "Racial discrimination" shall mean any differential treatment based on a ground such as "race", colour, language, religion, nationality or national or ethnic origin, which has no objective and reasonable justification.

³ Maktouf and Damjanović v. Bosnia and Herzegovina, Nos. 2312/08 and 34179/08, 18 July 2013, § 81; see also the explanatory report to Protocol No. 12, in particular §§ 24-28.

⁴ A ministerial decree indicates the numbers. Cf. also § 38 of the Explanatory Memorandum to GPR No. 7.

⁵ Along the same lines UN CERD 2012: § 10.

⁶ Austrian Supreme Court 13 Os 154/03, 14.1.2004 and 11 Os 87/10v, 28.9.2010.

perpetrator denies the targeted group's right to life. As this is not in line with § 18b of GPR No. 7 and § 40 of its Explanatory Memorandum, ECRI considers that this requirement should be removed.

- The Criminal Code does not expressly criminalise racist threats (§ 18c of GPR 7. No. 7).8 Sections 3a to g of the National Socialism Prohibition Act (NSPA) of 1947 and section III.1.4. of the Introductory Act to the Act on Administrative Procedures (EGVG) punish the dissemination of the national socialist ideology; however they do not punish the public expression, with a racist aim, of other ideologies which claim the superiority of, or which depreciate or denigrate, a grouping of persons (§ 18d of GPR No. 7). Section 3h NSPA only covers part of § 18e of GPR No. 7, as it only criminalises the public denial of the national socialist Holocaust and crimes against humanity.9 ECRI welcomes the fact that the authorities consider, as recommended in its 4th report, ratifying the Additional Protocol to the Convention against Cybercrime and aligning Austria's criminal law to its Article 6 on the criminalisation of the denial of genocide and of crimes against humanity without restriction to a particular ideology. ECRI recalls that § 18e of GPR No. 7 also covers war crimes; this element should be included in any future revision of the Criminal Code.
- 8. Section III.1.4 EGVG on the dissemination of the national socialist ideology, sections 1 to 4 of the Insignia Act on the use of uniforms and symbols of banned organisations and Article 283 CC only cover part of § 18f of GPR No. 7; this paragraph recommends criminalising not only the public dissemination or distribution, but also the production or storage of any (and not only national socialist) written, pictorial or other material containing racist manifestations. Section 3a NSPA makes it an offence to set up or support Nazi organisations. Article 278 CC criminalises the participation in a criminal organisation. This only covers part of § 18g of GPR No.7, as not all racist organisations are covered.
- 9. Article 302.1 CC punishes the misuse by a civil servant of his/her authority without making explicit reference to racism; sections 24 and 37 of the federal Equal Treatment Act (ETA) punish discriminatory job and flat advertisements and section III.1.3 EGVG denying access to public places and services on racist grounds. However, not all discrimination in the exercise of one's private occupation is punishable (§ 18h of GPR No. 7).
- 10. Only the criminal law provisions, but not the administrative provisions on discriminating job and flat advertisements provide for dissuasive sanctions. The latter only provide for a reprimand for first-time offenders and a fine of up to 360 Euros for persistent offenders. ¹⁰ ECRI also considers that the authorities should extend the provisions on national socialist motivated criminal acts in particular the NSPA, the Insignia Act and the EGVG to all kind of racist motivated acts.
- 11. ECRI recommends that the authorities bring their criminal law, in general, into line with its General Policy Recommendation No. 7 as indicated in the preceding paragraphs; in particular they should (i) extend the provisions on national socialist

⁷ Decision of the Vienna General Prosecutor to discontinue the proceedings against Mr. Mölzer No. (038) 8 OStA 171/14s, 27.7.2014 (see below § 49); Appeals Court of Innsbruck, 11 Bs 110/13h, 30.04.2013.

⁸ Racist threats are however punishable under Articles 115 (threats), 275 (threats towards the population or a big portion of it – 800 to 1 000 persons), 33 CC (aggravating circumstance) and section 3g of the National Socialism Prohibition Act (national socialist activity). Public insults and defamation are punishable under Articles 115, 117.3, 283.2 CC.

⁹ Cf. EU 2014b: 5.

¹⁰ European Network of Legal Experts in the Non-discrimination Field (ENLENF) 2013: 7, 26, 79. For more details see Federal Ministry for Education and Women (FMEW) 2014b: 130 et seq.

motivated criminal acts to all kind of racist motivated acts, (ii) fill the gaps in the protection against incitement to hatred and discrimination and racist public insults and defamation, (iii) criminalise the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of war crimes (iv) criminalise the production or storage of all pictorial or other material containing racist manifestations, (v) criminalise all discrimination in the exercise of one's occupation and (vi) provide for dissuasive sanctions for discriminating job and flat advertisements.

Civil and administrative law

- ECRI, in its 4th report, noted a marked improvement in the field of civil and administrative anti-discrimination legislation. At the same time it expressed its concern that the high number of anti-discrimination acts undermines its effectiveness. This fragmented legal and institutional environment is due to the division of competence between the Federation and the Länder: the Federation is competent for civil law, federal schooling, the federal civil service, agriculture and forestry; all other areas are matters for the Länder. 11 ECRI was not provided with an exact number of anti-discrimination acts and bodies; there exist 35 to 60 acts and about 50 institutions. 12 Civil society and independent bodies informed ECRI that, as a result, many victims of discrimination do not know to which body they can turn in order to obtain assistance. The type of school would for example determine whether a body of the Federation or of the Land is competent. In addition, many victims do not pursue their quest for help, if they are redirected from an incompetent body to another institution. Many victims residing outside Vienna do not dare to contact the office of the Ombud for Equal Treatment (OET) in Vienna by phone or mail, as they do not master the German language fully. As the federal OET has no local officers working on racism, it receives only few complaints from outside Vienna.
- 13. ECRI welcomes the increasing awareness of these shortfalls.¹³ As it is well aware of the difficulties to streamline this legal and institutional framework in a federal country, it encourages the authorities to explore all means available for simplification and improvement. This can involve the merger of the acts and institutions in the Federation and each of the Länder; the conclusion of an agreement under Article 15a of the Federal Constitutional Law (FCL) on cooperation between the Federation and the Länder in the field of combating discrimination; the streamlining of the division of labour in the field of discrimination in Articles 10 seq. FCL¹⁴; better cooperation between the bodies; better information about their competence; and appointment of regional Ombudsperson for the OET. On the institutional level the added value of each body should be evaluated. The goal should be to optimise and simplify the assistance for victims of discrimination and to bundle together the scarce human resources that are today scattered among various institutions.¹⁵ All these questions could be addressed as part of the ongoing process of drafting the first

¹¹ Articles 10 et seq. of the Federal Constitutional Law (FCL).

¹² Federal Ministry for Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (FMLSACP) 2014: 67 et seq. lists 35 legal texts. There are for example one federal and nine provincial anti-discrimination acts for employment in the public sector. For a non-exhaustive list of equality bodies cf. http://www.gleichbehandlungsanwaltschaft.at/site/7701/default.aspx, accessed on 16.12.14.

¹³ AOB 2013: 61 et seq.; CoE Commissioner for Human Rights 2012; ZARA 2014: 66.

¹⁴ Another possibility to streamline the system is contained in Article 148i FCL.

¹⁵ The federal OET has 23 posts. The honorary members of the three chambers of the ETC are assisted by three lawyers and several typists. The equality body of Styria has four posts and a comparable Vienna institution three posts. In Vienna, four other posts have been allocated to LGBT issues (cf. §87) and six posts to the Commissioner for Equality.

Austrian Human Rights Action Plan. A step in the right direction has already been taken by the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, the OET and civil society, which set up, in February 2015, a "telephone hotline against discrimination and intolerance". ¹⁶

- 14. ECRI recommends that the authorities merge the various anti-discrimination acts and institutions of the Federation and the Länder in order to improve the protection afforded to victims of racism and discrimination.
- 15. In the following ECRI will focus on remaining shortcomings in the federal legislation. According to §§ 4 and 7 of GPR No. 7 the law should clearly define and prohibit racial discrimination on all grounds listed in § 1 of GPR No. 7. As pointed out in ECRI's last report, the Equal Treatment Act (ETA) only refers to the grounds of sex, "ethnic affiliation", religion or belief, age and sexual orientation. From sections 17.4, 31.4 and 43.3 ETA it can be indirectly deduced that discrimination on grounds of citizenship is also forbidden. The grounds of race, skin colour, language and gender identity are missing. Truthermore, outside the field of employment, the prohibition of discrimination is restricted to only two grounds: sex and ethnicity (section 30 ETA). ECRI welcomes the announcement of a new legislative proposal that aims to extend this protection.
- 16. According to § 7 of GPR No. 7, the prohibition of discrimination should apply in all areas in the public and private sector. A general prohibition of discrimination in the public sector can be deduced from Articles 7.1 and 18.1 FCL, Article 1 of the Federal Constitutional Law on the Implementation of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and Article 14 ECHR which has constitutional-law status. 19 However, the ETA only applies to certain public-sector fields such as social protection and education (section 30.2); also, not the whole private sector is covered (sections 17 et seq.). As a result, not all victims of discrimination can benefit for example from a special rule facilitating the burden of proof such as the one in sections 26.12 and 38.3 ETA.
- 17. ECRI considers that a clear prohibition of all discrimination in the private and public sector on all grounds listed in § 1a of GPR No. 7 should be introduced in the ETA.²⁰ Also, the law should place public authorities under a duty positively to promote equality when carrying out their functions (§ 8 of GPR No. 7).
- 18. Segregation, announced intention to discriminate, and aiding another person to discriminate are not expressly prohibited by sections 19 and 32 ETA (§ 6 of GPR No. 7). Sections 28 and 40 ETA provide that companies may only receive subsidies if they comply with the prohibition of discrimination. According to sections 84, 87, 68 and 19 of the Public Procurement Act²¹, all those to which public authorities award contracts, need to respect labour and social legislation including the prohibition of discrimination which forms part of the ADL and ILO

¹⁶ http://www.bmeia.gv.at/integration/hotline-gegen-diskriminierung/, accessed on 20.5.15.

¹⁷ In practice, the ground of skin colour is covered by the ground of ethnic affiliation, cf. FMEW 2014b: 124. According to the authorities, the ground of gender identity is covered by the ground of gender and the ground of race by the ground of ethnic affiliation. It is a deliberate decision not to use the term "race".

¹⁸ DerStandard.at 2015a.

¹⁹ Cf. EU 2013: 19. Sexual orientation and gender identity are also covered, cf. below § 80.

²⁰ Cf. UPR-recommendation 93.8, Austrian Government 2013b: 48 and FMEW 2014b: 125. In the past, two initiatives to level up the protection against discrimination failed in Parliament, Klagsverband 2012.

²¹ Bundesvergabegesetz. Contractors also need to possess a business licence; it can be withdrawn if the contractor has breached the prohibition of discrimination (section 87 of the Industrial Code).

Convention No. 111. However, there is no rule on the need for contractors to promote equality positively (§ 9 of GPR No. 7).²²

- Compensation for discrimination cannot be obtained before the OET or the Equal Treatment Commission (ETC), but only before the law courts.²³ Victims of discrimination have to bear the full cost of such court proceedings; only one NGO, the Litigation Association of NGOs, has the right to intervene in court cases.²⁴ Furthermore, the amounts of compensation awarded by the courts are low; in several cases they have been further reduced on appeal; at the end of the day, they amounted to only some hundreds Euros. 25 As a result, the enforcement of the ETA is deficient and there is not enough case law.²⁶ ECRI is of the opinion that this legislation is not in line with §§ 10 and 12 of its GPR No. 7, in particular with regard to compensation for both material and moral damage, as victims of discrimination have no easily accessible way to enforce their rights. In addition, the existing system does not provide for effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions. ECRI therefore welcomes the fact that the 2013 government programme contains the commitment to evaluate the enforcement of the right to equal treatment and that the Austrian Ombudsman Board (AOB) has made proposals for improvement.27
- 20. The regulation about the burden of proof in discrimination cases is not in line with § 11 of GPR No. 7. If the victim has substantiated that there could be discrimination, the respondent needs, according to sections 26.12 and 38.3 ETA, only to prove that it is more probable that another motive than discrimination has been decisive. In such cases the GPR recommends however that it should be for the respondent to prove fully that there has been no discrimination.²⁸
- 21. There is no general rule providing for the suppression of the public financing of organisations which promote racism (§ 16 of GPR No. 7). However, subsidies have to be paid back if the conditions under which they were granted are not met. Furthermore, since 2014 print-media and their publishers are obliged to return public funding if they are convicted under Article 283 CC or the NSPA. Since 2010, the same applies to political parties and their educational branches; they are also obliged to return public funding if it has been spent in an unlawful manner.²⁹ Section 29.1 of the Associations Act provides that an association can be disbanded if the conditions of Article 11.2 ECHR are fulfilled and if it has infringed criminal law.³⁰ This is not totally in line with § 17 GPR No. 7 according to

²² For good practices cf. Human Rights Council of the City of Graz 2014: 135 et seq.

²³ Section 12.1 of the ETC and Office of the OET Act (LETCO) only gives the ETC competence to verify whether there is a breach of the equal treatment requirement.

²⁴ Section 62 ETA. According to civil society representatives met by ECRI's delegation, the so-called Chambers of Labour (Arbeiterkammern), organisations which represent the interests of 3 million Austrian employees and consumers, are reluctant to help victims of discrimination.

²⁵ ENLENF 2013b: 79 et seq. For example, the compensation for repeated denial of access to a pub was reduced from 1 500 € to 250 €. In certain employment cases, compensation for moral damage is limited to a maximum of 500 € (section 26.1.2 ETA). By contrast, section 6 of the Austrian Media Act provides for considerable amounts of compensation for offences committed through media.

²⁶ ENLENF 2013a: 5. For an overview on the case law cf. FMEW 2014a: 218 et seq.

²⁷ Republic of Austria 2013a: 47; AOB 2013: 61 et seg.

²⁸ Cf. also FMEW 2014b: 128.

²⁹ Section 2.8 of the Press Subsidies Act 2004 and sections 4.3, 7.5 of the Publizistikförderungsgesetz. In 2010, the Freedom Party of Austria (Freiheitliche Partei Österreich - FPÖ) had to reimburse 1 000 Euros for a seminar entitled Basics on Islam, Rechnungshof 2014: 31.

³⁰ According to civil society only one organisation has ever been disbanded for promotion of racism. The authorities cannot identify from their statistics cases of disbanded racist organisations. However, according to a report of the Service for the Protection of the Constitution, one neo-Nazi association dissolved itself after the authorities lodged a request to have it disbanded, Federal Ministry of Interior (FMI) 2014: 47.

which the law should provide for the possibility of dissolution of all organisations which promote racism even if they have not breached criminal law.

22. ECRI recommends that the authorities bring their anti-discrimination legislation in line with its General Policy Recommendation No. 7 as indicated in the preceding paragraphs; in particular the federal authorities should (i) include in the Equal Treatment Act a general prohibition of discrimination in the private and public sectors on all grounds including citizenship; (ii) ensure that victims have an easily accessible way to enforce their rights; (iii) ensure that victims can obtain adequate compensation; (iv) reinforce the rule on the burden of proof and (v) provide for the possibility to dissolve all racist organisations.

Specialised national bodies³¹

- 23. As described in §§ 34 et seq. of ECRI's 4th report, in 2004 the office of the OET was set up and the ETC's mandate was broadened. Two of the OET's three divisions deal with the grounds falling under ECRI's mandate: discrimination in private-sector employment on grounds of ethnicity, religion, belief and sexual orientation; and discrimination in other areas on grounds of gender and ethnicity. The ETC's second and third chambers cover the same areas as the two divisions described just before.
- The ETC and Office of the OET Act (LETCO) gives these bodies most of the 24. functions and responsibilities enumerated in Principle 3 of GPR No. 2 on specialised bodies to combat racism.³² According to section 5.1 LETCO, the OET can give advice and assistance to victims of discrimination. Victims and the OET can initiate proceedings before the ETC. The ETC's main competence is to issue opinions and decide on individual complaints. However, the ETC may only decide whether discrimination has taken place or not; it has no power to award compensation or impose sanctions.³³ Therefore, victims need to initiate proceedings before the competent court, if they want to obtain compensation. Under these circumstances, ECRI has doubts about the added value of the procedure before the ETC. At the same time, the OET has no right to provide legal aid or represent victims in court proceedings (Principle 3 d and e of GPR No. 2; § 51 of the explanatory memorandum to GPR No. 7). This contributes to the low number of court cases and decisions. ECRI considers that the OET should be given the power to assist victims before the courts and other institutions. This would de facto lead to the streamlining of the system.
- 25. ECRI, in its 2012 conclusions on the implementation of the interim follow-up recommendations addressed to Austria, found that the first recommendation to enshrine the OET's independence was not fully implemented. The situation has remained unchanged; the members of the OET are still appointed by the Federal Chancellor (section 3.4 LETCO) and their office is part of this authority. Likewise, the chairs of the ETC's chambers are appointed by the Federal Chancellor and the ETC is part of the Federal Ministry for Education and Women (FMEW). It is the government and not the OET and the ETC which reports to

³¹ Independent authorities expressly entrusted with the fight against racism, xenophobia, antisemitism, intolerance and discrimination on grounds such as ethnic origin, colour, citizenship, religion and language (racial discrimination), at national level. All nine Länder have also set up equality bodies.

³² Cf. sections 5.2, 5.1, 12.4, 12.1, 13.1, 5,4, 12.1, 5.2 LETCOOET. In practice, the OET seeks friendly settlements, ENLENF 2013a: 7.

³³ The ETC can initiate court proceedings if the discriminating person does not comply with its proposals (section 12.4 LETCO). The OET has the same right, if it has initiated the procedure before the ETC.

³⁴ For more details see ECRI's interim follow-up conclusions on Austria.

³⁵ The ETC was set up within the Federal Chancellery and subsequently moved to the FMEW.

Parliament (section 24 LETCO vs. Principle 5.3 of GPR No. 2). ECRI takes note of the authorities' point of view that the closeness of the OET to the administration can be an advantage when working together on improvement. It considers however that an equality body should function without any interference from other state authorities (Principle 5.2 of GPR No. 2), especially when it is competent for discrimination cases in fields such as education and social services.

- 26. ECRI again recommends giving the Ombudspersons for Equal Treatment the power to represent victims of discrimination in court or administrative proceedings. As per Principle 5 of ECRI's General Policy Recommendation No. 2 on specialised bodies to combat racism, the Ombudspersons and the Commission for Equal Treatment should be made fully independent on the organisational level and function without interference from other state authorities.
- 27. ECRI welcomes the fact that, since its amendment in 2012, Article 148a FCL expressly provides that the AOB can examine complaints for violation of human rights on the part of public administration.³⁶ In its annual report 2012 the AOB stated that it had come across many instances of discrimination in public administration.³⁷ However, victims may only address themselves to the AOB if they have no other legal remedy.
- 28. ECRI recommends that the Austrian authorities consider removing the restriction that victims of discrimination can only lodge a complaint with the Austrian Ombudsman Board, if they have no other legal remedy.

2. Hate speech³⁸

- Extent of the phenomenon

- 29. Concerning hate crime in general the Austrian police refer to the statistics in the report on the Protection of the Constitution. In 2013, 574 acts committed with bias motivations were recorded (2012: 519). 10.6% of these were classified as racist and xenophobic (2012: 11.4%), 6.5% as antisemitic (2012: 5.2%), 2.1% as islamophobic (2012: 0.8%)³⁹, and 64.6% as right-wing extremist (2012: 56.4%). Out of these, charges were brought for incitement to hatred under Article 283 CC in 152 cases (2012: 83).⁴⁰ As for the prosecution services, they have informed ECRI that in 2013 162 (2012: 117) known persons were prosecuted under Article 283 CC; 77 (2012: 51) cases involved unknown persons. There were 13 final convictions (2012: 15). ECRI notes that there are no official statistics on homo- and transphobic offences. Moreover, the authorities have informed ECRI that they cannot provide an estimate of the number of unreported cases; they are in the process of reviewing their statistics as recommended in ECRI's 4th report.
- 30. Experts and civil society consider that hate speech is generally under-reported. In a recent study on the life situation of Blacks in four Austrian cities 52% of the 717 participants responded having been insulted or harassed during the last

³⁶ The previously missing reference to human rights in the AOB's mandate was the main reason why it was only given B-status status in 2011, UN ICC Sub-Committee on Accreditation 2011: 11. Article 148i FCL provides that the Länder can make the federal AOB competent for their administration also.

³⁷ Austrian Ombudsman Board 2012: 61 et seg.

³⁸ This section covers racist and homo/transphobic speech. For a definition of "hate speech" see Recommendation No. R (97) 20 of the Committee of Ministers adopted on 30 October 1997.

³⁹ The 110 cases belonging to these three groups were reported to ODIHR, OSCE 2013: 25.

⁴⁰ FMI 2014: 17 et seg.

⁴¹ ZARA 2014: 58. Cf. also FMI 2014: 20.

12 months on the ground of their skin colour or ethnic origin. ⁴² According to the Fundamental Rights Agency (FRA) LGBT-survey conducted in 2012, almost all LGBT persons got harassed during the previous 12 months; 93% did not report the incidents. ⁴³ 29% of Austrian LGBT persons are of the opinion that offensive language about LGBT persons by politicians is fairly or very widespread. Roma, Jews, Muslims and asylum seekers also figure among the main targets of hate speech. ⁴⁴ A 2011 study on antipathy towards migrants shows that the Austrian scores have considerably worsened during the last decade; Austria scored highest among the 16 western European countries covered. ⁴⁵

- 31. ECRI considers that the authorities should take full advantage of the many possibilities offered by electronic data processing when setting up a new system for recording hate motivated offences. In particular, the police and prosecution services should adopt a broad definition of racist, homo- and transphobic incidents and establish a tool that automatically searches for keywords in their files, which can help to detect cases which might have been motivated by racism, homo- or transphobia. They should also ensure that data can be broken down according to various criteria such as the group to which the victim belongs and the criminal-law provision under which the offence is prosecuted. They should finally ensure that all cases with evidence of such bias motivation are correctly registered as hate crime; one way of achieving this would be specific training.
- 32. ECRI recommends that the authorities set up an IT-based system for recording and monitoring racist, homo- and transphobic incidents, and the extent to which these incidents are brought before the prosecutors and are eventually qualified as racist or homo/transphobic offences (§ 12 of General Policy Recommendation No. 11 on combating racism and racial discrimination in policing).
- 33. In its fourth report ECRI reiterated its call for the adoption of ad hoc measures to combat the use by political parties or their representatives of racially inflammatory or xenophobic discourse. Since then, many hate motivated public statements have been made in particular during election campaigns and nourish everyday racism and neo-fascism in Austria. The far right the FPÖ (Austrian Freedom Party) and the BZÖ (Alliance for Austria's Future) is openly hostile to historical ethnic, religious and linguistic minorities, migrants, refugees and asylum seekers. In its Handbook for Liberal Policies the FPÖ quotes documents accusing migrants of causing crime and unemployment, spreading diseases and being responsible for rising real estate prices. As a solution, "negative immigration" is suggested, i.e. the removal of foreign nationals to their countries of origin. In March 2012 the FPÖ used an election poster with a racist statement, in December 2012, a Viennese local FPÖ politician and police officer issued an islamophobic press release and in 2014 an FPÖ candidate employed

⁴² Philipp and Starl 2013: 29 et seq.

⁴³ FRA 2012a.

⁴⁴ Cf. ZARA 2013 and 2014; for 2013 the Forum against Antisemitism recorded 49 cases of hate mail/hate call and 21 other cases of verbal abuse/threats/harassment, FGA 2014; Romano Centro published a report on 82 recent cases of discrimination towards Roma including hate speech, Roman Centro 2013.

⁴⁵ Rosenberger and Seeber 2011: 181 et seq.

⁴⁶ For examples see United Press Interantional 2015 and thelocal.at 2015.

⁴⁷ In 2005, the BZÖ was created by Jörg Haider and other members of the FPÖ. Despite several initial election successes, in 2013 it failed to reach the 4% threshold for representation in the federal Parliament.

⁴⁸ Annual reports of ZARA; in particular ZARA 2013: 58 et seq.; ENAR 2012.

⁴⁹ Diepresse.com 2014a; ZARA 2013: 28 et seq.; ZARA 2014: 30: "My Plan for Innsbruck: Homeland love instead of Moroccan thieves".

⁵⁰ The title of the press release was: "Subway sex monster hides in Turkish community in Brigittenau! Uneducated, criminal and hateful towards women - the desirable goals of the multi-cultural society?"

racist terms in the European elections race.⁵¹ Members of the centre right Austrian People's Party also succumb to the temptation of using hate speech.⁵²

- 34. The Service for the Protection of the Constitution (SPC) reports that a new generation of right wing extremist organisations has appeared, which present racist views through "more diplomatic propaganda" and aim at recruiting young people to a large extent from universities and student fraternities (Burschenschaften). For example, the IBÖ (Austrian Identitarian Movement) campaigns for maintaining the Austrian identity and states that Austria needs to be protected from mass immigration and "Islamisation". Music is also used to spread neo-Nazi ideas. ⁵³
- Until 2002 the SPC used to report on strong ties between student fraternities and right wing extremists.⁵⁴ According to the authorities, the SPC then changed the focus areas of its reports and did not cover the activities of the fraternities anymore.55 Experts observe an ongoing radicalisation among the members of the umbrella organisation German Fraternity (Deutsche Burschenschaft): in 2011 two motions were signed by 14 Austrian fraternities, which aimed at establishing that not only German citizenship but German descent was required to be a member of any fraternity and at excluding an organisation which had accepted a student of Chinese descent. According to the explanatory statement "for example a non-European facial and body morphology [...] was a sign of non-German decent". In 2013 another motion, drafted by a working group, aimed at linking membership to a kind of "certificate of Aryan descent". Under public pressure this motion was withdrawn and many liberal fraternities left the umbrella organisation. The SPC, in its 2013 annual report, noted anew that the fraternities had repeatedly been criticised for being latently fascist. Many FPÖ politicians are fraternity members. Following reports about antisemitic comments made in 2012 by the FPÖ leader Heinz-Christian Strache at their "Vienna corporations' ball" at the Vienna Hofburg, the authorities announced that they would stop renting the premises to the fraternities; the ball has been renamed; it is now organised by the FPÖ.56
- 36. Racism on the Internet and social media is on the rise. In 2013 the number of cases denounced on a police website for reporting Nazi activities rose to 1 900 (2012: 940; 2011: 338). The authorities give three reasons: better sensitisation, the possibility of reporting anonymously and a rise in the number of relevant acts. Frasearch indicates that this type of content is posted not only by individuals, but also by political parties, other racist and neo-Nazi groups, as well as extreme right-wing and racist musicians. In 2013, such racist postings on Facebook made reference to the bomb attack in Oberwart which had killed four

⁵¹ Andreas Mölzer had to admit that he had stated that the EU was a "conglomerate of negroes"; DerStandard.at 2014a. He is also suspected of having written an article which denigrates the family of the Black soccer placer David Alaba, Süddeutsche Zeitung 2014.

⁵² ZARA 2014: 32: in December 2013 a mayor belonging to this party made antisemitic and xenophobic statements during a debate on the accommodation of asylum seekers. For other examples of hate speech from politicians see § 38 and the annual reports of ZARA.

⁵³ FMI 2014: 15 et seq. and 63 et seq.; ZARA 2014: 55 et seq.

⁵⁴ FMI 2003: 26.

⁵⁵ Individual members may still be subject to surveillance if they are suspected of infringing criminal law.

⁵⁶ Spiegelonline 2013a, 2013b and 2014; FAZ 2013; FMI 2013: 58; Weidinger 2015: 443 et seq.; Peham 2014: 13 et seq.

⁵⁷ FMI 2013: 19 et seq. This website, Meldestelle NS-Wiederbetätigung, can be consulted under http://www.bmi.gv.at/cms/bmi_verfassungsschutz/meldestelle/, accessed on 20.05.2015.

⁵⁸ Institut für Gesellschafts- und Sozialpolitik an der Johannes Kepler Universität Linz 2012: 21 et seq. For homophobic hate speech cf. http://www.profil.at/articles/1421/983/375446/shitstorm-conchita-wurst-heinz-fischer.

Roma in 1995.⁵⁹ In 2014 racist comments were posted on the web-pages of several federal ministers⁶⁰ and the Eurovision Song Contest victory of Conchita Wurst triggered a lot of online hate speech and threats. Such comments were even posted on the Facebook page of the Federal President and only deleted four days later.

- 37. According to the SPC, some radical Islamist preachers generate hatred against persons of a different faith. In November 2014 the Vienna school authority asked a private school to provide a certified translation of a history book after allegations that it contained antisemitic material.⁶¹ In July 2014 antisemitic comments were posted on the Facebook-page of the Minister of Foreign Affairs in reaction to his appeal for peace in the Near East.⁶²
- 38. Traditional media also publish clearly racist content⁶³ and often disclose the ethnic origin of suspects when reporting criminal acts. Some media are considered to produce xenophobic content, which has not been properly researched; resentment is stirred up and Roma, asylum seekers and other vulnerable groups are portrayed as criminals.⁶⁴ Media reports have for example contributed to intensifying antipathy against Roma during discussions about the alleged existence of "begging mafias". In Salzburg the debate became more heated during the 2014 local election campaign. Although research had already concluded that it was unlikely that such structures existed, the ongoing discussion resulted in the setting up, in August 2014, of a special police unit to investigate the issue. Four agents invested 3 300 man hours before the police could conclude that there was no organisation holding sway over a significant share of those begging. The operation's cost was at least 35 000 Euros.⁶⁵
- 39. On a positive note, public figures such as the singer Conchita Wurst and the soccer player David Alaba have a positive impact on the perception of people with different backgrounds. 66 Also, there are no more reports about hostility towards the Slovenian minority in Carinthia. 67

⁵⁹ Romano Centro 2013: 14

⁶⁰ Diepresse.com 2014b.

⁶¹ In December 2014 the school authority prohibited the operation of this school after the end of the school year as it had refused to provide a list of its teaching and managing staff, standard.at 2014e.

⁶² FMI 2014: 50 et seq; Focusonline 2014; Vorarlberg.orf.at 2014; cf. DerStandard.at 2014c.

⁶³ For example in December 2012 the free newspaper "heute" published an article about a murder case in Klagenfurt; even though there was no information on the culprit's origin, he is described as the "sort of man who usually and luckily live behind the half moon. In countries where the buttocks are higher than the head when praying. They see partners as their property. If she tries to be independent, they feel injured in their pride and go crazy", ZARA 2014: 27. On 23 December 2013 the Kronen Zeitung wrote about an attack on a taxi driver: "Just before the arrival, the Southerner (one out of hundreds of criminal aliens that make our home uncertain) pulled out a knife", Austrian Press Council, decision no. 2013/1. On 20 March 2013 the Tiroler Tageszeitung published under the headline "The Moroccan who deals with beer and toast instead with drugs" the following passage "North Africans in Innsbruck actually have only two alternatives: deal drugs or prison", ZARA 2014: 32.

⁶⁴ Concerning Roma see Roma Centro 2014: 14 and Austrian Press Council (APC), decision no. 2014/18 and 27 on several articles of the Kronen Zeitung. Concerning asylum seekers cf. APC decision no. 2013/78, 80 and 82 on several articles published by the same newspaper. The articles suggest that three asylum seekers, who were housed in a monastery, are the heads of a criminal gang and had gained 20 million Euros with human trafficking. The APC found that the newspaper had breached the rules on proper research and protection of privacy. See also ZARA 2014: 26.

⁶⁵ Schoibl 2013; Salzburger Nachrichten 2014; Romano Centro 2013: 17. ECRI welcomes the decision of the Austrian Constitutional Court that the absolute begging-bans of Salzburg violated the freedom of expression (Art. 10 ECHR), No. G155/10, 30.6.2012.

⁶⁶ Unfortunately, successful Roma do not dare to disclose their origin, Schmatz 2014: 10.

⁶⁷ Cf. § 97 of ECRI's 4th report on Austria.

Responses to hate speech

- ECRI notes that several racist statements including some made by politicians have gone unpunished. One reason is that the courts considered that they had not been made in front of a sufficiently large audience (see § 5).68 At the same time, the police and prosecution services have invested considerable resources to ensure a criminal-law response to hate speech. The police, for example, set up a special taskforce to investigate the Nazi web-site Alpen-Donau-Info. This was a complicated operation as most data and content had been encrypted and anonymised. As some perpetrators resided abroad, other countries had to be asked for assistance in criminal matters. In 2013 the three main perpetrators were convicted in second instance to prison sentences of up to seven years and nine months for breach of section 3g NSPA. Several users of the site were also sentenced to six to 18 months imprisonment. 69 Furthermore, the identity of the neo-nazi musician "Reichstrunkenbold" was discovered; he and five other perpetrators were sentenced to prison terms of up to three years. 70 The police has stepped up initial and further education on racism and discrimination and the judiciary has dealt with these issues in several seminars. Approximately 300 police agents were trained to investigate cybercrime. ECRI welcomes these initiatives together with the website for reporting Nazi activities.
- 41. ECRI is pleased to note that the Authorities are in the process of further improving their criminal-law response to hate speech. There was an increase in criminal investigations following the wave of online-hate speech in 2014⁷¹ and an inter-ministerial summit on combating hate speech was organised in autumn 2014.⁷² Concerning the issues of amending section 283 CC and ratification of the additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime ECRI refers back to §§ 7 et seq. of this report and the recommendation made in § 7 of its 4th report. In ECRI's view, ratification of this Protocol would considerably improve the Austrian authorities' response to cyber hate speech: it would not only lead to the alignment of the relevant Austrian criminal law provisions to international standards, but would also allow the Austrian cybercrime police units to draw benefit from international cooperation.
- 42. ECRI strongly recommends that Austria ratifies the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime, concerning the criminalisation of acts of a racist and xenophobic nature committed through computer systems.
- 43. ECRI also considers that more needs to be done to stop certain political parties and other organisations from cultivating and disseminating neo-Nazism, racism and xenophobia. Showing tolerance towards such parties and organisations and failing to take clear action to stop the dissemination of their ideology nourishes everyday racism and neo-fascism in Austrian society. In response to the developments described in § 35, such action also needs to be taken against student fraternities that keep alive such ideology in academia. In addition to applying criminal law vigorously in such cases, the public funding of such organisations, including political parties, should be discontinued. Public authorities should ensure that racist organisations do not receive any further public support and be dismantled (§§ 16 and 17 of GPR No. 7).

⁶⁸ ZARA 2014: 29 et seq.; Heute.at 2014

⁶⁹ FMI 2013: 45 et seq.; 2014: 20.

⁷⁰ Oe24.at 2014a.

⁷¹ Until November 5th, 193 known persons and another 77 unknown persons were prosecuted under Article 283 CC. There were 23 convictions.

⁷² oe24.at 2014b; Diepresse.com 2014b.

- 44. ECRI recommends that criminal and administrative law is applied in a more vigorous way to curtail the activities of organisations that promote a racist ideology. Imposing sanctions on and dismantling such organisations should be part of the response.
- 45. ECRI notes that the authorities have also opted for non-criminal-law responses to hate speech. At the hate speech summit in 2014, the commitment to continue prevention was renewed. School-education was found to be an important area for prevention activities. Also self-regulation by internet providers, moderators of online forums and media was discussed.
- 46. ECRI, in its 4th report, recommended that the authorities systematically condemn all forms of racism in political discourse. In this respect, human rights monitoring in the city of Graz covering the use of hate speech during election campaigns can be cited as a good example.⁷³ Unfortunately, on the federal level, racist and homo- and transphobic speech, in particular hate speech from politicians, is not systematically countered. Quite often, the members of the federal government prefer not to react. Moreover, the Parliament's rules of procedure only prohibit the use of insulting language, but do not expressly address hate speech. ECRI considers that the federal authorities should follow the example of the city of Graz and set up or task an existing body⁷⁴ to monitor hate speech.
- 47. ECRI recommends that the Austrian authorities, including the members of the government, the Austrian Ombudsman Board and the specialised bodies systematically counter and condemn hate speech and develop instruments to prevent and combat its use in particular during election campaigns.
- 48. ECRI welcomes the efforts of the government towards more tolerance and a balanced debate on migration and foreigners. Several campaigns were run in the framework of the project "together:Austria". 75 300 prominent persons from the fields of sport, the economy and culture, but also ordinary persons with migration backgrounds, serve as integration ambassadors and visit schools, companies and associations to discuss about their successful integration. The authorities have also published an integration glossary for journalists, which explains a number of key terms having to do with integration. 76 The 2014-initiative #proud of focuses on Austrians with migration backgrounds being proud of Austria. 77
- 49. Some media have played an important role in combating hate speech and pushed several FPÖ-politicians, such as Andreas Mölzer, who had made hate motivated statements, to resignation. Researchers and civil society consider, however, that persons with migration backgrounds and members of other vulnerable groups like Roma are still not given enough opportunities to express themselves in the media.⁷⁸ Roma and asylum seekers, for example, are rarely quoted in reports about issues of concern to them.
- 50. In response to an ECRI recommendation, the Austrian Press Council was reestablished in 2010. The Council found violations of its Code of Ethics in most of

⁷³ In 2009 it led to the condemnation of a FPÖ frontrunner for incitement for hatred, Steiermark.orf.at 2009.

⁷⁴ The federal ETC, due to restrictions in its mandate, can only address hate speech in the fields of employment, education and social services. ECRI refers back to the recommendation made in § 22 (i).

⁷⁵ http://www.zusammen-oesterreich.at/index.php?id=2.

⁷⁶ Medien-Servicestelle Neue Österreicher/innen 2012.

⁷⁷ m-media.or.at 2014b.

⁷⁸ Gruber, O., Herczeg, P., Wallner, C. 2012; Brantner, C., Herczeg, P. 2012.

the media-related cases in §§ 33 et seq. 79 Membership in the Council is however not mandatory. As the main tabloids are not members, they are not oblided to follow and publish the Council's decisions. Also, there is no comparable mechanism for other media including television and radio. ECRI considers that the authorities should promote the extension of the Council's mandate to other media. Also, sanctions should be introduced for all media who have been found to have breached the Code of Ethics; the authorities could consider extending the obligation to return public funding (section 2.8 of the Press Subsidies Act) to those whom the Press Council has found to have breached its Code of Ethics. Furthermore, ECRI supports a proposal made by an expert that such subsidies, which are currently paid to all media, should be limited to those that comply with certain criteria (quality journalism and thorough research).80 Finally, the authorities should ensure that the ethnic origin of alleged perpetrators of an offence is only disclosed by the police and the judiciary where it is strictly necessary and serves a legitimate purpose. They should also promote the inclusion of such a rule for the media in the Press Council's Code of Ethics (§§ 20 and 88 to 89 of GPR No. 11). If self-regulation does not produce sufficient results, an external regulatory framework should be set up.

- 51. ECRI also considers that more regulation is needed for online forums. To date hate speech can be posted anonymously on many electronic media and there is no systematic monitoring of their content. An explanation is that media have an economic interest in having as many comments as possible. ECRI considers that the Authorities should promote the idea that all media develop mechanisms ensuring that hate motivated comments are removed. In this respect ECRI highlights a good practice from Google world, which has recently introduced the possibility of reporting hate speech in order to obtain its removal.⁸⁷
- 52. ECRI recommends that the authorities promote (i) accession of more media to the Press Council, (ii) respect and publication of its decisions by non-members, (iii) the extension of the Press Council's mandate to all media or the setting-up of similar bodies for other types of media including radio and television, (iv) the idea that media only disclose the ethnic origin of alleged perpetrators of an offence when it is strictly necessary and serves a legitimate purpose and (v) self-regulation to ensure the removal of online hate speech. The authorities should sensitise the media, without encroaching on their editorial independence, to give members of vulnerable groups adequate space to express themselves. ECRI recommends that the authorities consider enacting legislation if there is no other option. The authorities should finally ensure that the police and the judiciary only disclose the ethnic origin of alleged perpetrators of an offence when it is strictly necessary and serves a legitimate purpose.

3. Racist and homo/transphobic violence

53. According to the latest report on the Protection of the Constitution, in 2013 two persons were injured in antisemitic attacks; in 2012 one person had been injured in such an attack, while eight persons had been injured in other racist and xenophobic attacks (nobody in 2013). Data from other sources indicate that the number of hate motivated offences is significantly higher. During a 2012 FRA study, 7% of the respondents of Turkish origin indicated that they had been

⁷⁹ See the decisions published on the Press Council's webpage http://www.presserat.at. Section 5.5. of its Code of Ethics provides: "Any discrimination on racial, religious, national, sexual or other reason is inadmissible".

⁸⁰ Cf. § 38 and the expert opinion Haas 2012: 192 et seq.

http://googlepublicpolicy.blogspot.co.at/2014/09/fighting-online-hate-speech.html and http://www.adl.org/combating-hate/cyber-safety/best-practices/.

victims of attacks or other serious offences during the past 12 months. Also, the 2013 lethal knife attack on a Black in Vienna does not seem to be reflected in the official statistics. The perpetrator was sentenced to 8 years and Article 33 CC on aggravating circumstances was applied. As on hate speech, there is no official data on homophobic and transphobic violence. 23% of the respondents at the FRA's LGBT survey responded that they had been physically or sexually attacked or threatened with violence during the last five years. 54% of those who had been subject to such an attack in the past 12 months thought that this had happened partly or entirely because they were perceived to be LGBT. Only 19% of the victims had reported an incident to the police.

- 54. Among the recent hate motivated offences the attack on the encampment of several Roma families on 2 September 2013 is of particular concern. After a Facebook campaign inciting to hatred, violence and arson, and despite the intervention of the police, approximately 20 young adults damaged several vehicles, which had been parked legally near Bischofshofen. Eight perpetrators were sentenced for incitement to hatred to three and four months of prison. 86 On 24 July 2014, around 20 young persons attacked the football players of Maccabi Haifa during a friendly game with OSC Lille in Bischofshofen. The perpetrators waved Turkish and Palestinian flags; a knife and stones were used. Leading politicians condemned the antisemitic attack and the criminal proceedings resulted in a five month suspended prison sentence.87 The Jewish community informed ECRI that its members increasingly fear physical attacks and that it needs to invest a growing part of its budget into security. Mosques and shelters for asylum seekers are also targets of attacks. In 2010 several shots were fired in front of the mosque in Freistadt and in 2011 there was an arson attack on the mosque of Kufstein. In October 2014, five shots were fired next to a shelter for asylum seekers near Kitzbühel.88
- 55. Concerning the registration of hate crime, ECRI refers back to the recommendation made in § 32. It considers that sustained action is needed to ensure the adequate punishment of all those resorting to hate motivated violence. With regard to the attack on the Roma encampment in 2013, it again underlines that the authorities should intensify their action to prevent the dissemination of racist, homo- and transphobic content on the Internet and refers back to the recommendations previously made in this report.

4. Integration policies

Persons with migration backgrounds

56. 1 625 million persons with migration backgrounds lived in Austria in 2013 (19.4% of the global population). Out of the 1 197 million persons born abroad and the 428 200 persons with a parent born abroad, 566 700 persons originated from EU

⁸² FRA 2012b: 11.

⁸³ DiePresse.com 2013.

⁸⁴ After allegations that another murder – the one of a Romanian national in 2011 - was racially motivated, the authorities double-checked the presence of a bias motive; they concluded that the perpetrator did not act out of a specific ideology but that he was convinced that there were too many migrants in Austria.

⁸⁵ FRA 2012a. Cf. also OSCE 2013: 45. See also Human Rights Council of the City of Graz 2014: 37.

⁸⁶ Romano Centro 2013: 17; Salzburg.orf.at 2014b and c.

⁸⁷ For further details cf. Salzburg.orf.at 2014a and DerStandard.at 2015b.

⁸⁸ WienerZeitung.at 2012; DerStandard 2011; DerStandard.at 2014d.

countries, 533 100 from ex-Yugoslavia and 268 400 from Turkey. 17 413 persons had applied for asylum (2012: 17 400).89

- 57. Despite a long history of migration, integration has only recently become a political priority on the federal level. ECRI welcomes the fact that following a recommendation made in ECRI's 4th report the government has adopted the first ever National Action Plan on Integration (NAP-I) in January 2010. It targets "the whole society, foreign citizens living permanently in Austria, Austrian citizens born abroad and people with parents born abroad living permanently in Austria." Several bodies are involved in its implementation. The Expert Council for Integration (ECI) was set up as a competence centre, which consolidated, in 2011, the various measures of the NAP-I into a 20-point programme. The Advisory Board on Integration shall facilitate coordination among stakeholders. In 2011, the State Secretariat for Integration was set up. In 2014 the responsibility for integration policies was transferred to the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, which has taken over leadership for this topic in the federal administration. The Integration Fund runs six integration centres and carries out integration projects.
- A basic principle of Austrian integration policy is the concept of "Integration from 58. the beginning": the learning process that promotes integration should start as early as possible; it begins with pre-integration measures in the country of origin and ends with the attainment of citizenship. 90 According to section 21a of the Settlement and Residence Act (SRA), aliens who are not citizens of an EEAcountry or Switzerland (third country nationals) must provide proof of basic German language skills when applying for a residence permit. Those who are granted a temporary permit for the first time, have to complete module 1 of the Integration Agreement⁹¹ within two years (section 14a.1 SRA). Module 1 teaches language skills at level A 2, in order to facilitate participation in social, economic and cultural life. Module 2 provides German language skills at B1 level. Its completion is not mandatory, but a requirement for obtaining long-term residence permits and for acceding to citizenship. Migrants need to pay for both modules. Those who complete module 1 within 18 months can have the cost of tuition reimbursed up to a maximum of 750 Euros. According to the NAP-I, obtaining the Austrian citizenship should be the end-point of a comprehensive integration process. 92 In 2013, 7 400 foreigners received Austrian citizenship. 93
- 59. In the field of employment of foreigners, ECRI welcomes the fact that, after repeated recommendations on its part, section 8.2 of the Aliens Employment Act was repealed in 2011, which required employers, when making staff cuts, to dismiss foreign employees first.

- Effects of integration policies for persons with migration backgrounds

60. In an assessment of Austria's integration policies from 2010, Austria did not receive a very high ranking. 4 Since then, the Austrian authorities have set up a

⁸⁹ Statistics Austria 2013: 8 and 2014: 9. First generation means persons born abroad, second generation persons with one parent born abroad.

⁹⁰ Expert Council for Integration 2014.

⁹¹ Despite the title, no negotiation or conclusion of contract takes place between the authorities and the foreigner.

⁹² Republic of Austria 2010: 9.

⁹³ Statistics Austria 2014: 9. This figure was much higher before.

⁹⁴ This was the year when the Migrant Integration Policy Index (MIPEX) had been last updated for Austria; Austria ranked 24th. MIPEX had taken into account the first positive impact of the NAP-I.

system of 25 integration indicators in order to evaluate the impact of the NAP-I.⁹⁵ The five main indicators are: educational level, labour market participation, unemployment by citizenship and educational level, annual income and poverty. Migrants from EU-countries, EEA-countries and Switzerland do better than the average, while persons originating from ex-Yugoslavia, Turkey and other countries score below. For example, in 2013 62% of persons of Turkish descent had only completed compulsory education (compared to 16% of the whole population). The unemployment rate for persons originating from ex-Yugoslavia (outside EU) was 11.6%, from Turkey 15.4% and from other third countries 17.2%, whereas the general rate was 7.6%. The labour market participation of women with migration backgrounds is significantly worse than that of majority-population women (58% compared to 70%; for women of Turkish origin it is 40%). Between 2009 and 2011 44% of persons of Turkish origin were affected by the risk of poverty; so were 47% of migrants from other third countries (compared to 14% of the whole population).⁹⁶

- 61. 24% of Austrians who are in contact with migrants believe that the latter are disadvantaged; one third of the migrants feel the same way. A survey of Blacks showed that they were feeling discriminated by courts and other public authorities as well as in the field of health care and on the employment market. Their unemployment rate was about 20%; 50% stated that they were overqualified for their job.⁹⁷ Foreigners, particularly persons of African descent, are not only victims of hate motivated crime, but also of other criminal offences.⁹⁸
- 62. As already referred in § 30, Austria scored highest among 16 western European countries on an index on antipathy towards migrants. Since 2010, the Austrian authorities conduct annual surveys on attitudes towards integration. A majority of Austrians still believe that integration works badly or very badly, but the results are improving. While in 2010 17.8% of the population considered that integration worked very badly, in 2013 only 8.6% did so. People above the age of 60, with low income and/or low education and unskilled workers are the most pessimistic groups. 82% of migrants feel totally or mostly at home in Austria. The majority of Austrians consider that migrants should better adapt to the Austrian life style. ECRI welcomes the commitment of Federal Minister Sebastian Kurz and the competent authorities, which have had considerable positive impact. Given the persisting extent of antipathy towards migrants, ECRI considers that the authorities should continue their efforts towards rebalancing the public debate on migration by, for example, highlighting the needs of ageing societies for migration and the positive impact of the latter.
- 63. ECRI welcomes the fact that the authorities have set up, with the ECI, an efficient body which conducts, in its annual reports, regular evaluation of the integration policies and makes recommendations for their improvement. From its 2014 report it transpires that many integration initiatives take the form of standalone projects; at the same time, core parts of the civil service, such as the school system, are still unable fully to meet the needs of persons with migration backgrounds who quite often start off at a disadvantage. Also, the impact of the NAP-I in the Länder is limited. During its field visit to Burgenland, ECRI's delegation observed that the NAP-I was almost unknown among regional authorities. A project-based approach outside established structures is certainly appropriate when developing

⁹⁵ Expert Council for Integration 2014: 7. The system covers the fields of education, employment, health and social affairs, security, housing and climate of integration. Statistics Austria publishes annual reports on integration.

⁹⁶ For §§ 60 to 62 and the figures given therein see Statistics Austria 2013 and 2014.

⁹⁷ Philipp and Starl 2013: 3 et seg.: m-media.or.at 2014b.

⁹⁸ Statistics Austria 2014: 13.

new instruments. In order to ensure sustainability and adequate budgeting, it is however important that integration policies are mainstreamed and that educational institutions, employment, health care and other public services take over ownership of core areas.

- 64. ECRI recommends that the classical parts of public service, such as the federal and regional school administration, employment, health care and other public services take ownership of core elements of the integration policies.
- ECRI has repeatedly recommended that the authorities address the 65. disadvantaged educational position of children with migration backgrounds and consider a more radical reform of the school education system. In education, the authorities now also focus on early acquisition of high level German language skills as a key element for successful integration. The introduction of a free compulsory pre-school year for all five year old children was an important step forward. The ECI considers that kindergartens should gradually be transformed into educational institutions and recommends that children should be entitled to a second year of free kindergarten. ECRI welcomes the fact that the government has started implementation of this ECI-recommendation99 and that language support programmes in kindergarten have already produced positive results: the monitoring of the language level among 4 ½ and 5 ½ year-old children showed in 2008 that 58% of children, whose first language was not German, needed additional language training. The percentage was even higher among children who had not attended kindergarten before. 100 A subsequent test showed that about 80% of the children who had benefited from language support programmes during one year had no need for further support. 101
- 66. Elementary and secondary schools are still not sufficiently equipped for helping children with persistent difficulties to catch up. Also, schools continue to rely to a considerable degree on parent support. As many parents with migration backgrounds cannot provide help, the schools need to provide individualised support to ensure that their children acquire adequate German language skills. Initial and continuous training should prepare pre-school and school teachers better to teach German to children with another mother tongue and to the challenges they face in diverse classrooms. Schools should also better involve parents in schooling and human rights education should be included in schooling as from kindergarten. ECRI considers that the authorities should continue focusing on education in their integration policies and include the issue of human rights education in the Human Rights Action Plan currently under preparation.
- 67. The NAP-I does not specifically address asylum seekers and persons having been granted asylum or subsidiary protection. However, due to the length of asylum procedures, many of them stay for years in Austria without receiving appropriate language training and with restricted access to the labour market. Their children have problems to be enrolled in kindergarten. During the first three months, asylum seekers are not allowed to take up regular work, but only to carry out unpaid or low remunerated community work or auxiliary work in their accommodation facility. Subsequently they can only be employed during harvest or in seasonal jobs up to six weeks and twelve months respectively within any 14 month period, or work as self-employed persons; there are no incentives for them to work, as their wages are deduced from social benefits and as they need to leave their accommodation. ECRI considers that these restrictions are

⁹⁹ Federal Ministry for Family and Youth 2014.

¹⁰⁰ Statistics Austria 2014: 42 et seg.

¹⁰¹ Expert Council for Integration 2014: 33.

¹⁰² For this paragraph see Expert Council for Integration 2014: 33 et seq.

counterproductive, as many newly arrived asylum seekers are highly motivated to work. At the same time, asylum-seeker unemployment is expensive and contributes to xenophobic and racist attitudes in the media, public discourse and among the population in general. ECRI therefore considers that the Austrian principle of Integration from the beginning should be applied to the asylum system as well. In this context, it welcomes the facilitation of access to apprenticeships for young asylum seekers. Concerning refugees, UNHCR points out that better language tuition, in particular through vocational language tuition and combined work and language programmes, is a key factor for better integration. ¹⁰³

- 68. ECRI recommends that the authorities apply the principle of Integration from the beginning in the fields of asylum and subsidiary protection. They should design and implement a concept for addressing the special integration needs of the persons concerned.
- The regulatory framework for the exercise of religion also raises important 69. integration issues. Until now, Muslims could set up associations, religious communities 104 and religious societies, which are composed of one or more communities of worship. 105 The 2015 Islam Act brings considerable improvement such as state protection for Islamic holidays, the right of religious societies to provide Islamic spiritual care in public hospitals and other institutions and regular university studies in Islamic theology. Concerns have been raised about several other provisions which, for example, ban ongoing foreign funding of Islamic religious societies and provide for the dissolution of a considerable number of associations whose purpose is to spread the doctrine of a religious society already recognised under the Islam Act (Articles 6.2 and 31.3). 106 ECRI recalls that freedom of religion needs to be fully guaranteed (Article 9 ECHR) and that the authorities need to ensure that Muslim communities are not discriminated against as to the circumstances in which they organise and practice their religion. 107 The Venice Commission has stated that a blanket prohibition on all foreign funding is arguably unreasonable and not necessary in a democratic society. Also, consideration should be given to prescribing a range of sanctions of varying severity, before taking the harsh step of liquidating a religious organisation, which should be a measure of last resort. 108 According to the ECtHR, State's power to protect its institutions and citizens from associations that might jeopardise them 109 must be used sparingly and correspond to a pressing social need.110
- 70. ECRI recommends that the authorities ensure, in view of the sustainable integration of important parts of the population, that any restriction and differential

¹⁰³ UNHCR 2013: 9 et seq.; AOB 2012: 25 et seq.; 2013: 116; Ammer 2013: 2 et seq.

¹⁰⁴ Cf. the Austrian Act on the Legal Status of Registered Religious Communities.

¹⁰⁵ For more details cf. OSCE/ODIHR 2014: 3 et seg.

¹⁰⁶ OSCE/ODIHR 2014: 3 et seg.; Hafez 2014; OSCE 2012: 51 et seg.

¹⁰⁷ ECRI's GPR No. 5 on Combating intolerance and discrimination against Muslims, ECtHR, Jehovas Zeugen in Österreich v. Austria, no. 27540/05, 25.09.2012, §§ 28 et seq. and the series of other Austrian discrimination cases cited therein.

¹⁰⁸ CoE, European Commission for Democracy through Law 2014: 49 et seq.

¹⁰⁹ ECRI has already explained in §§ 8, 10 and 21 of this report how criminal, civil and administrative law can be used, in line with §§ 16, 17 and 18g of GPR No. 7, to combat any type of racist organisations, including any that may wish to give the appearance of promoting religious beliefs. Cf. also CoE, European Commission for Democracy through Law 2014: 37 et seq. and Stavros 2014.

¹¹⁰ Magyar Kerestény Mennonita Egyház and others v. Hungary, nos. 70945/11, 23611/12, 26998/12, 41150/12, 41463/12, 41553/12, 54977/12 and 56581/12, 8.4.2014, §§ 79 et seq.

treatment with regard to practice of Islam is in line with the European Court of Human Rights case law.

- Roma

- 71. ECRI, in its 4th report on Austria, recommended that the authorities pursue their efforts to combat racism and discrimination against Roma, especially in the field of education and that they involve civil society in the design and implementation of new measures.¹¹⁷ Estimates of the Roma population in Austria amount to 35 000 to 50 000. It is composed of autochthonous Roma and many persons originating from ex-Yugoslav countries, which arrived since the 1960s.¹¹² The government presented a Roma-Strategy in 2012 and summarised all activities and projects under way in its 2013 progress report. A Dialogue Platform was set up to monitor the strategy's implementation; it has met a dozen times since 2012. ECRI's delegation, during its country visit, met several Roma organisations which successfully run projects in the areas of education and employment.
- 72. Civil society deplores that the Dialogue Platform has no clear goals, lacks efficiency and is still in the phase of stock-taking and data collection. Only one out of the three studies commissioned was completed in 2014. Roma point out that the strategy is only project-based (see also the situation described in § 63). There is no national budget for new programmes or projects even though some of them are fully drawn up and EU funds arrive late. Roma organisations do not have the financial resources to pre-finance EU-funded projects; some need external empowerment to be able to carry out such projects. The timeline set for updating the Roma Strategy is early 2016. The authorities have informed ECRI that the Austrian ESF Operational Programme was approved by the European Commission on 28 November 2014 and that a call for Empowerment of Roma in the labour market was published in April 2015. The authorities will provide prefinancing for projects and a special focus will be put on applications from the target group itself.
- 73. ECRI welcomes the fact that the authorities invest in careful stocktaking and data collection for the evaluation of on-going and future integration measures. At the same time, it considers that they should accelerate the implementation and (pre)-financing of concrete programmes to achieve all the goals of the strategy in parallel with these stocktaking activities without waiting for the strategy's updating in 2016. Special care should be taken to include, wherever possible, positive measures in favour of Roma individuals and to empower Roma communities and organisations further. For example, future calls for tender could stipulate that a certain percentage of staff carrying out a project should be of Roma descent or able to speak Romani.
- 74. ECRI recommends that the authorities step up the implementation of concrete programmes and projects to achieve the objectives set out in the Roma-Strategy. Special attention should be paid to the further empowerment of Roma and their organisations by positive measures.

¹¹¹ In Austria there exist six recognised national minorities: the Croat minority in Burgenland, the Slovene minority, the Hungarian minority, the Czech minority, the Slovak minority and the Roma minority. As Roma are still particularly vulnerable, ECRI will focus its 5th report on this historical minority. For programmes for the protection and integration of national minorities see the third opinion of the Advisory Committee on the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities, ACFC 2011.

¹¹² Federal Chancellery 2012: 6. For a wider range of estimates cf. Schmatz et al. 2014: 10 et seq.

¹¹³ An ESF-call for projects in the field of employment had still not been published when ECRI's delegation carried out its contact visit in November 2014.

II. Topics specific to Austria

1. Interim follow-up recommendations of the fourth cycle

- 75. ECRI in its fourth report addressed an interim follow-up recommendation to Austria to strengthen the OET. ECRI has reviewed its implementation in §§ 23 et seq. of this report. It considers that the authorities should discuss with the OET the need of additional resources for representing victims of discrimination in proceedings before public authorities and the courts (see § 26).
- Another interim follow-up recommendation was to ameliorate the response given to allegations of racist or discriminatory behaviour by the police. ECRI notes with interest that the police have invested considerable resources in human rights training and into support for the association Fair and Sensible which is composed of representatives of law-enforcement and persons with migration backgrounds, in particular from the Black community. 114 However, research indicates that there is still a significant level of racial profiling and police misbehaviour towards Blacks. 115 As experience shows that victims of police abuses do not generally have confidence in the complaints mechanisms internal to the police, ECRI is pleased to note that the AOB can now receive complaints for such misbehaviour. It would however seem that victims and civil society are not sufficiently informed about this new competence. Therefore, ECRI considers that the Ombudsman Board should build up a platform for regular dialogue with civil society (Principle 3 I and m of ECRI's GPR No. 2). Given the research results cited above, ECRI considers that the AOB should make use of its ex-officio powers and open an investigation into this matter.
- 77. ECRI recommends that the Austrian Ombudsman Board uses its powers to investigate allegations of racial profiling and misconduct on the part of police officers towards persons with migration backgrounds. It should also build up regular dialogue with civil society.

2. Policies to combat discrimination and intolerance against LGBT persons

- 78. In Austria, little official data and research are available on LGBT persons. Their number can be estimated at several hundreds of thousands. The FRA LGBT survey provides precious insights into their living conditions and the Vienna Antidiscrimination Office for Same-sex and Transgender Life-styles (VAASTL) recently commissioned a survey of the living conditions of LGBT persons in the capital. A prize for research on homosexuality is awarded every two years. ECRI considers that sound quantitative and qualitative research is needed to understand the living conditions of and design and evaluate the legal framework and policies for LGBT persons.
- 79. ECRI recommends that the authorities undertake research and collect data on the living conditions of LGBT persons as well as on intolerance and discrimination against them.

30

¹¹⁴ For further information cf. http://www.fairundsensibel.at/.

¹¹⁵ Philipp and Starl 2013; Inou and Achaleke 2011.

¹¹⁶ The Vienna Antidiscrimination Office for Same-sex and Transgender Life-styles estimates the number of LGBT persons living in Vienna at 180 000. Cf. also research and surveys cited in ECRI's last reports on Germany and Norway. Transgender persons are by far the smallest of the four groups. Austrian civil society representatives give the figure of 80 cases of gender reassignment surgery per year.

¹¹⁷ http://www.agpro.at/foerderpreis1.html.

- Legislation

- 80. As stated above, in 2012 the ground of sexual orientation but not the one of gender identity was introduced in Article 283 CC on incitement to hatred. Article 33 CC does not expressly mention these grounds which are covered by its open-ended list of aggravating circumstances. The federal ETA expressly prohibits discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation; gender identity is covered by the ground of gender. However, the protection for sexual orientation is restricted to the area of employment and the ETA cannot be applied in cases such as the 2015 refusal of the famous Vienna café Prückel to serve a lesbian couple. Eight out of the nine Länder have expanded the scope of protection to the field of goods and services. However, awareness of this legislation and of the competence of equality bodies is relatively low. At the FRA LGBT-survey, 58% responded that they would not report discrimination because they believed that nothing would happen; 36% did not know how or where to report. Only few cases are brought to equality bodies and courts.
- ECRI welcomes the fact that, in 2010, Austria created a special regime of 81. registered partnerships only for same sex couples. 122 Austria has also, as a follow-up to an ECtHR judgment, extended sickness insurance cover to the homosexual partner of an insured person. 123 Asylum can be granted due to sexual orientation and gender identity and registered partners can benefit from family reunification. 124 However, civil society published a list with more than 40 differences between the regulation of partnership and marriage. 125 Several discriminatory provisions have been annulled since then as a result of strategic litigation. These concerned, inter alia, differences in double-barrelled names for registered and married persons and the fact that only married persons could assume the name of their partner after the marriage, that the partnership could not be concluded outside the office of the registry office, that different ceremonies were held for marriage and partnership and that medically assisted reproduction was restricted to heterosexual couples. 126 In 2013, the ECtHR found a violation on account of the difference in treatment between same-sex and unmarried different-sex couples wishing to adopt the other partner's child (second parent adoption). In another case, it found discrimination because Austria refused to delete convictions from gay men's criminal records for homosexual relations with consenting male adolescents. 127 On 11 December 2014 the Austrian Constitutional Court annulled the ban of joint adoption for same-sex partners. 128
- 82. Civil society representatives do not challenge some of the remaining differences such as the minimum age of 18 instead of 16 years for registering a partnership. Whereas the authorities are in the process of aligning legislation to some of the

¹¹⁸ Nowak 2010: 26.

¹¹⁹ Nowak 2010: 26. ECRI refers back to the recommendation already made in § 22 (i) of this report.

¹²⁰ DerStandard.at 2015a.

¹²¹ EU FRA 2015: 3; EU FRA 2012a; Nowak 2010: 4.

¹²² In its judgment Schalk and Kopf v. Austria, no. 30141/04, 24.06.2010, the ECtHR held that the ECHR does not oblige a State to grant same-sex couples access to marriage.

¹²³ P.S. and J.S. v. Austria no. 18984/02, 22.07.2010.

¹²⁴ FRA 2014: 4.

¹²⁵ Rechtskomitee Lambda 2010.

¹²⁶ Constitutional Court, no. B 518/11, 22.09.2011; no. G 131/11, 03.03.2012; nos. G 18, 19/2012, 29.06.2012; nos. B 121/11 and B 137/11, 12.12.2012; nos. G 16/2013 and G 44/2013, 10.12.2013.

¹²⁷ X and Others v. Austria, no. 19010/07, 19.02.2013; E.B. and Others v. Austria, nos. 31913/07, 38357/07, 48098/07 et al., 7.11.2013.

¹²⁸ Constitutional Court, no. G 119-120/2014-12, 11.12.2014.

court decisions mentioned in the previous paragraph ¹²⁹, the ECtHR judgment on the deletion of convictions from criminal records is not implemented yet. ¹³⁰ The authorities have, on ECRI's request, drawn up a list of remaining differences in legislation such as the fact that partners cannot have the same family name ¹³¹, different rules concerning the family home in case of separation and the rules on medically assisted reproduction and adoption which were the subject matter of the judgements referred to in § 112.

- In Austria there are administrative procedures for changing a transgender 83. person's first name, for gender recognition and for changing the gender marker in official documents. However, there exists no specific legislation on these issues, as the Constitutional Court, in 2006, annulled secondary legislation on the ground that it lacked an adequate legal basis. 132 According to civil society representatives the authorities still use a decree from 1983. 133 On 27 February 2009, the Higher Administrative Court (HAC) decided that to have access to legal gender recognition, it is sufficient to have undergone gender-corrective measures which have led to a significant similarity in the external appearance of the opposite sex; the Court also recalled that gender recognition was not restricted to unmarried persons. 134 It can be deduced from this decision that no sterilisation is needed. In 2014, the Federal Ministry for Health issued recommendations according to which a medical opinion is needed prior to any change in the personal status. The HAC has also held that the change of gender of a married person needs to be taken into account when issuing a new marriage certificate. 135 As there is persistent legal uncertainty on crucial aspects of transgender persons' private life. ECRI considers that the authorities should enact legislation on the matters discussed in this paragraph. 136
- 84. ECRI recommends that the authorities re-examine whether there is an objective and reasonable justification for each of the remaining differences in the regulation of married and same-sex couples and that they abolish all unjustified differences. It also recommends that legislation is enacted on the issues of access to gender reassignment treatment, changing a transgender person's first name, gender recognition and changing the gender marker in documents.
- 85. The federal ETO and the AOB¹³⁷ are competent for dealing with LGBT issues. ECRI refers back to the recommendations made in §§ 14 and 47 of this report.

Policies

86. The FRA LGBT-survey of 2012 showed comparatively high levels of discrimination experienced by LGBT persons in Austria. 138 78% answered that

¹²⁹ On medically assisted procreation cf. Federal Ministry of Justice 2014b.

Rechtskomitee Lamda 2014. Cf. also the declarations made by Austria summarised under https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=DH-DD(2014)1006&Language=lanEnglish&Site=CM.

¹³¹ Cf. on this issue Verwaltungsgerichtshof no. 2012/01/0005, 23.09.2014; Rechtskomitee Lamda 2014a.

¹³² Constitutional Court No. V4/06, 08.06.2006.

¹³³ http://transx.at/Lib/Law/BMI1983.pdf. See also Human Rights Council of the City of Graz 2014: 36 and Constitutional Court, 3.12.2009, no. B1973/08.

¹³⁴ Verwaltungsgerichtshof, no. 2008/17/0054, 27.02.2009; cf. also Constitutional Court, no. B1973/08, 3.12.2009. For the moment, it does not appear to be required, under international standards, that it should be possible to change name or obtain gender recognition without prior medical expert opinion, CoE Commissioner for Human Rights 2010: 13 et seq.; CoE, Committee of Ministers 2010: § 20, but cf. § 34 of the Explanatory Memorandum.

¹³⁵ Verwaltungsgerichtshof, no. 2010/17/0042, 29.11.2010.

¹³⁶ Cf. Human Rights Council of the City of Graz 2014: 38 to 39, 135.

¹³⁷ Cf. AOB 2013: 73 et seq. on breast augmentation surgery for transgender women.

casual jokes about LGBT are fairly or very widespread in everyday life. 65% are of the opinion that positive measures to promote respect for the human rights of lesbian, gay and bisexual (LGB) persons are fairly or very rare. 60% strongly agree and 27% agree that training of public servants (e.g. police, teachers) on the rights of LGBT would improve their situation. Among transgender persons this figure was 77%. LGB representatives reported on a positive note that their situation is improving and that the victory of Concita Wurst at the 2014 Eurovision song contest has contributed to a better public awareness about LGB issues. The situation of transgender persons remains much worse; the general population and civil servants have little knowledge about their situation. There is also very little knowledge about intersex persons.¹³⁹

- 87. ECRI considers that the authorities should have a more systematic approach to LGBT issues. They should adopt a strategy or action plan to ensure the LGBT persons' right to equality and to address the specific needs of each subgroup and alsointersex persons. Also, an administrative unit should be tasked, on the federal level, with initiating and coordinating research on and policies for LGBT persons. At the level of the Länder, Vienna can serve as a good example. The VAASTL is tasked with eliminating discrimination against LGBT persons and establishing a social climate where all persons can live as equals.
- 88. ECRI recommends that the authorities task at federal level an administrative service to develop and coordinate an action plan or a comprehensive programme for LGBT persons aiming to ensure that LGBT persons can live on an equal footing with others in Austria.
- 89. The coming-out process is a particularly sensitive phase for young LGBT persons. Many of them are victims of mobbing and homosexuals are at higher risk of suicide than heterosexuals. During the FRA survey, 73% responded that they had never talked openly about their being LGBT at school. About 90% agreed or strongly agreed that measures implemented at school to respect LGB persons would allow them to feel more comfortable as a LGB person (82% of transgender persons responded likewise). Research indicates that LGBT issues are not addressed in a systematic or standard way in schools even though sexual education is part of the curriculum. In Vienna for example, there are no requirements concerning the number of hours for sexual education, the issues to address and materials and methods to use. Teachers are not sufficiently prepared to address LGBT issues and do not treat them adequately or do not treat them at all. 141 The Federal Ministry for Education's decree on sexual education dates back to 1990 and does not refer to homosexuality, same-sex partnership, diverse family structures, sexual orientation or gender identity.¹⁴² Nevertheless, specific information and training for schools is provided by LGBT organisations.
- 90. ECRI welcomes the fact that the authorities are in the process of updating the decree on sexual education; at the same time ECRI considers that additional

¹³⁸ FRA 2012a. For example, 41% of all LGBT persons responded that they had felt discriminated against for being L, G, B or T during the last 12 months. 53% of all transgender persons responded likewise.

¹³⁹ Human Rights Council of the City of Graz 2014: 38 to 39. On the specific issues of intersex persons, in particular early surgical interventions, cf. e.g. CoE PACE 2013; Swiss National Advisory Commission on Biomedical Ethics 2012; Deutscher Ethikrat 2012.

¹⁴⁰ ECRI finds it positive that an NGO representing diverse families was admitted to the Family Council set up by the Federal Ministry of Family and Youth.

¹⁴¹ See the study Danish Institute for Human Rights 2009: 4 et seq. which was commissioned by the CoE Commissioner for Human Rights; Wien.orf.at 2014.

¹⁴² Federal Ministry for Education, Art and Culture 1994. On diverse family structures cf. CoE Commissioner for Human Rights 2010: 96 et seq.

- efforts by the school and/or other authorities are needed to provide the necessary aid and assistance to young LGBT persons during their coming-out and to protect them from bullying and discrimination.
- 91. ECRI recommends that the authorities of the Federation and the Länder provide LGBT adolescents with the necessary information, assistance and protection to enable them to live in accordance with their sexual orientation and gender identity. It also recommends that they implement, in particular in schools, measures to promote mutual understanding and respect for all persons irrespective of sexual orientation or gender identity.

INTERIM FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS

The two specific recommendations for which ECRI requests priority implementation from the authorities of Austria are the following:

- ECRI recommends that the authorities merge the various anti-discrimination acts and institutions of the Federation and the Länder in order to improve the protection afforded to victims of racism and discrimination.
- ECRI strongly recommends that Austria ratifies the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime, concerning the criminalisation of acts of a racist and xenophobic nature committed through computer systems.

A process of interim follow-up for these two recommendations will be conducted by ECRI no later than two years following the publication of this report

LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The position of the recommendations in the text of the report is shown in parentheses.

- 1. (§ 3) ECRI reiterates its recommendation to the authorities to ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights.
- 2. (§ 11) ECRI recommends that the authorities bring their criminal law, in general, into line with its General Policy Recommendation No. 7 as indicated in the preceding paragraphs; in particular they should (i) extend the provisions on national socialist motivated criminal acts to all kind of racist motivated acts, (ii) fill the gaps in the protection against incitement to hatred and discrimination and racist public insults and defamation, (iii) criminalise the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of war crimes (iv) criminalise the production or storage of all pictorial or other material containing racist manifestations, (v) criminalise all discrimination in the exercise of one's occupation and (vi) provide for dissuasive sanctions for discriminating job and flat advertisements.
- 3. (§ 14) ECRI recommends that the authorities merge the various antidiscrimination acts and institutions of the Federation and the Länder in order to improve the protection afforded to victims of racism and discrimination.
- 4. (§ 22) ECRI recommends that the authorities bring their anti-discrimination legislation in line with its General Policy Recommendation No. 7 as indicated in the preceding paragraphs; in particular the federal authorities should (i) include in the Equal Treatment Act a general prohibition of discrimination in the private and public sectors on all grounds including citizenship; (ii) ensure that victims have an easily accessible way to enforce their rights; (iii) ensure that victims can obtain adequate compensation; (iv) reinforce the rule on the burden of proof and (v) provide for the possibility to dissolve all racist organisations.
- (§ 26) ECRI again recommends giving the Ombudspersons for Equal Treatment the power to represent victims of discrimination in court or administrative proceedings. As per Principle 5 of ECRI's General Policy Recommendation No. 2 on specialised bodies to combat racism, the Ombudspersons and the Commission for Equal Treatment should be made fully independent on the organisational level and function without interference from other state authorities.
- 6. (§ 28) ECRI recommends that the Austrian authorities consider removing the restriction that victims of discrimination can only lodge a complaint with the Austrian Ombudsman Board, if they have no other legal remedy.
- 7. (§ 32) ECRI recommends that the authorities set up an IT-based system for recording and monitoring racist, homo- and transphobic incidents, and the extent to which these incidents are brought before the prosecutors and are eventually qualified as racist or homo/transphobic offences (§ 12 of General Policy Recommendation No. 11 on combating racism and racial discrimination in policing).
- 8. (§ 42) ECRI strongly recommends that Austria ratifies the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime, concerning the criminalisation of acts of a racist and xenophobic nature committed through computer systems.
- 9. (§ 44) ECRI recommends that criminal and administrative law is applied in a more vigorous way to curtail the activities of organisations that promote a racist

- ideology. Imposing sanctions on and dismantling such organisations should be part of the response.
- 10. (§ 47) ECRI recommends that the Austrian authorities, including the members of the government, the Austrian Ombudsman Board and the specialised bodies systematically counter and condemn hate speech and develop instruments to prevent and combat its use in particular during election campaigns.
- 11. (§ 52) ECRI recommends that the authorities promote (i) accession of more media to the Press Council, (ii) respect and publication of its decisions by non-members, (iii) the extension of the Press Council's mandate to all media or the setting-up of similar bodies for other types of media including radio and television, (iv) the idea that media only disclose the ethnic origin of alleged perpetrators of an offence when it is strictly necessary and serves a legitimate purpose and (v) self-regulation to ensure the removal of online hate speech. The authorities should sensitise the media, without encroaching on their editorial independence, to give members of vulnerable groups adequate space to express themselves. ECRI recommends that the authorities consider enacting legislation if there is no other option. The authorities should finally ensure that the police and the judiciary only disclose the ethnic origin of alleged perpetrators of an offence when it is strictly necessary and serves a legitimate purpose.
- 12. (§ 64) ECRI recommends that the classical parts of public service, such as the federal and regional school administration, employment, health care and other public services take ownership of core elements of the integration policies.
- 13. (§ 68) ECRI recommends that the authorities apply the principle of Integration from the beginning in the fields of asylum and subsidiary protection. They should design and implement a concept for addressing the special integration needs of the persons concerned.
- 14. (§ 70) ECRI recommends that the authorities ensure, in view of the sustainable integration of important parts of the population, that any restriction and differential treatment with regard to practice of Islam is in line with the European Court of Human Rights case law.
- 15. (§ 74) ECRI recommends that the authorities step up the implementation of concrete programmes and projects to achieve the objectives set out in the Roma-Strategy. Special attention should be paid to the further empowerment of Roma and their organisations by positive measures.
- 16. (§ 77) ECRI recommends that the Austrian Ombudsman Board uses its powers to investigate allegations of racial profiling and misconduct on the part of police officers towards persons with migration backgrounds. It should also build up regular dialogue with civil society.
- 17. (§ 79) ECRI recommends that the authorities undertake research and collect data on the living conditions of LGBT persons as well as on intolerance and discrimination against them.
- 18. (§ 84) ECRI recommends that the authorities re-examine whether there is an objective and reasonable justification for each of the remaining differences in the regulation of married and same-sex couples and that they abolish all unjustified differences. It also recommends that legislation is enacted on the issues of access to gender reassignment treatment, changing a transgender person's first name, gender recognition and changing the gender marker in documents.

- 19. (§ 88) ECRI recommends that the authorities task at federal level an administrative service to develop and coordinate an action plan or a comprehensive programme for LGBT persons aiming to ensure that LGBT persons can live on an equal footing with others in Austria.
- 20. (§ 91) ECRI recommends that the authorities of the Federation and the Länder provide LGBT adolescents with the necessary information, assistance and protection to enable them to live in accordance with their sexual orientation and gender identity. It also recommends that they implement, in particular in schools, measures to promote mutual understanding and respect for all persons irrespective of sexual orientation or gender identity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

This bibliography lists the main published sources used during the examination of the situation in Austria. It should not be considered as an exhaustive list of all sources of information available to ECRI during the preparation of the report.

European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI)

- 1. ECRI (2013), Conclusions on the implementation of the recommendations in respect of Austria subject to interim follow-up, CRI(2013)4.
- 2. ECRI (2010), Fourth report on Austria, CRI(2010)2.
- 3. ECRI (2005), Third Report on Austria, CRI(2005)1.
- 4. ECRI (2001a), Second Report on Austria, CRI(2001)3.
- ECRI (1999), Report on Austria, CRI(99)7.
- ECRI (1996), General Policy Recommendation No.1: Combating racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance, CRI(96)43 rev.
- 7. ECRI (1997), General Policy Recommendation No. 2: Specialised bodies to combat racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance at national level, CRI(97)36.
- 8. ECRI (1998a), General Policy Recommendation No. 3: Combating racism and intolerance against Roma/Gypsies, CRI(98)29 rev.
- 9. ECRI (1998b), General Policy Recommendation No. 4: National surveys on the experience and perception of discrimination and racism from the point of view of potential victims, CRI(98)30.
- 10. ECRI (2000), General Policy Recommendation No. 5: Combating intolerance and discrimination against Muslims, CRI(2000)21.
- 11. ECRI (2001b), General Policy Recommendation No. 6: Combating the dissemination of racist, xenophobic and antisemitic material via the Internet, CRI(2001)1.
- 12. ECRI (2003), General Policy Recommendation No. 7: National legislation to combat racism and racial discrimination, CRI(2003)8.
- 13. ECRI (2004a), General Policy Recommendation No. 8: Combating racism while fighting terrorism, CRI(2004)26.
- 14. ECRI (2004b), General Policy Recommendation No. 9: The fight against antisemitism, CRI(2004)37.
- 15. ECRI (2007a), General Policy Recommendation No. 10: Combating racism and racial discrimination in and through school education, CRI(2007)6.
- 16. ECRI (2007b), General Policy Recommendation No. 11: Combating racism and racial discrimination in policing, CRI(2007)39.
- 17. ECRI (2009), General Policy Recommendation No. 12: Combating racism and racial discrimination in the field of sport, CRI(2009)5.
- 18. ECRI (2011), General Policy Recommendation No. 13: Combating anti-Gypsyism and discrimination against Roma, CRI(2011)37.
- 19. ECRI (2012), General Policy Recommendation No. 14: Combating racism and racial discrimination in employment, CRI(2012)48.

Other sources

- 20. Republic of Austria, Austrian Parliament (Nationalrat and Bundesrat) (2014), Reply to ECRI questionnaire on Existence of internal rules/code of conduct against hate speech in national parliaments, European Centre for Research and Documentation (ECPRD).
- 21. Republic of Austria (2013a), Arbeitsprogramm der österreichischen Bundesregierung 2013-2018 Erfoglreich. Österreich.
- 22. Republic of Austria (2013b), Austria Mid-Term Review UPR Recommendations, Status of Implementation.
- 23. Republic of Austria (2010), Nationaler Aktionsplan für Integration Bericht.
- 24. Federal Chancellery (2012), An EU Framework for National Roma Integration Strategies up to 2020 Political and legal measures.

- 25. Federal Ministry for Education and Women (FMEW) (2014a), Gleichbehandlungsbericht für die Privatwirtschaft 2012 und 2013, Teil I, Gleichbehandlungskommission und Bundesministerium für Arbeit, Soziales und Konsumentenschutz.
- 26. FMEW (2014b), Gleichbehandlungsbericht für die Privatwirtschaft 2012 und 2013, Teil II, Anwaltschaft für Gleichbehandlung.
- 27. Federal Ministry for Education, Art and Culture (1994), Grundsatzerlass, "Sexualerziehung in den Schulen", Wiederverlautbarung.
- 28. Federal Ministry for Family and Youth (2014), Karmasin und Kurz: Startschuss für das zweite verpflichtende Kindergartenjahr, press release.
- 29. Federal Ministry of Interior (FMI) (2014), Verfassungsschutzbericht 2013.
- 30. FMI (2013), Verfassungsschutzbericht 2012.
- 31. FMI (2003), Verfassungsschutzbericht 2002.
- 32. Federal Ministry of Justice (2014a, October 14), Facts zu "Hate Crime", Press release.
- 33. Federal Ministry of Justice (2014b), Entwurf Bundesgesetz, mit dem das Fortpflanzungsmedizingesetz, das Allgemeine bürgerliche Gesetzbuch und das Gentechnikgesetz geändert werden (FortpflanzungsmedizinrechtsÄnderungsgesetz 2015 FMedRÄG 2015).
- 34. Federal Ministry for Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (FMLSACP) (2014), Chancengleichheit Das Gleichbehandlungsrecht in Österreich, 4th edition 2014.
- 35. Austrian Ombudsman Board (AOB) (2013), Annual report of the Austrian Ombudsman Board to the National Council and the Federal Council 2012.
- 36. AOB (2012), Annual report to the National Council and the Federal Council 2012, International Version.
- 37. Rechnungshof (2014), Bericht des Rechnungshofs Förderung der staatsbürgerlichen Bildungsarbeit in den Bildungseinrichtungen der politischen Parteien.
- 38. Medien-Servicestelle Neue Österreicher/innen (2012), Integrationsglossar Wer ist wer? Was ist Was? Die wichtigsten Begriffe rund um Integration auf einen Blick.
- 39. Statistik Austria (2013), Migration & Integration, Zahlen, Daten, Indikatoren 2013.
- 40. Statistik Austria (2014), Migration & Integration, Zahlen, Daten, Indikatoren 2014.
- 41. Expert Council for Integration (2014), Integration report 2014.
- 42. Human Rights Council of the City of Graz (2014), Der Menschenrechtsbericht der Stadt Graz 2013.
- 43. Wirtschaftskammern Österreichs (2014), The Charta of Diversity in Austria.
- 44. Council of Europe (CoE), Committee of Ministers (2010), Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)5 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on measures to combat discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- 45. CoE, Parliamentary Assembly (PACE) (2013), Resolution 1952 (2013) on Children's right to physical integrity.
- 46. CoE, PACE (2014), Resolution 1968 (2014), Tackling racism in the police.
- 47. CoE, PACE, Committee on Equality and Non-Discrimination (2014), Tackling racism in the police, Report, Rapporteur Mr David Davies.
- 48. CoE, European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) (2013a), E.B. and others v. Austria (Applications nos. 31913/07, 38357/07, 48098/07, 48777/07 and 48779/07), Judgment.
- 49. CoE, ECtHR (Grand Chamber) (2013b), X and others v. Austria (Application no. 19010/07), Judgment.
- 50. CoE, ECtHR (2010), Schalk and Kopf v. Austria (Application no. 30141/04), Judgment.
- 51. CoE, ECtHR (2009), Lang v. Austria (Application no. 28648/03), Judgment.
- 52. CoE, Commissioner for Human Rights (2012), Report by Nils Muižnieks following his visit to Austria from 4 to 6 June 2012, CommDH(2012)28.
- 53. CoE, Commissioner for Human Rights (2010), Discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity in Europe, 2nd edition.

- 54. CoE Advisory Committee on the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities (ACFC) (2011a), Third Opinion on Austria adopted on 28 June 2011, ACFC/OP/III(2011)005.
- 55. CoE, ACFC (2011b), Comments of the Government of Austria on the Third Opinion of the Advisory Committee on the implementation of the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities by Austria (GVT/CM/III(2011)009).
- 56. CoE, European Commission for Democracy through Law (Venice Commission) (2014), Compilation of the Venice Commission opinions and reports concerning freedom of religion and belief, CDL-PI(2014)005.
- 57. European Roma and Travellers Forum (ERTF) (2014), Briefing paper on the situation of Roma and Travellers in Austria.
- 58. European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) (2012), Case C-245/11, K. v Bundesasylamt, Judgment.
- 59. European Union (EU), European Commission (2014a), Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA on combating certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law, 27.1.2014, COM(2014) 27 final.
- 60. European Commission (2014b), Joint Report on the application of Council Directive 2000/43/EC of 29 June 2000 implementing the principle of equal treatment between persons irrespective of racial or ethnic origin ('Racial Equality Directive') and of Council Directive 2000/78/EC of 27 November 2000 establishing a general framework for equal treatment in employment and occupation ('Employment Equality Directive'), COM(2014)2 final.
- 61. EU, European Commission (2014c), Report on discrimination of Roma children in education.
- 62. EU, European Commission (2013a), National protection beyond the two EU Antidiscrimination Directives - The grounds of religion and belief, disability, age and sexual orientation beyond employment.
- 63. EU, European Commission (2013b), Dscrimination in Housing.
- 64. EU, European Commission (2011), Migrants in Europe A statistical portrait of the first and second generation.
- 65. European Asylum Support Office (2013), Annual Report on the Situation of Asylum in the European Union 2012.
- 66. EU, European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) (2015), Forthcoming FRANET Update, Legal Study on Homophobia and Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity for Austria.
- 67. EU FRA (2014a), Antisemitism, Summary overview of the data available in the European Union 2003-2013.
- 68. EU FRA (2014b), EU LGBT survey European Union lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender survey Main results.
- 69. EU FRA (2013), Discrimination and hate crime against Jews in EU Member States: Experiences and perceptions of Antisemitism.
- 70. EU FRA (2012a), Survey data explorer LGBT Survey 2012, http://fra.europa.eu/DVS/DVT/lgbt.php.
- 71. EU FRA (2012b), EU-MIDIS, European Union Minorities and Discrimination Survey, Data in Focus Report, Minorities as Victims of Crime: Austria.
- 72. EU FRA (2012c), Making hate crime visible in the European Union: acknowledging victims' rights.
- 73. EU FRA (2012d), Access to justice in cases of discrimination in the EU Steps to further equality.
- 74. Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR) (2014), Opinion on the Draft Federal Law of Austria amending the Law on the Recognition of Adherents to Islam as a Religious Society, Opinion-Nr.: FOR-AT/264/2014 [RJU].

- OSCE, ODIHR (2013a), Hate Crimes in the OSCE Region: Incidents and Responses Annual Report for 2012.
- 76. OSCE, ODIHR (2013b), Implementation of the Action Plan on Improving the Situation of Roma and Sinti Within the OSCE Area 87, Renewed Commitments, Continued Challenges, Status Report 2013.
- 77. OSCE (2012), Ljubljana Guidelines on Integration of Diverse Societies.
- 78. United Nations (UN), Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) (2012a), Concluding observations on the eighteenth to twentieth periodic reports of Austria, adopted by the Committee at its eighty-first session (6-13 August 2012), CERD/C/AUT/CO/18-20.
- 79. UN CERD (2012b), Reports submitted by States parties under article 9 of the Convention, Eighteenth to twentieth periodic reports of States parties due in 2011, Austria, CERD/C/AUT/18-20.
- 80. UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (2013), Concluding observations on the seventh and eighth periodic reports of Austria adopted by the Committee at its fifty-fourth session (11 February-1 March 2013), CEDAW/C/AUT/CO7-8.
- 81. UN, International Coordinating Committee of National Institutions for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights (ICC), Sub-Committee on Accreditation Report (2011), Report and Recommendations of the Session of the Sub-Committee on Accreditation (SCA), Geneva, 23-27 May 2011.
- 82. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) (2013a), Facilitators and Barriers Refugee Integration in Austria.
- 83. UNHCR (2013b), A new beginning Refugee integration in Europe.
- 84. UNHCR (2014), The Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of Migrants in an Irregular Situation.
- 85. Ammer, M. (2013), Zugang zum Arbeitsmartk für Asylsuchende aus menschenrechtlicher Perspektive.
- 86. Bartlett, J., Birdwell, J. and Littler, M. (2011), The New Face of Digital Populism, Demos.
- 87. Brantner, C., Herczeg, P. (2012), Sound broadcasting? How Austrian radio newscasts cover and frame ethnic minorities. Paper presented at the 4th Conference of the European Communication Research and Education Association (ECREA), 24-27 October, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 88. Danish Institute for Human Rights (COWI) (2009), The social situation concerning homophobia and discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation in Austria.
- DerStandard.at (2015a, March 2), Platzsturm in Bischofshofen: Fünf Monate bedingt.
- 90. DerStandard.at (2015b, January 15), SPÖ startet neuen Anlauf für Homosexuellen-Gleichbehandlung.
- 91. DerStandard.at (2014a, April 8), FPÖ-Spitze zwingt Mölzer zu völligem Rückzug.
- 92. DerStandard.at (2014b, Mai 5), Salzburg im Bann der "Bettelmafia".
- 93. DerStandard.at (2014c, July 22), Vorwürfe gegen Polizei: "Witze über Türken und Afrikaner sind Alltag".
- 94. DerStandard.at (2014d, October 29), Fünf Schüsse: Angriff auf Asylheim in Tirol.
- 95. DerStandard.at (2014e, November 14), Stadtschulrat prüft Saudi-Schule wegen Verdachts auf Judenhetze.
- 96. DerStandard.at (2011), Verurteilungen nach Anschlag auf Moschee.
- 97. Deutschen Ethikrat (2012), Intersexualität Stellungnahme.
- 98. Diepresse.com (2014a, July 17), Tirol: Ex-FPÖ-Kandidat Penz rechtskräftig freigesprochen.
- 99. Diepresse.com (2014b, July 23), Brandstetter nimmt Hassposter ins Visier.
- 100. Diepresse.com (2013, September 9), Afrikaner erstochen: Acht Jahre Haft für 25-Jährigen.
- 101. European Network against Racism (ENAR) (2013a), Shadow Report, Racism and related discriminatory practices in employment in Austria, Mayer, A.

- 102. ENAR (2013b), ENAR Shadow Report, Racism and related discriminatory practices in Austria, Teubl, C., Radio Afrika TV.
- 103. ENAR (2012), Far-right Parties and discourse in Europe: A challenge for our times.
- 104. European Network of Equality Bodies (Equinet) (2012a), Equality Law in Practice Comparative analysis of discrimination cases in Europe An Equinet Report.
- 105. Equinet (2012b), Equality Bodies Combating Discrimination on the Ground of Racial or Ethnic Origin An Equinet Perspective.
- 106. Equinet (2012c), Equality Bodies Supporting Good Practice by Service Providers An Equinet Good Practice Guide.
- 107. Equinet (2011), Equality Law in Practice A question of faith: Religion and belief in Europe.
- 108. European Network of Legal Experts in the Non-discrimination Field (ENLENF) (2013a), Executive Summary Country Report Austria 2012 on measures to combat discrimination.
- 109. ENLENF (2013b), Report on measures to combat discrimination Directives 2000/43/EC and 2000/78/EC, Country Report 2012 Austria State of affairs up to 1st January 2013.
- 110. Fekete, L. (2012), Pedlars of hate: the violent impact of the European far Right, Institute of Race Relations.
- 111. Focus online (2014, October 22), Antisemitischer Facebook-Post bringt Mann vor Gericht.
- 112. Forum against Antisemitism (FGA) (2014), Anti-Semitic Incidents.
- 113. Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ) (2013, March 4), Deutsche Burschenschaft Kleiner und rechter.
- 114. Gobuleva, M. (2012), Mapping European Stakeholders on Migrant Education, European Union, Migration Policy Group, Network of Education Policy Centres, Sirius.
- 115. Gruber, O., Herczeg, P., Wallner, C. (2012), Integration im öffentlichen Diskurs: Gesellschaftliche Ausverhandlungsprozesse in der massenmedialen Öffentlichkeit. Analysiert anhand des Fallbeispiels "Arigona Zogaj" in den österreichischen Medien. In: Medien Journal, 3/2012, S.16-34. Herczeg, P., The representation of migrants in the Austrian media case studies.
- 116. Haas, H. (2012), Evaluierung der Presseförderung in Österreich. Status, Bewertung, internationaler Vergleich und Innvoationspotenziale.
- 117. Hafez, F. (2014), Institutionalized Islamophobia The Draft of the Austrian Islam-Law.
- 118. Heute.at (2014, April 12), EU-Wahl: FPÖ verliert in jüngster Umfrage.
- 119. Inou, s. and Achaleke, C. (2011), Schwarze Menschen in Österreich Lagebericht.
- 120. Initiative Minderheiten (ed.) (2014), ROMBAS Studienbericht zur Bildungssituation von Roma und Sinti in Österreich.
- 121. Institut für Gesellschafts- und Sozialpolitik an der Johannes Kepler Universität Linz (2012), Rassismus und Social Media.
- 122. International Human Rights Movement "World Without Nazism" (2013), Monitoring of neonazism, xenophobia and extremism January 2013.
- 123. International Legal Research Group on Online Hate Speech (2014), Final Report, Council of Europe and the European Law Students' Association.
- 124. Klagsverband (Litigation Association of NGOs) (2012), Ablehnung der Novelle des Gleichbehandlungsgesetzes ist eine Schande für Österreich, 21.11.2012.
- 125. Litigation Association of NGOs against Discrimination (2013), Annual Report 2012.
- 126. Litigation Association of NGOs against Discrimination (2011), Annual Report 2010.
- 127. Melzer, R. and Serafin, S. (2013), Right-wing extremism in Europe, Country Analyses, Counter-Strategies and Labour-Market Oriented Exit Strategies, Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) Projekt "Gegen Rechtsextremismus".
- 128. m-media.or.at (2014a, April 23), Die Schwarzen Menschen in Österreich Eine vernichtende Bilanz ihrer Akzeptanz.
- 129. m-media.or.at (2014b, November 11), #stolzdrauf, ÖsterreicherIn zu sein? Sebastian Kurz stellt neue Initiative vor.

- 130. Nowak, M. (2010), Legal Study on Homophobia and Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Thematic study Austria.
- 131. Oe24.at (2014a, January 16), 3 Jahre haft für "Reichstrunkenbold".
- 132. oe24.at (2014b, July 18), ÖVP plant "Gipfel gegen Verhetzung".
- 133. Peham, A., "Durch Reinheit zur Einheit" (2014), www.doew.at.
- 134. Philipp, S. and Starl, K. (2013), Lebenssituation von « Schwarzen » in urbanen Zentren Österreichs.
- 135. Rechtskomitee Lamda (2014a, November 13), Registered Partnership: Pink Triangle of Austria's Law of Names Goes to Strasbourg.
- 136. Rechtskomitee Lamda (2014b, November 9), Austria Ignores Strasbourg-Judgment.
- 137. Rechtskomitee Lamda (2010), Eingetragene Partnerschaft (EP), Regierungsvorlage mit den Änderungen des Justizausschusses, Ungleichbehandlung zum Eherecht (ohne Anspruch auf Vollständigkeit).
- 138. Rights, Equality and Diversity European Network (RED) (2013), Annual Report 2012, RED Early Warning System, RED Atlas of racism and discrimination.
- 139. Romano Centro (2013), Antiziganismus in Österrreich, Dokumentation rassistischer Vorfälle gegen Roma/Romnja und Sinti/Sintize.
- 140. Romano Centro (2014), Heft 79/80, Oktober 2014.
- 141. Rosenberger, S., Seeber, G., in: Polak R., ed. (2011), Zukunft.Werte.Europa Die Europäische Wertestudie 1990-2010: Österreich im Vergleich.
- 142. Salzburger Nachrichten (2014, December 4), "Bettel-Soko":32 Anzeigen in 11 Monaten.
- 143. Salzburg.orf.at (2014a, July 24), Israelische Kicker attackiert: Verfassungsschutz ermittelt.
- 144. Salzburg.orf.at (2014b, July 30), Hetze gegen Roma: Sieben Männer verurteilt.
- 145. Salzburg.orf.at (2014c, August 20), Rassismus in Facebook: Pongauer verurteilt.
- 146. Schmatz, S. et al. (2014), Roma in Österreich: Integrationsaspekte in den Bereichen Beschäftigung, Wohnen und Freizeit.
- 147. Schoibl, H. (2013), Notreisende und Bettel-MigrantInnen in Salzburg, Erhebung der Lebens und Bedarfslagen.
- 148. Spiegelonline (2013a, May 23), Treffen in Eisenach: Burschenschaftler planen Neuauflage des "Ariernachweises".
- 149. Spiegelonline (2013b, May 24), Treffen in Eisenach: Burschenschafter ziehen "Ariernachweis"-Antrag zurück.
- 150. Spiegelonline (2014), Streit um rechten Akademikerball: Mit Schmiss auf die Tanzfläche.
- 151. Stavros, S. (2014), Combating Religious Hate Speech: Lessons Learned from Five Years of Country-Monitoring by the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI), Religion an Human Rights 9 (2014), pp. 139-150.
- 152. Steiermark.orf.at (2009, June 17), Urteil gegen Susanne Winter bestätigt.
- 153. Süddeutsche Zeitung (2014, April 7), Warum David Alaba kein "wirklicher Österreicher" sein soll.
- 154. Swiss National Advisory Commission on Biomedical Ethics (2012), Zum Umgang mit Varianten der Geschlechtsentwicklung Ethische Fragen zur "Intersexualität", Stellungnahme Nr. 20/2012, http://www.nek-cne.ch/de/themen/stellungnahmen/index.html.
- 155. Thelocal.at (2015, February 8), Neo-Nazi vandals plague Salzburg.
- 156. United Press International, upi.com (2015, February 16), Austria moves to ban Nazi license plate messages.
- 157. U.S. Department of State (2014), Austria 2013 Human Rights Report.
- 158. U.S. Department of State (2013), Austria 2012 Human Rights Report.
- 159. Vorarlberg.orf.at (2014, November 28), Hass-Postings: 18-Jähriger wurde freigesprochen.

- 160. Weidinger, B. (2015), "Im nationalen Abwehrkampf der Grenzlanddeutschen" Akademische Burschenschaften und Politik in Österreich nach 1945.
- 161. Wien.orf.at (2014, May 20), Kritik: Sexualunterricht "unzeitgemäß".
- 162. WienerZeitung.at (2012, June 13), Nazi-Prozess endet mit Verurteilung.
- 163. Zivilcourage und Anti-Rassismus-Arbeit (ZARA) (2014), Rassismus Report 2013 Einzelfall-Bericht über rassistische Übergriffe und Strukturen in Österreich.
- 164. ZARA (2013), Racism Report 2012 Case report on racist incidents and structures in Austria.
- 165. ZARA (2012), Factsheet Rassismus im Internet/Cyber Hate.

APPENDIX: GOVERNMENT'S VIEWPOINT

The following appendix does not form part of ECRI's analysis and proposals concerning the situation in Austria

ECRI, in accordance with its country monitoring procedure, engaged in confidential dialogue with the authorities of Austria on a first draft of the report. A number of the authorities' comments were taken on board and integrated into the report's final version (which only takes into account developments up until 20 March 2015, date of the examination of the first draft).

The authorities also requested that the following viewpoint be reproduced as an appendix to the report.

Observations by the Republic of Austria in respect of the fifth report by the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI) on Austria August 2015

General Observations:

The promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms, on national level as well as internationally, plays an important role for the Austrian government.

There is a clear understanding that special attention must be given to the fight against racism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism and related intolerance and Austria remains fully committed to this fight. In Austria good legal tools exist, which enable authorities and courts to combat right-wing extremist, xenophobic, anti-Semitic and racist acts. However, the Federal Government is aware of the fact that racist prejudices, attitudes and acts still exist and are occurring and that sustainable and differentiated policies are necessary in order to counteract this phenomena in the long term. Austria strives to continuously improve the protection system through legal provisions and their implementation as well as - equally important - through awareness-raising measures and education. This is an ongoing process based on a firm commitment, openness, understanding and dialogue.

Austria attaches great importance to the monitoring process by the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI). The Fifth Report on Austria contains important findings and recommendations which constitute a good basis for further efforts and activities of the Austrian authorities in their endeavor to combat racism, racial discrimination and related intolerance.

Specific Observations:

1. Legislation against racism and racial discrimination:

Protocol No. 12 to the ECHR

Austria has enacted a comprehensive antidiscrimination legislation on the level of constitutional law: Article 1 of the Federal Constitutional Law on the Implementation of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Federal Law Gazette No. 390/1973) defines "racial discrimination" as "any distinction on the sole ground of race, colour, descent or national or ethnic origin". According to well-established case-law of the Austrian Constitutional Court, any differentiation among foreign nationals by the legislature and the administrative authorities is prohibited due to this Federal Constitutional Law, unless the differentiation is based on an objective justification. Furthermore, legislation directed at foreign nationals generally has to be objective. In addition, all administrative authorities must adhere to the principle of proportionality and must not act arbitrarily (cf. judgment of the Constitutional Court of 2 July 2011, U 2106/10). Article 14 of the European Convention on Human Rights - which has the legal status of a constitutional law - prohibits discrimination on any ground such as (among others) race, colour, religion, national or social origin, association with a national minority.

Finally, the rights enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union - and thus the comprehensive prohibition of discrimination according to Article 21 of the Charter - can be claimed as constitutionally guaranteed rights in proceedings before the Austrian Constitutional Court whenever the Charter is applicable (cf. judgment of the Constitutional Court of 14 March 2012, U466/11).

Considering that Austria thus affords an equivalent protection against discrimination, it does not aim at ratifying this Protocol for the time being.

Criminal law

Through the most recent comprehensive criminal law reform, the adoption of the "Criminal Law Amendment Act (Strafrechtsänderungsgesetz) 2015, which is to enter into force by 1 January 2016, important further improvements will be made for the implementation of Austrians` international obligation to combat racism, racial discrimination and any advocacy of racial or religious hatred, anti-Semitism and xenophobia. Through this reform Austria further implements its obligations from the "Framework Decision on combating certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law" of the European Union, follow recommendations of ECRI and the UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination and prepares for the ratification of the Additional Protocol of the Cybercrime Convention of the Council of Europe. Furthermore it implements plans of the government programme 2013-2018 to better address radicalisation and right-wing extremism as well as results of the expert-conference on hate crimes and radicalisation, organised by the Ministry of Justice, Ministry of the Interior and Ministry of Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs in October 2014.

This reform provides, inter alia, for <u>changes of Art. 283 Criminal Code</u>, which deals with the persecution of hate speech. It will read the following (in German):

"§ 283. (1) Wer öffentlich auf eine Weise, dass es vielen Menschen zugänglich wird,

- 1. zu Gewalt gegen eine Kirche oder Religionsgesellschaft oder eine andere nach den vorhandenen oder fehlenden Kriterien der Rasse, der Hautfarbe, der Sprache, der Religion oder Weltanschauung, der Staatsangehörigkeit, der Abstammung oder nationalen oder ethnischen Herkunft, des Geschlechts, einer körperlichen oder geistigen Behinderung, des Alters oder der sexuellen Ausrichtung definierte Gruppe von Personen oder gegen ein Mitglied einer solchen Gruppe ausdrücklich wegen der Zugehörigkeit zu dieser Gruppe auffordert, oder zu Hass gegen sie aufstachelt, oder
- 2. in der Absicht, die Menschenwürde anderer zu verletzen, eine der in Z 1 bezeichneten Gruppen in einer Weise beschimpft, die geeignet ist, diese Gruppe in der öffentlichen Meinung verächtlich zu machen oder herabzusetzen, oder
- 3. Verbrechen im Sinne der §§ 321 bis 321f, die von einem inländischen oder einem internationalen Gericht rechtskräftig festgestellt wurden, billigt, leugnet, gröblich verharmlost oder rechtfertigt, wobei die Handlung gegen eine der in Z 1 bezeichneten Gruppen oder gegen ein Mitglied einer solchen Gruppe ausdrücklich wegen der Zugehörigkeit zu dieser Gruppe gerichtet ist und in einer Weise begangen wird, die geeignet ist, zu Gewalt oder Hass gegen solch eine Gruppe oder gegen ein Mitglied einer solchen Gruppe aufzustacheln,
 - ist mit Freiheitsstrafe bis zu zwei Jahren zu bestrafen.
- (2) Wer die Tat nach Abs. 1 in einem Druckwerk, im Rundfunk oder sonst auf eine Weise begeht, wodurch die in Abs. 1 bezeichneten Handlungen einer breiten Öffentlichkeit zugänglich werden, ist mit Freiheitsstrafe bis zu drei Jahren zu bestrafen.
- (3) Wer durch eine Tat nach Abs. 1 oder 2 bewirkt, dass andere Personen gegen eine in Abs. 1 Z 1 bezeichnete Gruppe oder gegen ein Mitglied einer solchen Gruppe wegen dessen Zugehörigkeit zu dieser Gruppe Gewalt ausüben, ist mit Freiheitsstrafe von sechs Monaten bis zu fünf Jahren zu bestrafen.
- (4) Wer, wenn er nicht als an einer Handlung nach den Abs. 1 bis 3 Beteiligter (§ 12) mit strengerer Strafe bedroht ist, schriftliches Material, Bilder oder andere Darstellungen von Ideen oder Theorien, die Hass oder Gewalt gegen eine in Abs. 1 Z 1 bezeichnete Gruppe oder gegen ein Mitglied einer solchen Gruppe wegen dessen Zugehörigkeit zu dieser Gruppe befürworten, fördern oder dazu aufstacheln, in einem Druckwerk, im Rundfunk oder sonst auf eine Weise, wodurch diese einer breiten Öffentlichkeit zugänglich werden, in gutheißender oder rechtfertigender Weise verbreitet oder anderweitig öffentlich verfügbar macht, ist mit Freiheitsstrafe bis zu einem Jahr oder mit Geldstrafe bis zu 720 Tagessätzen zu bestrafen."

- Through the inclusion of the wording "...or incites to hatred against them" in Art. 283.1 CC, the incitement to hatred not only against groups but also against a specific person belonging to such a group is becoming a punishable offence. Thus Art. 283.1 now comprises the prohibition of incitement to hatred against groups and individuals as well as of incitement to violence against groups and individuals.
- Through the inclusion of another qualification for a group in Art. 283.1, namely "...the existing or non-existing of nationality", incitement to hatred or violence against "foreigners" or "non-Austrians" also became a punishable offence.
- Change in the criteria for "public": statements or comments inciting people to violence or hatred will become punishable offences if "they are made in public in a manner that is accessible to many people"; the term "in public" refers as a rule to approximately 10 and the term "many people" to around 30 individuals (§ 283.1). If such acts are accessible to the "general" public (approximately 150 individuals) through distribution in print or other media, they will be punishable with a maximum of three years of imprisonment (§ 283.2).
- The establishment of or participation in associations whose purpose is that of "incitements" within the meaning of Article 283 of the Criminal Code fomented by their members will become a punishable offence.
- Anyone who through his/her own actions or deeds causes other persons to exercise violence against a protected group or against a member of any such group as a consequence of his/her affiliation with this group will face a prison sentence of between six months and five years.
- Racist threats and verbal abuses that are made with the intention to violate human dignity of the person in public are criminal offences (old § 283.2 CC, now § 283.1.Z2). The criminalisation of racist threats has been improved through lowering the threshold for "public" also in this context. Taking into account that the State has an obligation to find a right balance between the right of freedom of expression and fighting racism and racist discrimination, only those verbal abuses and slander against one of the groups or persons mentioned in § 283.1.Z1 that intend to violate human dignity are to be criminally liable. There exists clear case-law about the definition of "violation of human dignity" by the Supreme Court, which is to be followed by the courts. This limitation in § 283.1.Z2, set deliberately by the legislator, does however not impede sanctioning of racist and discriminatory threats and abuses outside the criminal law, like the civil Equal Treatment Act or the Administrative-Penal Law. In addition § 115 CC offers another criminal liability for the act of general "slander" or "mockery", that can also be based on discriminatory remarks.
- Through the inclusion of a new § 283.3 CC, the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of <u>genocide</u>, <u>crimes against humanity and war crimes</u> is now a criminal offence and can lead to imprisonment of up to two years.
- Following other international recommendations from VN-CERD and the EU Framework Decision, a new Art. 283.4 CC was included, making the <u>public dissemination or distribution</u> of material containing racist manifestations also a criminal offence. Explicit intent for incitement to hatred is not a necessary pre-condition for criminal liability in this case.

- Concerning the <u>criminalisation of the participation in a criminal organisation</u> in Art. 278 CC, the relevant ECRI recommendation will already be implemented with the new Criminal Law as in Art. 278 CC a reference has been made to Art. 283 CC, through which also the foundation of and/or participation in organisations or groups that incite to racism, racial hatred or racial discrimination is a criminal offence.
- The <u>aggravating factors for punishable offences</u> committed for particularly reprehensible motives in <u>Art. 33.1.Z5 CC</u> have been extended to include offences directed against a Church or religious society, or another group defined by criteria of race, skin colour, language, religion or belief, nationality, origin or national or ethnic background, gender, disability, age or sexual orientation or against a member of any such group.

Furthermore next to the Austrian Criminal Code other laws provide for a prohibition of discrimination, like the <u>Equal Treatment Act in civil law</u> or the <u>Administrative Penal Law</u>. As an example of the latter, Art. III, para 1, sub-para 3 of the Introductory Act to the Administrative Proceedings Laws (Einführungsgesetz zu den Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetzen, EGVG) provides in its first part for a general prohibition of discrimination based on race, skin colour, national or ethnic origin, religious denomination or disability. The second part of this Article punishes the denying of access to public places and services for such reasons.

In Austria, matters of labour law are primarily regulated in civil law provisions. If necessary, these are complemented by administrative penal provisions. Therefore, the claims under the ETA are mainly civil claims. Exceptions thereto are provisions on the mandatory non-discriminating job and flat advertisements. A breach of these prohibitions is sanctioned with administrative penalties.

In case of a violation of the equal treatment principle, the Equal Treatment Act provides for

- compensation for financial damage, i.e. actual harm (positiver Schaden) and loss of profit or
- creating/restoring a discrimination-free situation and, in both cases, additionally
- compensation for the immaterial damage and the personal injury suffered.

The ETA specifically stipulates that the calculation of the amount of compensation for the moral damage shall ensure that the victim is compensated effectively and adequately as well as prevent future discrimination. The last criterion in particular reflects the important notion of prevention inherent to the Austrian compensation law.

In this context, Austria also puts a lot of attention to the awareness-raising aspect. Discriminatory job and flat ads are not always designed with the intention to discriminate but are often a result of a lack of knowledge of the legal situation. In these cases misconduct can be countered by issuing a reprimand. In case of repeated violation, however, the full scope of the penalty will become applicable.

Summing up, Austria would like to reiterate that in the Austrian legal system the "sole" discrimination, that is not linked to incitement to violence or hatred covered by § 283 CC or fulfills the criteria of slander in § 115 CC, is not regulated in the judicial criminal law but in civil and administrative penal law, i.e. the EGVG and the Equal Treatment Act. Taking into account the underlying principle of criminal law as "ultima ratio" Austria does not deem it appropriate to deviate from this general principle in the Austrian legal framework.

Civil and administrative law

With regard to merging and simplifying the various anti-discrimination acts and institutions Austria would like to point out that the fragmentation is the result, on the one hand, of Art. 10 ff of the Austrian Federal Constitution Law which regulate the distribution of competences between the federal state and the regional provinces "Länder", and on the other hand of the Federal Ministries Act which assigns the competences of the federal state to the respective ministries.

Equal treatment is an interdisciplinary issue affecting a number of areas. Due to the distribution of competences of these areas, it was not possible to create a uniform law.

The federal government is aware of the difficulties for alleged victims to find the competent institution relevant for their case due to the vast amount of legal provisions and anti-discrimination institutions. Therefore, the government strives to offer as much as support and guidance as possible. For instance the Federal Ministry for Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection issues a regularly updated brochure on the topic of equal treatment. In this brochure, both the relevant legal framework on equal treatment and a list of anti-discrimination institutions on the level of the federal state and the regional provinces are described and listed in order to support persons in finding the competent institution. In addition the office of the Ombud for Equal Treatment acts as a clearing institution and, in case it has not competences itself, tries to refer concerned persons to the adequate institutions.

Finally, within the ongoing process of the preparation of a National Human Rights Action Plan, it is planned to produce a guideline that offers a better overview of the institutions responsible for equal treatment and/or anti-discrimination in the individual cases and, thus, improve access to such facilities. This guideline will take the form of a questionnaire, which can be used by the individual to identify the relevant authority in a particular case. It will also summarise the tasks and services provided by each of the different equal treatment and/or anti-discrimination institutions, thus improving the access to remedy and increasing knowledge about the issue of discrimination as such.

Concerning the recommendation to include "nationality" in the ETA, it has to be pointed out that the discrimination based on nationality is already part of the legislation. The only possibility to allow a different treatment on the basis of nationality is for cases regulating the entry or stay of citizens of third countries or stateless persons in Austria as well as the treatment resulting from the legal status of such persons. However, if the discriminating behaviour is in reality based on the ground of ethnicity and the attribute "nationality" is only used as a pretext, the discrimination falls under the scope of the Equal Treatment Act.

As already mentioned above, the legal consequences for a violation of the prohibition of discrimination as regulated in the Equal Treatment Act (ETA) are of a civil law nature. Accordingly, every person feeling discriminated against, has the right to take legal action in court. In addition, he or she also has the possibility to address, free of charge, the Equal Treatment Commission (ETC), whose main competence is to issue opinions and decide on individual complaints but has no power to award compensation or impose sanctions, which is the prerequisite of courts. The ETC through its informal proceedings provides easy access for filing complaints of discrimination. Concerning doubts issued by ECRI about the added value of the procedure of the ETC, it has to be said that the ETC and its procedure was deliberately installed in order to create a parallel - low-threshold and cost-free - process, that allows people to address an alleged discrimination in a more low-risk

manner, taking into account that many shy away from initiating legal proceedings. In addition the possibility of finding an agreement through arbitration by the ETC plays another important role in favour of this process. Proceedings before the Equal Treatment Commission are subject to confidentiality. This provides some degree of protection to the discriminated individual, who is often under extreme psychological stress, particularly in the case of harassment; thus further victimisation can be avoided. Informants are also subject to confidentiality, which makes it easier to witnesses to provide information. In the last years, the proceedings constantly have been improved and made more efficient and as simple as possible for the victims. Furthermore, the preventive aspect of the findings, arbitrary interventions and opinions issued by the ETC outside of the official court proceedings should not be underestimated. The high number of cases brought before the ETC seems to indicate a positive acceptance by the population who see the ETC as an appropriate mechanism for dispute settlement in cases of discrimination.

As regards legal protection, European Union law requires that Member States ensure that associations, organisations or other legal entities which, in accordance with the criteria laid down by their national laws, have a legitimate interest in ensuring that the provisions of the anti-discrimination directives are observed, may engage, either on behalf of or in support of the complainant, with his or her approval, in any legal and/or administrative proceedings provided for the enforcement of the claims.

According to the ETA a person feeling discriminated against has the <u>possibility to be</u> <u>represented by an NGO</u> when addressing the ETC, as well as can turn to the Ombud for Equal Treatment, an advisory institution that also guides possible victims in their actions and rights. In addition for court proceedings the ETA foresees the possibility of an intervention by a third party in support of a plaintiff or defendant ("Nebenintervention"). According to the provisions of the Austrian Code of Civil Procedure, an intervener is a person who has a legal interest in the proceedings and who participates, without being a party to the proceedings, in a lawsuit pending between other persons in support of one of the parties.

This type of intervention is principally open to everybody. It was modified with respect to the Litigation Association of NGOs against Discrimination (*Klagsverband zur Durchsetzung der Rechte von Diskriminierungsopfern*) for the enforcement of rights of discrimination victims' to the effect that the Litigation Association does not have to produce an explanation for its legal interest, which is taken for granted. The Litigation Association may participate in the proceedings to support the plaintiff (= the discriminated individual) if the plaintiff so wishes. The Litigation Association is an organisation of specialised institutions dealing with various forms of discrimination. Especially legal entities that focus on anti-discrimination and equality can become members.

However, the possibility to intervene as a third-party is not limited only to the *Klagsverband*, any other NGO has the right to this legal support for a victim. In this context, Section 26 of the Code of Civil Procedure should be mentioned, according to which the parties can also have authorised representatives to act on their behalf in the court proceedings. This also applies to representatives or employees of NGOs, unless there is a statutory requirement to be represented by a lawyer in the proceedings. As regards labour law proceedings § 40 para. 2 no. 4 of the Labour and Social Court Act (*Arbeits- und Sozialgerichtsgesetz, ASGG*) stipulates that there is a possibility to be represented by a "suitable person" in proceedings of the first instance. Representatives or employees of NGOs can hence also act as such representatives. Finally the Austrian Chambers of Labour and the Austrian Federation of Trade Unions can also represent their members in labour and social court proceedings.

The primary legal consequences in the ETA are compensatory measures. In general the concerned person has a right that the discrimination is being abolished, to compensation of the financial damage and in addition compensation for the personal incurred detriment. The minimum amount of compensation in cases of sexual harassment and harassment based on grounds as set out in the ETA is set with € 1.000,-, there exists no legal limit for the maximum amount. The extension of granting compensation with a view to achieve a deterrent impact however is limited with the Austrian constitution as the principles of penalty (criminal law) and compensation (civil law) are not to be interlinked so that in the anti-discrimination law compensation cannot have a penal character. Nevertheless, taking into account changing social realities and cultural practices, over the last years in particular regulations for offences related to harassment have been considerably reinforced with higher granted damages. This contributed significantly to a better awareness in society about obligations of equal treatment in all spheres of life, for judges and prosecutors themselves but also for the general Austrian public, which consequently will also lead to a more uniformed and lenient case law when applying antidiscrimination laws.

With regard to the <u>rule on the burden of proof</u> Austria would like to reiterate that the ETA basically follows the Anti-Discrimination Directives of the European Union that provide the framework for all anti-discrimination legislation for member states of the EU. Those relevant EU directives (RL 2004/113/EG and RL 2000/78/EG und RL 2000/43/EG) clearly speak of a "shift in the burden of proof" (Beweislastverlagerung) and not of a reversed burden of proof (Beweislastumkehr).

This principle is exactly reflected in the ETA, where once the victim has substantiated a possible discrimination ("Glaubhaftmachung") the burden of proof is then shifting to the culprit, who has to prove the non-discrimination with the evidence available to him/her, meaning the culprit (alleged discriminating person) has to deliver a proof of exoneration (*Entlastungsbeweis*). This regulation in the ETA also has to be seen in the context of the two principles enshrined in the Austrian civil procedure law, the so-called "prima facie evidence" (*Anscheinsbeweis*), which requires a reduced probability and the regular evidence, the direct evidence, that requires the litigant party in a civil law suit to proof its demand with high probability.

Finally, Austria would like to inform, that the current government programme foresees a comprehensive <u>evaluation of the anti-discrimination legislation</u> and its instruments. This evaluation process was initiated at the end of 2014 under the chairmanship of the Federal Ministry for Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection and the Federal Ministry of Education and Women's Affairs. All stakeholders dealing with the equal treatment legislation (federal ministries, Equal Treatment Commission, Ombud for Equal Treatment, social partners, NGOs) are participating in this process and are analysing the effectiveness of the existing legal framework. They are also examining possible options for improvement, including related to the fact that responsibility for protection against discrimination is currently shared by a number of institutions. To this end, smaller working groups have been created in order to discuss the various thematic clusters.

The contents of the different working groups are:

- Enforcement of equal treatment (in particular judicial procedures, procedures before the Equal Treatment Commission and the Ombud for Equal Treatment)
- Screening of the legal consequences
- Access to justice, awareness raising
- Evaluation of the existing offences of discrimination

Specialized national bodies

A reform of the ETA in 2011 included inter alia the explicit exemption from instructions for the Ombudspersons for Equal Treatment, as well as an exemption from the so-called right to supervision (*Aufsichtsrecht*) of the Federal Chancellor. Thus even so the OET remains organisationally part of the Federal Chancellery it acts as an independent entity within the Chancellery, with the Ombudspersons being fully independent in their work. The organisational integration in the Chancellery on the contrary allows for important synergies in administrative and staff matters concerning logistics, office work and accommodation, so that more funding can be used for the substantive work of the OET and its ombudspersons.

Concerning the legal representation of victims the various means for persons to be represented before the court has already been described in the chapter above.

The proposed removal of the restriction stating that victims of discrimination can only lodge a complaint with the Austrian Ombudsman Board when there is no other legal remedy would require an amendment of the Constitution. Moreover, Austria is of the view that the problem is not a lack of competent institutions where victims may ask for help as there exist already many respective bodies that can investigate alleged discrimination (next to courts). What is needed is rather more guidance and a clearer overview on all the existing institutions, making the access easier and more comprehensible. The ongoing evaluation process of the ETA and the work on the National Action Plan on Human Rights addresses this problem as described above.

2. Hate Speech

With regard to the recommendation to ratify the <u>Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime</u> Austria would like to point out that with the entering into force of the "Criminal Law Amendment Act (*Strafrechtsänderungsgesetz*) on 1 January 2016, comprehensive changes in Art. 283 Criminal Code, dealing with the prohibition of incitement to racial hatred and violence, become effective. Further details on this reform have been described above under the chapter "Criminal Law". Through these changes important steps for a possible ratification of the Additional Protocol have been made. Currently internal consultations are being continued in order to fully clarify and analyse all further questions and possible necessary legal adaptions in relation to a ratification of the Additional Protocol.

With the <u>Associations Act</u> (*Vereinsgesetz*,) as well as through various individual criminal-law and civil law provisions described above, Austria developed a robust set of legal measures to combat hate speech and the promotion of racism by individuals or groups and association. Under the Association Act it is prohibited to found and/or maintain associations that promote and/or incite racial discrimination. Such associations will be dissolved by decision of the Ministry of the Interior who is in charge of the implementation of the Association Act.

To <u>combat the radicalisation</u> of extremist groups, Austria is intensifying and fostering its cooperation at international level, within the European Union (e.g. the Radicalisation Awareness Network - RAN), with intelligence and security agencies as well as in the science and research context (universities). The Federal Office for the Protection of the Constitution and Counterterrorism (*Bundesamt für Verfassungsschutz und Terrorismusbekämpfung* - BVT) has also hired a substantial number of specialised staff to deal with the rise in Islamic extremism.

Austria has taken extensive measures to counter politically and ideologically motivated radicalisation, including right-wing extremism. These measures include:

- awareness-raising workshops organised by the BVT to train police officers in correctly recognising and interpreting signs of radicalisation in the population;
- the opening of an extremism information and advice centre in December 2014 to provide advice to relatives who have noticed that a family member is potentially attracted by terrorism or is becoming radicalised. The centre was set up based on recommendations from the "Islam" dialogue forum and the EU Council's conclusions on "de-radicalisation and disengagement from terrorist activities". Intervention is affected by a network of civil society actors, with the BVT assuming the role of a network partner. The centre's main goals are to develop a pool of information on extremism, to assist people in recognising threats and to create a solid basis for a comprehensive de-radicalisation process;
- awareness-raising workshops for prison staff with regard to <u>radicalisation and</u> <u>recruitment by extremists in prisons</u>. To assist early detection and prevention and develop relevant measures, the EU project "Violent radicalisation recognition of and responses to the phenomenon by professional groups concerned" aims at raising the awareness of front-line staff confronted with the phenomenon of radicalisation.

The following projects are of particular importance from a <u>prevention of</u> radicalisation perspective:

- in the <u>education sector</u>: alongside the measures taken to prevent violence, numerous activities have been launched over the past few years to prevent religious and political radicalisation. On a general level, it should be emphasised here that human rights education is already an integral part of the "political education" syllabus. In order to ensure that a human rights culture is established at all levels, this syllabus is being incorporated into large parts of the education system and a number of corresponding projects have been launched.
 - A project aimed at preventing and reducing racist prejudice and patterns of behaviour by offering children and teenagers the opportunity to approach "the unfamiliar" in a positive, playful setting that permits an inter-cultural learning process in an environment that is free from fear and prejudice.
 - A comprehensive range of programmes has been put together for schools to enable them to provide workshops that meet their individual requirements with regard to the prevention of violence and radicalisation.
 - "Holocaust education" programmes are being organised and extensive material and information provided on this topic.
 - As part of the cooperative-communicative religious education initiative in schools, churches and religious societies have initiated a joint project in which pupils of different religions to learn together about their respective faiths;
 - In their efforts to avoid religious conflicts, the *Kirchliche Pädagogische Hochschule Wien-Krems* (University College for Teacher Education of Christian Churches Vienna-Krems) and the Islamic Teacher Training College in Austria (IRPA) operate a joint competence centre designed to serve as a point of contact and/or help desk for teachers.
- Counter-narrative initiatives include a brochure produced in cooperation with the Islamic Community in Austria, which provides counter-narratives and information on how Islam is being abused by terrorists and which clearly

condemns the barbaric actions of "IS". The brochure is available in four languages and has been distributed in mosques and prayer rooms across Austria.

 A platform has been established to facilitate the sensitization of mothers to early signs of radicalisation and raising their awareness of their own potential to prevent this phenomenon. It also serves as a discussion forum for teenagers.

Article 283 of the Criminal Code provides for the prosecution of <u>hate speech</u> irrespective of the medium through which it is communicated. Accordingly, racist content publicised <u>on the internet</u> is covered by this provision if it exceeds a certain threshold.

The association of Austrian internet providers has set up a virtual contact point (http://www.stopline.at) for all internet users who happen to come across, for instance, any neo-Nazi content. Once the content has been identified and verified as illegal, the respective provider, foreign partner hotlines and competent authorities are promptly informed to enable them to take necessary action. "Stopline" cooperates in this context with the Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior as well as with its relevant reporting offices (e.g. the Reporting Office for National Socialist Activities or the Reporting Office for Extremist and Radicalising Videos).

In close cooperation with the Federal Agency for State Protection and Counter-Terrorism of the Ministry of the Interior, the Criminal Investigation Service Austria (Bundeskriminalamt (.BK) plays an important role in the screening of web pages. In case of racist, xenophobic or anti-Semitic comments or material being found, the Federal Agency for State Protection and Counter Terrorism is immediately notified via a gateway. Urgent tracing operations and announcements are handled by the .BK, after which the competent offices take over.

Finally it should be mentioned here, that a special working group was set up in response to the recommendations received following the latest Universal Periodic Review (UPR) of the UN Human Rights Council. This working group analysed the data that have already been collected on racially motivated crimes and identified the extent to which existing statistics can be utilized. A harmonisation of Austrian crime statistics and judicial statistics is the goal of a new project that will also be part of the National Action Plan of Human Rights.

Concerning the role, membership and tasks of the Austrian Press-Council Austria would like to reiterate that taking into account the nature of a self-regulatory system the decision to considerably expand the membership to other media is primarily a decision of the media themselves. It would not be compatible with the guarantee of the independence of the media if state authorities formulated conditions about the membership in self-regulatory bodies.

With regard to the publication of the decisions of the Press Council it has to be stressed that due to the perfect press work of the Press-Council itself the decisions are extensively reflected and reported in all the other media. Several recent examples show that even if a tabloid is not a member to the Press Council an effective publication and announcement of a decision about this media to the public is not inhibited.

Taking legislative measures with the aim to force private media to provide more space for vulnerable groups would not be in line with the constitutional provisions warranting editorial independence. That is why only the public service broadcaster, the Austrian Broadcasting Corporation (ORF), within its public service remit can be

expected to provide "comprehensive information on all important political, social, economic, cultural and sports-related issues", to "promote understanding for all questions of democratic society" and to give "due regard for and promotion of social and humanitarian activities, including raising awareness of the integration". (cf. § 4 (1) ORF-Act). Its mandate stipulates in § 4 (5a) ORF-Act that reasonable shares of broadcasting time shall be provided in the languages of national (autochthonous) minorities that are represented by a national minority advisory board.

Concerning the publication of personal data of suspects it has to be stressed that ECRI's recommendation - to reveal such data only when necessary and pursuing a legitimate aim - is one of the leading principles in the Austrian data protection legislation.

4. Integration policies

As already mentioned in the first contribution sent to ECRI, Austria regards the fight against xenophobia and racism a priority task and is constantly taking measures, at several levels, to promote equal treatment, eliminate prejudices and advance integration. As ECRI pointed out in 2009 Austria developed a <u>new integration strategy and organisational structures</u> for the implementation thereof on the national level, involving various stakeholders. Since then many new measures have been implemented, various projects and initiatives started and new bodies and structures have been set up. Since the topic of integration became part of the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs and thus was lifted from a State Secretariat to a Federal Ministry, the government proved once more the importance it is giving to this field. It goes without saying that the authorities will continue their efforts towards rebalancing the public debate on migration by focusing on the promotion of a positive image of integration and migration and its added value for the migrants as well as for the receiving society.

The implementation of the NAP on Integration is an ongoing process. Both the measures taken and the status of implementation are described in detail in the Integration Reports, which are all publicly available. In order to ensure equal participation of immigrants in economic and social life, further measures in the fields of language assistance, integration into the labour market and recognition of professional qualifications will have to be adopted. Concrete steps for providing for an expansion of early childhood language classes at institutional child care facilities have already been prepared. Furthermore, additional first contact points, so-called "welcome desks" were established throughout the country to provide immigrants with the information they need for a successful start in Austria. A website that provides assistance and information on the recognition of foreign school and college degrees have been set up, negotiations concerning a Recognition Act for professional qualifications acquired abroad are currently ongoing. The NAP is also coordinated with the nine provinces (Länder) through the Integration Advisory Board. In this board all federal ministries, the provinces, trade unions and other interest groups as well as civil society organisations are represented and exchange views on the implementation of the integration measures and give recommendations to the Government on a regular basis. All these integration measures are designed for migrants as well as recognised refugees, meaning asylum-seekers whose application for asylum has been approved or who have received subsidiary protection, so that they have a legal residence status in Austria.

In order to facilitate the planning and preparation of a legal migration to Austria the concept of "integration from the beginning" has been developed as an additional tool aiming at providing relevant information already one step before, namely at the Austrian Embassies where persons apply for a work-permit and/or visa.

Currently due to the refugee crisis in the Middle East many people are coming to Austria from war-zone areas, in particular from Syria. The demand for integration measures thus was growing considerably over the last months. In order to support the refugees, that have been granted asylum in Austria, in the best possible manner in their first months, a special contingent for additional language classes was created, which will be operated through the Austrian Integration Fund.

Concerning integration measures and access to labour market for asylum-seekers Austria once again would like to reiterate that the seasonal jobs which asylum-seekers may take up comprise a wide range of professions related to the agriculture and tourism sectors at all levels of qualification. Young asylum-seekers up to 25 years are admitted to professional training and employment in sectors which require additional apprentices.

<u>A new Islam Law</u> became necessary as social realities in Austria have changed completely over the last hundred years or more. When the law was originally adopted in 1912, Austria was the first European country to officially recognize Islam as a religion. Today, approximately 570,000 Muslims live in Austria and make up about 7% of the total population. Muslims are the second largest religious group in Austria, after Christians. The original Islam Law no longer lived up to modern legal and societal expectations. It was the express wish of the Islamic Community in Austria (IGGiÖ) that a new legal basis be created, and the IGGiÖ was comprehensively involved in all phases of the development process, which took about three years (2012 - 2015). The Islamic Alevi Community supported the draft law and all of its content from the beginning; the Islamic Community (IGGiÖ) consented after numerous negotiations. The new Islam Law was thus adopted by the Parliament on 25 February 2015 and entered into force on 31 March 2015.

The reform followed the model of the laws on other religious communities in Austria. The new law explicitly stipulates that Islamic religious communities are free in belief and teaching and have the right to manifest their religion in public (see Article 2) para. 1). It defines their rights and obligations, in the same manner as for all the other 14 religious societies in Austria. It was the wish of the IGGiÖ to strengthen its organisational structure and to be able to dissolve associations who claim to speak in the name of Islam but do not comply with the official faith as represented by the IGGiÖ. Just as no association outside the Catholic Church can claim to speak on behalf of Catholicism [as was confirmed by the Austrian Constitutional Court], no association outside the IGGiÖ can claim to speak on behalf of the IGGiÖ. However, this rule does not impede diversity among Islamic communities - if the statutes of an Islamic association include a faith-based denomination which is not covered by the IGGiÖ, the association can continue to exist. All new religious communities who want to be registered as a new legal religious society must differ in their teachings from already existing ones. In order to be able to examine compliance with this provision, the teachings of all communities have to be available and explained. For registration as a state-registered religious denominational community the religious communities must provide an outline of both their teachings and their principle sources of faith in the German language.

With regard to the issue of receiving funds from abroad, the law highlights that onetime donations from outside Austria are still allowed. Only the "ongoing, daily conduct" of a religious community must be financed from within Austria. This is based on the principle of ability of self-sufficiency with regard to financial aspects that must be observed by each registered religious community.

According to the legal analyses of Austrian authorities these measures are all in line with the European Court of Human Rights case law as according to Art. 9.2 ECHR restrictions to the freedom of religion and belief are allowed if they are prescribed

by law, sufficiently clear, aim at a legitimate goal and are necessary in a democratic society, meaning they don't discriminate and are proportional.

Roma

Austria introduced an integrated set of policy measures focusing on Roma in 2012 within its broader social inclusion policies. These policies aim at the gradual elimination of the marginalisation of socially disadvantaged groups (including some Roma communities) in the fields of education, employment, housing and health, combining both general and Roma-targeted integration measures. The implementation of integration measures has been ongoing ever since. An overview of the measures is available on the National Roma Contact Points website.

A National Roma Contact Point was established at the Federal Chancellery. Under this concept, a dialogue platform involving representatives of the Roma community, administrative authorities at federal, regional and local level as well as academia was established to regularly discuss and monitor the implementation of the integrated set of policy measures aimed at promoting the integration of Roma in Austria. This dialogue plays a key role in the implementation of the EU framework. It facilitates the exchange of views on inclusion policy developments in the fields of education, health access, employment, housing and anti-discrimination with Roma civil society. As institutionalised meetings they also contribute essentially to mainstreaming Roma integration policies within the Austrian broader social inclusion policies in these fields.

To appropriately monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the Member States efforts, the Council Recommendation on effective Roma integration measures in the EU Member States calls for Member States to collect relevant qualitative or quantitative data on the social and economic effects of such measures. It is against this background, that the National Roma Contact point - in cooperation with other relevant ministries - commissioned three qualitative studies designed to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of integration measures taken within the framework of Austrian effort for Roma integration.

In the context of the related efforts, Austria provides 1 million euros from the European Social Fund (ESF) annually to support employment market measures targeted on Roma: The first call was published in April 2015 on www.esf.at, the deadline for the submission of projects was 30 June 2015. This first call involves instruments to develop and implement labour market measures (especially consulting and qualification measures) and to prepare a one year curriculum for key players in the field of empowerment for Roma. As regards project selection, applications from Roma will receive a preferential ranking. The selection will be finalised by 30 September 2015; projects may thus start as of 1 November 2015 at the earliest.

II. Topics specific to Austria

1. Interim follow-up recommendations of the fourth cycle

In executing their tasks police forces are obliged by law to refrain from any activity that could lend itself to even giving the impression of partiality. In the context of the efforts aimed at avoiding even the most rudimentary development of racism and discrimination, like *racial profiling*, among police forces, the range of training and advanced training activities on the topic of human rights was expanded: Police officers have to attend a seven-day module "Fundamental Rights" during their basic training. Commanding officers have two modules on human rights in their training and the mandatory advanced training also comprises of a human rights module.

These training activities not only raise police officers' awareness of their own behaviour, but also enable them to better identify, handle and process racially-motivated crimes.

Human rights education and advanced training is based *inter alia* on a manual developed by the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights aimed at promoting more effective police work. In 2012 the subject area *"Ethnic profiling"* was integrated in a series of seminars entitled *"A World Of Difference"*, which was specifically developed by the American citizens' rights organisation *Anti-Defamation League* for training Austrian police forces

On 1 August 2014, the Federal Minister of the Interior issued a decree that set out new regulations on how to handle a complaint against police action that is *not* considered a criminal offence. A special complaints management department was also established at the Federal Ministry of the Interior.

In the field of jurisdiction, the public prosecutor's offices were upgraded to jurisdictional authorities in 2008 (Article 90a Federal Constitutional Law), which guarantees independent and impartial investigations in their area of jurisdiction; victims, moreover, are entitled to obtain a judicial review of any cessation of procedures on the part of a public prosecutor.

Furthermore, allegations of misconduct by law-enforcement officials are subject to examination by the Austrian Ombudsman Board (AOB) under its general mandate relating misconduct in the public administration. However during such examinations - contrary to adversarial proceedings - the AOB cannot summon suspects or witnesses, question under oath or gather evidence by itself. It can however request the submission of statements by the examined authority and the access to files.

Since the assumption of the OPCAT mandate in 2012, reports on problems in police stations, police detention centres or the issuing of direct orders and coercive measures can initiate a visit of one of the six independent commissions to certain facilities and/or ask the Ministry of Interior for statements. The aim of preventive controls is, inter alia, to draw attention to possible structural shortcomings as well as the background of misconduct and the use of excessive force by police officers in detention areas, and to demand the adoption of measures to prevent police abuses in the future as much as possible.

In addition it can be mentioned that during the preparations for the establishment of the Austrian National Action Plan for Human Rights the Austrian Ombudsman Board plays a crucial role and offers a platform for the participation of the civil society in this process. The cooperation of the AOB with civil society is also ensured through their integration in the Human Rights Advisory Council, which is set up as an advisory body of the AOB. In addition a NGO Forum is held at the AOB every year, to further deepen the exchange between civil society and the AOB.

2. Policies to combat discrimination and intolerance against LGBT persons

As already mentioned by ECRI in its report the research and gathering of official data and information on the situation of LGBT persons in Austria is a gradual process, taking into account that the criteria of sexual orientation as a ground of discrimination has been put only quite recently in the centre of attention of international and national monitoring mechanisms, institutions and authorities. Thus, Austria will also use the visit of ECRI and its report as a further possibility to address these issues in more detail, study the respective recommendations carefully and will address them in existing implementation processes within the network of human rights coordinators of the Federal Ministries and the Länder.

It should be noted once again, that the Fundamental Rights Agency with its seat in Vienna plays a crucial role in pushing the subject further and increasing awareness and knowledge about the life and situation of LGBT persons, not only for the society as such but also for the public authorities. In this regard its comprehensive and well-founded research, studies and reports play an important role. Austria has contributed to all scientific studies developed so far by the FRA with as much information and data possible and will continue to actively follow the work of the FRA on this subject.

Concerning the situation of transgender persons it has to be stated that the recommendations issued by the Federal Ministry for Health in 2014 regarding the treatment process on gender dysphoria and transsexualism were developed by an interdisciplinary and multi-professional expert group, in which also legal specialists were represented. Regarding ECRI's recommendation to enact legislation on the matters discussed, the competent Federal Ministry of Health will take this suggestions into consideration when dealing with the next legal reform process.

An important step for equal treatment of same-sex relationships has been made with the adoption of the Registered Partnership Act. Subsequent to the judgement of the ECHR of February 19, 2013, the adoption law was amended in 2013 in order to allow a so-called stepchild adoption for same-sex couples. Due to a judgement by the Constitutional Court of December 10, 2013, the laws for medically assisted reproduction were amended, so that the use of sperm-donation will also be possible for registered same-sex partners. Subsequently, the legal provision which prohibited joint adoption of a child by registered partners was rescinded through a judgement of the Constitutional Court of December 11, 2014. Implementation of this judgement is currently ongoing.

Finally with regard to providing information and adequate information to LGBT adolescents and implementing measures to promote mutual understanding for all persons irrespective of sexual orientation or gender identity, in particular in schools. Austria would like to point at a very recent so-called "general ordinance" (Grundsatzerlass) on sexual education issued by the Federal Minister for Education on 22 June 2015 (https://www.bmbf.gv.at/ministerium/vp/2015/2015_11.pdf?4xy5ka), replacing the former one dating from 1994. Such general ordinances are addressed at Austrian schools and provide for the general line of teaching on certain subjects. This one includes a part on international standards in sexual education and states that sexual education has to take into account the age of the pupil, has to be adapted to the living-reality of children and adolescents and has to be based on scientifically supported information. It has to provide a positive and open view on human sexuality, promote a positive view on oneself and improve the own well-being. It has to be based on the principles of gender equality and diversity of forms of living (i.e. sexual orientation or gender identity), is to teach and foster competences such as critical thinking, ability to communicate and be orientated on international human rights standards.